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#### Abstract

Siregar, Atika Sarah. "The Effect of Applying Computer Assisted Language Learning (CALL) Method on the Students' Achievement in Listening". Skripsi. Medan. English Department, Faculty of Teachers' Training and Education, University of Muhammadiyah Sumatera Utara (UMSU). 2017.


This study deals with The Effect of Applying Computer Assisted Language Learning (CALL) Method on the Students’ Achievement in Listening.The population of this study was the tenth grade students of SMA Negeri 2 Pematangsiantar 2016/2017 academic years atjalan Patuan Anggi No. 8. The totally of populations are 244 students, consists of seven classes. They are XPMIA1, X-PMIA2, X-PMIA3, X-PMIA4, X-PMIA5, X-PMIA6, X-PMIA7. The sample was taken two classes, X-PMIA2 as Experimental Group for 36 students and X-PMIA5 as Control Group for 36 students. The objective of this study was to investigate the effect of applying computer assisted language learning method on the students' achievement in listening. The instrument of collecting data was written test which administrated to the students. The researcher gave retell procedure text to the students. The data were analyzed by using t-test formula. After analyzing the data, it was found that t -observed $(4,51)$ which was greater than t -table $(1,99)$ with $\alpha=5 \%$ and the degree freedom (df) $=70$. The finding showed that the hypothesis of the study is accepted. It meant that using computer assisted language learning method was significantly effective to the students' in listening.
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## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

## A. The Background of Study

Listening is one of the four language skills that is important to be acquired. Listening is an important part in communication process. Effective listening is a foundation for successful communication in school, at home, and everyday situation.Listening is an invisible mental process, making it difficult to describe. (Rost, 2002) defines listening, as a process of receiving what the speaker actually says (receptive orientation), constructing and representing meaning (constructive orientation), negotiating meaning with the speaker and responding (collaborative orientation), and creating meaning through involvement, imagination and empathy (transformative orientation). Listening is a comprehending process, it can be a comprehension process of conversation, sing, words, expression and text that they are listen.

Listening is the first of the language arts skills developed.It means that before people get abilities to speak, read and write they must listen first before talking or doing other physical activities. And when it is the time for them to read and talk they still have to listen to gain the knowledge and information. For example, when the teacher ask the students to read an article or text book. In teaching listening, students only need to understand and give any response based on what they have heard.

In reality when the researcher's gave retell procedure text to the students of SMAN 2 Pematangsiantar in academic year 2016/2017 it result is not satisfied. So that, the researcher found some problems of students in listening. The students feel bored in listening, because they do not understand what the speaker said.

The above problem appear because many students have less vocabulary, it makes the students get difficulty to retell the story, the teacher still use conventional method in teaching listening. As in this case, the teacher asked the students to listen and then retell that they have been listened, but they could not do it. Teachers should be more creative in choosing method that can make the class more interesting to listening activities in the classroom.

In this cases, it is expected that students can improve their ability in English language and especially in listening. The researcher is very interested to use Computer Assisted Learning Language Method. According, Graham Davies (2002) stated that CALL is perceived as an approach to language teaching and learning in which the computer is used as an aid to the presentation, reinforcement and assessment of material to be learned, usually including a substantial interactive element, include videos and extensive used of multimedia CD-ROM.

Based on the description above Computer Assisted Language Learning can be helpful in teaching listening on procedure text is very suitable to be applied to the students in listening through computer. Thus is will be
interesting for the researcher to do research entitle "The Effect of Applying Computer Assisted Language Learning (CALL) Method on the Students' Achievement in Listening."
B. The Identification of the Problem

The problems of the study were identified as follows:

1. The students have less vocabulary.
2. The students do not understand what the speaker said.
3. The teacher dominantly used the conventional method.

## C. Scope and Limitation

Based on the problem identified previously, the scope was focused on listening skill and the subject was limited on listening procedure text.
D. The Formulation of the Study

The problems of this research was formulated as follows: "is there any significant effect of applying computer assisted language learning method on the students' achievement in listening procedure text?"

## E. The Objective of the Study

The objective of this research were followed: "to investigate the effect of applying computer assisted language learning method on the students' achievement in listening procedure text".

## F. The Significances of the Study

The resulted is expected to be useful in theoritically and practically as follows:

1. Theoritically

Theoretically the study give useful and interesting way in teaching listening through Computer Assisted Language Learning Method.
2. Practically
a. For teacher, to give them more information to use various method in teaching listening, especially in listening procedure text.
b. For students,to make them feel enjoy and motivates them to learn English especially in listening procedure text.
c. For the researcher, the result of this research can be useful as information when they conduct similar observation and investigation in different place and time

## CHAPTER II

## REVIEW OF LITERATURE

## A. Theoritical Framework

In conducting a research, theories are needed to explain some concepts applied concerning to the research. The theories must be clarified to avoid confusion. The following theories are used in this research.

## 1. Description of Listening

### 1.1 Definition of Listening

According to (Nunan, 2003) listening is an active, purposeful process of making sense what we hear. Language skills are often and categorize as receptive and productive, speaking and writing are productive skill, that requires a person to receive and understand incoming information (input). Listening is receptive, we can listen to and understand things at higher level then we can procedure. For this reason, people sometimes think of it as a passive skill. Nothing could be further from the truth listening is very active.

Morley (2001:82) stated that in daily activity, listening is skill and dominantly by people over the world. People often listen to news reports, song, from tape, television, computer, or people often listen to people speaking around us directly or by phone. In general, there are four reasons to listening (Hedge, 2000:228). Those are:

1. To engage social rituals

People can get in touch with others by listening to the other's talks, as it the nature feeling.
2. To exchange information

Through listening to the news, people know some information that happen in other country or local region.
3. To share feeling

By listening, people can solve their problems by asking other for suggestion or advices.
4. To enjoy themselves

Listening to the music or song are enjoyable activities. People can do this kind of activity where ever they are. The aim is to entertain and make fine feelings.

### 1.2 The Purpose of Listening

The purpose of listening can be categorized into some types :

1. To gain information
2. To distinguish between fact and opinion
3. To identify main ideas
4. To summarize ideas
5. To make inferences
6. To follow direction
7. To gratify the listener's sense
8. To sense emotion and moods through words use the manner of delivery
9. The form sensory images, and to descriminative between the sound

### 1.3 Listening Process

Listening is assuming greater importance in many foreign language contexts, which have until relatively focuse their effort on the development of writing skills. This growing importance is reflected in the ploriferation of commercial listening course.

Rost (2002:46) defines listening as a process of receiving what the speakers actually says (receptive orientations), constructions and repainting (constractive orientations), negotiating meaning with the speaker and responding (collaborative orientations), and creating though involvement, imagination and emphaty (transformative orientations).

Listening is a complex skills, in the case listening run in real activity through some process where the listener must be active and creative in order to improve their listening ability.

According to Brown (2012:10) there are two process of listening. They are buttom-up processing and top-down processing.
a. Buttom-up processing

Buttom-up processing is trying to make sense of what we hear by focusing on different parts; the grammar, vocabulary, and sounds. However it is difficult to get overall parts. And when you try to understand what the speaker say by only looking at the grammar or vocabulary that you do not
understand since you are learning a new language or foreign language then you can not focus on what you are listening to.
b. Top-down processing

Top-down processing starts with background of knowledge called schema. Schema is classified into two. First, content schema that is general knowledge based on life experience and previous learning. Second, textual schema that is the knowledge of language and content used in the particular situation: the language you need at the office is different than what you need when socializing with friends.

### 1.4 Listening Situation

There are two kinds of listening situation in which we find ourselves:
a. Interactive listening situation

Interactive listening situation include face to face conversation and telephone calls, in which we are alternately listening and speaking and in which we have a change to ask for clarification, repletion, or slower speech from our conversation partner.
b. Non Interactive listening situation

Non interactive listening situation are listening to the radio, video, computer, tv, films, lecturer, or sermons. In such situation we usually don't have the opportunity to as for clarification, slower speech or reparation.

Listening can be lesson for students, because they will be able to minds. So, it take focus in hearing the word or phrase that is pronounced by the speaker. And need to interest a listener in the listener. Students' ability in listening is one of way to know their development in mastering vocabulary, pronounciation, grammar new interaction pattern and it's mean from the speaker.

### 1.5 Types of Listening

Accordingto Brown (2004: 255), There are four types of listening, namely intensive listening, responsive listening, selective listening, and interactive listening.

1. Intensive listening

Intensive listening is focus on components like phoneme, intonation, and etc, of element of spoken language. Intensive listening is important in listening instruction, although to be an affective practice it need not be more than a small part of each class session.
2. Responsive listening

Responsive listening is a significant proportion of classroom listening activity consists of short stretches of teacher language designed to elicit immediate response.
3. Selective listening

Selective listening is the prerequisite for more complex and more extend listening. For extend texts, a popular and useful form of selective listening
is not taking. Not taking is widely viewed as an important macro skill in the lecture listening comprehension process, a skill that often interacts with reading (when integrated with reading material accompanying lecture), writing (the actual writing of the notes or writing based on notes), and speaking (oral construction of the notes or discussion based on the notes).
4. Extensive listening

Extensive listening to develop top down global understanding of spoken language. Extensive performance ranges from listening to lengthy lectures to listening a conversation and deriving a comprehensive message of purpose.

### 1.6 Listening Problems

The first step the learning problems that students in constructing a successful listening is to identify the learning problems that the students are experiencing as a result of listening to related issues. Richard (2007) there are some problems in listening :
a. Trouble with the sounds.

Most students rely mostly on context for comprehension, they are often themselves unware sound perception.
b. Have understand every word

Some students feel worried and stressed when they miss some words of the text. Here, the teacher needs to give the students practice in selective
ignoring of heard information or something, they do naturally in their mother tongue. The teacher should explain this point to the students, and set them occasional tasks that ask them to scan a relatively long task for one to limited items of information.
c. Cannot understand fast, naturally native speaker.

The students can only understand if the teacher tasks slowly and clearly. They cannot understand fast, natural native-sounding speech. To overcome this problem, the teacher has to expose the students to as much spontaneous-informal talk as possible, so they can understand the native speech. The teacher can also provide them with the sorts of discourse at the right level for them.
d. Need to hear thing. More than once.

In order to understand, students need more than once to hear the text. In this problem, the teachercan try to use texts that include "redundant" passage and within which the essential information is presented more than once and not too intensively and give the students the opportunity to request clarification are repitition during the listening.
e. Find the difficult to keep up

The students' feel overloaded with incoming information. The solution is not (so much) to slow down the discourse but rather to encourage them to relax, stop trying to understand everything, learn to picku out what is essential and allow them to ignore the rest.

## f. Get tired

Sometimes, students' feel tired and bored to listen, if the discourse is too long. They also feel more difficult to concentrate. The solution of this problem is similar with the third problem.

## 2. Text, Genre

Texts consist of spoken or written words that have the purpose of conveying a message. And genre much deals with kind of text. It has communicative purpose (social function), generic structure (text organization), and linguistic features. Pardiyono (2007:19) states that text has many types, they are:
a. Description text is a type of written text, which has specific function to give description about an object (human or non human). For example: describe about place of interest.
b. Recount text is a type of written text which gives information to the reader the past activities or event.
c. Narrative text is a type of written text which entertains or gives good lesson to the reader about activities and includes conflict, climax, and resolution. For example: novel.
d. Procedure text is a type of written text which describes the step to accomplish for things of job done. For example: how to make banana milkshake.
e. Explanation text is a type of written text which explains a process of information, or describes how an object works or phenomenon.
f. Short functional text is a text that has social function to inform something. It's called short functional text because the text is short and has a specific information.
g. Discussion text is a type of written text which offers viewpoints related to some socio-economic problems.
h. Exposition text is a type of written text which exposes the argument or opinion to the reader.
i. New items text is a type of written text which informs newsworthy events of the day and other natural phenomenon to the reader. It usually is written by journalist in newspaper.
j. Report text is a type of written text which provides information about natural or non natural phenomenon.
k. Anecdote text is a type of written text which shares with others about ridiculous event or amusing event.

1. Review text is a type of written text which evaluates the quality of books and other work art.

## 3. Description of Procedure Text

### 3.1 Definition of Procedure Text

Procedure text is a text that is designed to describe how something is achieved through a sewuence of actions or steps. According to (Anderson, 2007: 50) procedure text is a piece of text that gives us instruction for doing something. Procedure text can be found in daily life that often has to perform
some steps to make or to get something done and so most of daily activities are related with proceudre text. That is why the students should understand what procedure text is and how to make it or use it. Procedure text has social function to descirbe how something is completely done through a sequence of action or steps. It is started with the goal is to describe how something is accomplished. The material deals with the thing needed in the case being discussed. The method concerns with a sequence of steps by which something is accomplished to achieve the goal (Siahaan, 2008: 81)

### 3.2 Generic Structure of Procedure Text

a. Goal : Showing the puprose (the title of the text)
b. Materials : Telling the needed material.
c. Steps : Describing the steps to achieve the purpose.

### 3.3 Language Features of Procedure Text

a. Using simple present tense
b. Using imperative sentence (e.g. go, sit, dont mix, etc)
c. Using action verb (e.g. put, cook, turn, mix, etc)
d. Using temporal conjuction or numbering to indicate sequence (e.g. first, second, third,....; then, next, after that,....; before, after, as soon as,....)

### 3.4 Example of Procedure Text

a) GOAL How Tommake Ice Tea

## b) MATERIAL



- Water
- Dye-Tea
- Sugar
- Ice cube
c) STEPS

- First, boilt water.
- Second, put the boiling water into a glass.
- Third, dip a dye tea into the glass.
- Fourth, put a spoonful of sugar into the glass.
- Fifth, mix well.
- Sixth, put 3 small ice cubes into the glass.


## 4. Computer Assisted Language Learning (CALL) Method

### 4.1 Definition of Computer Assisted Language Learning (CALL) Method

 Computer Assisted Language Learning (CALL) is often perceived, somewhat narrowly, as an approach to language teaching and learning in which the computer is used as an aid to the presentation, reinforcement and assessment of material to be learned, usually including a substantial interactive element.Levy (1997:1) defines CALL more succinctly and more broadly as the search for and study of applications of the computer in language teaching and learning. According to Beatty (2003:7) CALL is any process in which a learner uses a computer and, as a result, improves his or her language CALL has come to encompass issues of materials design, technologies, pedagogical theories and modes of instruction.

CALL is closely related to many other disciplines and the computer. As a tool to aid teaching and learning, CALL is often subsumed within them. CALL know includes highly interactive and communicative support for listening, speaking, reading, and writing.

From definition above, the main focus of CALL is on the application of computers in language learning. Materials for CALL can include those which are purpose made for language learning and those which adapt existing computer based materials, videos and extensive used of multimedia CD-ROM, and the internet.

### 4.2 The Procedures of Implementation with CALL Method

According to (Grgurovic \& Hegelheimer, 2007) the procedures of implementation, the implementation of CALL method in the teaching of listening was divided into three stages: pre-listening, whilst-listening, and post-listening.

## a. Pre-Listening

The teacher started the activity by greeting the students and asking who absent in this meeting. Then the teacher described the instructional objective that would be achieved. After that, the teacher told the class that the main activity in the pre-listening stage would be described the text. In doing this activity at the very the beginning the teacher asked meaning of the text to the class. The student answered interchangeable. Then the teacher described the text. Students gave attention to the teacher seriously. Although students looked out haven't ready to received the lesson.
b. Whilst-Listening

The teacher told the class that the main activity in this stage is listening procedure text. The teacher said after listening activity. They will achieved analytic test about the text.

Next, when all the students knew what they had do, the teacher played the CD-ROM (video). All the students listen to the carefully. The teacher gave the time for listening in 20 minutes. While students were listening seriously, the teacher monitored from her chair.

After finished listening the teacher distributed retell to the students. The teacher gave the time 15 minutes to answer these questions. During this activity. Then, students finished re-write, the teacher collected their papers.

## c. Post-Listening

The teacher told that the main activity in this stage was providing feedback toward the students performance. The teacher said that the comments were as the feedback to help students in preparing the next listening comprehension.

### 4.3 The Advantages of CALL Method

There are some advantages of Computer Assisted Language Learning (CALL) method, they are :

1. CALL is a neutral medium. Compared to teachers, computers do not lose patience, get angry, or play favourites as some teachers do. This creates a safe learning environment.
2. CALL can adapt to the learners' abilities, preferences, cognitive and learning styles, and adapt to the learner's self-paced learning.
3. CALL, with branching capability, provides choices and paths for learning, allowing learners to work independently.
4. CALL provides strong motivation for learning. Students will often do on a computer what they are reluctant to do in a textbook or paper-pencil.
5. Some CALL features such as graphics, sounds, animation, video, audio are interesting and motivating for many learners, and allowing for creating authentic meaningful language learning environments.
6. CALL (internet) provides authentic communication that motivates students to use language outside language classroom.
7. The teacher becomes a facilitator rather than a person who controls the learning environment.
8. CALL is predictable and non-judgemental.
9. CALL can lower the amount of time required to master some materials.
10. CALL (the internet) has no limitations regarding different time zones and place.
11. CALL is cost effective.

### 4.4 The Disadvantages of CALL Method

There are disadvantages of this method, namely :

1. Schools may lack funds for CALL implementations. Some CALL hardware and software are very expensive. It is problematic in schools that have limited funding.
2. The design of good CALL software needs expensive equipment and cooperative team work.
3. Many teachers are anxious about CALL because they have limited skills and experience in CALL theory and delivery.
4. Graphics and sounds provided on the computer are sometimes unrealistic and incomprehensible.
5. There are a lot of web pages of poor quality. There is a lot of junk on the internet. Teachers need to evaluate internet web pages with great care before downloading or assigning the students to access them.
6. A lot of teachers still lack training and skills in using the CALL, and training costs are high.
7. There is fear that the computer might isolate students from social activities.
8. A lot of CALL software (e.g. Drill and Practice type) focus on teaching separate, discrete language skills and component, ignoring discourse, contexts, and cultures.
9. Some CALL (e.g. the internet) does not support face to face communication (e.g. e-mail, chat) well, though some present technologies can provide sounds and pictures during communication there are some limitations with speed, sound and picture quality.
10. A lot of CALL activities are limited to certain types of exercises such as multiple choices, true false, matching, completion, ignoring questionanswer interactions.

## B. Conceptual Framework

Listening is one of skill in language learning. In listening we need the comprehension to interpret the message of spoken language. In listening process, successful listeners are good predictors. They predict what they hear by using the knowledge they already. Teacher as guider in the class should prepare appropriate materials for the students, because the materials is very needed in listening to know what is discussed, it means the materials is the topic of discussion (narrative text). Material should be arranged logically in
order to the students can receive it correctly and comprehend the messages of the materials.

On the other hand, the researcher will find out some aspects which influence of mastering listening, they are hearing the sounds, understanding intonation and stress, coping with redundancy and noise, predicting, understanding colloquial, vocabulary, fatigue, understanding different accents, using visual and aural environment clues. These aspects can be a problem for the listener if they do not master English well but the most important of them, the listener should master the listening and pronunciation in English because without mastering them the listener cannot interpret the message of the spoken language.

Some of teachers have not found appropriate method in teaching listening. They still use step to teach listening a narrative text to students. It will be more comfortable for the teaches if they teach listening by using computer assissted language learning method which can make them fell like listening. Because computer can form of image or video, so that makes them interested to learning english especially in listening.

This research focuses on the effect of applying computer assisted language learning on the students' achievement in listening, as we know that computer assissted language learning is often perceived, somewhat narrowly, as an approach to language teaching and learning in which the computer is used as an aid to the presentation, reinforcement and assessment of material to be learned, usually including a substantial interactive element.

Learning by using this method will help the students to increase their listening because the computer can make easier for students to know themeaning of the word in English.

## C. Hypothesis

Based on the review of literature and framework related sbove in this study, alternative hypothesis (Ha) and Null hypothesis (Ho) was formulated as the following :

Ha : There is a signficant effect of applying Computer Assissted Language
Learning (CALL) Method on the students' achievement in listening.

## CHAPTER III

## METHOD OF RESEARCH

## A. Location and Time

The location of the research was conducted at SMA Negeri 2 Pematangsiantar, on jalan Patuan Anggi No. 8, it will be conducted during the academic year of 2016/2017. Start from February to March 2017. The reason for choosing this school because based on the researcher's observation in this school, the students still lacked of in listening. The students in that school are not interested in listening because they do not know what the speakers says. It made the students became confused and not interested. They did not know what they should do. Therefore, this research to be able to solve this problem.

## B. Population and Sample

## 1. Population

Population of this research was taken from the tenth grade students of SMAN 2 Pematangsiantar of the academic year 2016/2017, which consists of seven parallel classes. They are X-PMIA1consist of 36 students, X-PMIA2 consist of 36 students, X-PMIA3 consist of 36 students, X-PMIA4 consist of 34 students, X-PMIA5 consist of 36 students, X-PMIA6 consist of 33 students, X-PMIA7 consist of 33 students. So, the totally of the populations of this research are 264 students.

Table 3.1
The Population of Research

| Class | Population |
| :---: | :---: |
| X-PMIA1 | 36 |
| X-PMIA2 | 36 |
| X-PMIA3 | 36 |
| X-PMIA4 | 34 |
| X-PMIA5 | 36 |
| X-PMIA6 | 33 |
| X-PMIA7 | 33 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 4 4}$ |

## 2. Sample

Sample is a procedure of taking the data, where only a part of population would be taken and use to determine the characteristic from the population. According to Sugiyono (2013), Sample is part of number and characteristic possessed by the population. In this research applied cluster random sampling, it used if the number of population heterogeneous. So the total sample in this research were 72 students from two classes. There were XPMIA2 and X-PMIA5.

Table 3.2
The Sample of the Research

| Class | Sample |
| :---: | :---: |
| X-PMIA2 | 36 |
| X-PMIA5 | 36 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 2}$ |

## C. Research Design

In This research used descriptive quantitative method. The design of two groups named experimental group and control group. Experimental group
which consist of 36students, the experimental group was taught by using computer assisted language learning method. Control group which consist of 36 students, control group was taught without using computer assisted language learning method.

Table 3.3
Research Design

| Group | Pre-test | Treatment | Post-test |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Experimental <br> $(\mathrm{X})$ | $\checkmark$ | Using Computer Assisted <br> Language Learning Method | $\checkmark$ |
| Control (Y) | $\checkmark$ | - | $\checkmark$ |

Where:
X : The experimental group where students was taught by using Computer Assisted Language Learning Method.

Y : The control group where students was taught withoutby using Computer Assisted Language Learning Method.
a. Pre-test

Both of two groups, the experimental group and control group were given pre-test before the treatment. The function of the pre-test was to measure the mean score of both groups.
b. Treatment

In teaching listening, different treatment was used to experimental and control group. The experimental group was given by using computer assisted language learning method, while the control group was given by using without computer assisted language learning method.

Table 3.4
The Procedures Treatment of Experimental Group by Using Computer Assisted Language Learning (CALL) Method

| Stage | Researcher's Activities | Student's Activities |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Pre- <br> listening <br> stage | The researcher greets the <br> students to open the class. | The students greets the <br> teacher. |
|  | Motivating the students. | The students listento the <br> teacher's motivation. |
|  | The researcher giving <br> brainstorming and some <br> question about the text. | The students answer the <br> teacher's question. |
|  | The researcher explained <br> the procedure text, generic <br> structure, and language <br> features of procedure | The students give attention to <br> the teacher seriously. |
| Whilst- <br> listening <br> stage | The researcher played CD <br> (video). | students were pay attention <br> andlisten seriously |
|  | The researcherasked the <br> students retell in their <br> papers. | The students re-write the <br> procedure text. |
|  | The researcherassigned to <br> collected their papers. | The students collect their <br> sheet. |
| Post- <br> listening <br> stage | The researcher gave <br> conclusion or feedback <br> toward the students <br> performance. | The students listen the <br> teacher's explaination. |

c. Post-test

After having the treatment, the post test was given to the students. The post test is same as the pre test. The post test was the final test in this research, especially in measuring the treatment, whether it was significant or not, it means to know wheather the treatment gave effect or not on the students' achievement in listening.

## D. Instrument of Research

The instrument of collecting data in this research used written test. A written test is as an instrument in collecting the data. The researcher asked to the students to re-write the procedure text. The test was taken from youtube (http://www.youtube.com/watch??v=wYzZUAKTHfeQ).

### 1.1 Indicator of Listening test

The cumulative score is ranging from $0-100$ scoring listening test in order to know the students achievement in listening test. According Jacob in Hughes (2003) there are five indicators in scoring listening test. They are:

Table 3.5
The Indicators to Evaluate Listening
a. Vocabulary (25)

| Level | Explanation |
| :--- | :--- |
| $21-25$ | Very Good : rarely has trouble |
| $13-20$ | Good : sometimes user inappropriate term about language |
| $7-12$ | Fair : frequent user wrong words speech limited to simply <br> vocabulary |
| $1-6$ | Unsatisfactory : very limited vocabulary and make the <br> comprehension quite difficult. |

b. Comprehension (30)

| Level | Explanation |
| :--- | :--- |
| $26-30$ | Very Good : few noticeable errors |
| $15-25$ | Good : occasionally grammatical errors which do not observe <br> meaning |
| $9-14$ | Fair : errors of the basic structure, meaning occasionally <br> obscure by grammatical errors. |
| $1-8$ | Unsatisfactory : usage definitely unsatisfactory frequently <br> needs to rephrase construction or district himself to basic <br> structure. |

c. Grammar (25)

| Level | Explanation |
| :--- | :--- |
| $23-25$ | Very Good : errors in grammar are quite rare |
| $16-22$ | Good : control of grammar is good |
| $6-15$ | Fair : construction quite accurately but does not have throught <br> or confident control of the grammar |
| $1-5$ | Unsatisfactory : errors ini grammar frequent to speak <br> language |

d. Spelling (20)

| Level | Explanation |
| :--- | :--- |
| $16-20$ | Very Good : demonstrate mastery few errors spelling, <br> punctuation and capitalization |
| $11-15$ | Good : occasional errors of spelling, punctuation, and <br> capitalization |
| $6-10$ | Fair : major errors of spelling, punctuation and capitalization <br> writing sentence poor, meaning confused or obscured |
| $1-5$ | Unsatisfactory : no mastery convention dominate by errors of <br> spelling, punctuation and capitalization, hand writing illegible <br> or not enough to evaluate |

## E. Technique for Collecting the Data

The data collecting is an important parts in conducting study. In order to get the data and to know influence of this technique in the students.

The researcher was applied some steps in collecting data, such as:

1. Giving pre-test for students in experimental and control group.
2. Making treatment for experimental group by using computer assisted language learning method and control group without using computer assisted language learning method.
3. Giving post-test for students in experimental and control class.
4. Collecting the students' paper sheets.

## F. Technique for Analyzing Data

After collecting the data from the test, the data was analyzed by using the following procedure:

1. Reading the students' answer sheet.
2. Identifying the students' answer sheet.
3. Scoring the students' answer.
4. Listing their scores in two scores tables; first for experimental group scores as X variable and second for control group scores as Y variable.
5. Calculating the mean of the students' score by using formula:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{M}_{1}=\frac{\sum X}{N_{1}} \text { for variable } \mathrm{X} \\
& \mathrm{M}_{2}=\frac{\sum Y}{N_{2}} \text { for variable } \mathrm{Y}
\end{aligned}
$$

6. Measuring Standard Deviation of variable X and variable Y by using the following formula:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{SD}_{1}=\sqrt{\frac{\sum X^{2}}{N_{1}}} \text { for variable } \mathrm{X} \\
& \mathrm{SD}_{2}=\sqrt{\frac{\sum Y^{2}}{N_{2}}} \text { for variable } \mathrm{Y}
\end{aligned}
$$

7. Finding out a standard error of mean of both variable by using the following formula:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{SE}_{\mathrm{M} 1}=\frac{S D_{1}}{\sqrt{N_{1-1}}} \text { for variable } \mathrm{X} \\
& \mathrm{SE}_{\mathrm{M} 2}=\frac{S D_{2}}{\sqrt{N_{2-1}}} \text { for variable } \mathrm{Y}
\end{aligned}
$$

8. Finding out the Standard Error differential between $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{y}}$ using the formula:

$$
\mathrm{SE}_{\mathrm{M} 1-\mathrm{M} 2}=\sqrt{S E_{M_{1}^{2}}+} S E_{M_{2}^{2}}
$$

9. Testing the hypothesis by applying T-test:

$$
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{o}}=\frac{M_{1-M_{2}}}{S E_{M_{1}-M_{2}}}
$$

Note:
$\mathrm{SD}_{\mathrm{x}} \quad:$ Standard Deviation of experimental group.
$\mathrm{SD}_{\mathrm{y}} \quad:$ Standard Deviation of control group.
$X^{2} \quad:$ Total score of $\left(X_{2}-X_{1}\right)^{2}$.
$\mathrm{Y}^{2} \quad:$ Total score of $\left(\mathrm{Y}_{2}-\mathrm{Y}_{1}\right)^{2}$
$\mathrm{N}_{1} \quad:$ Total sample of experimental group.
$\mathrm{N}_{2} \quad:$ Total sample of control group.
$\mathrm{SE}_{\mathrm{M} 1} \quad:$ Standard Error of Mean in experimental group.
$\mathrm{SE}_{\mathrm{M} 2} \quad:$ Standard Error of Mean in control group.
$\mathrm{SE}_{\mathrm{M} 1-\mathrm{M} 2} \quad:$ Standard Error differential between $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{y}}$.
$t_{0} \quad:$ Test observation.

## G. Statistical Hypothesis

Ha : There is a significant effect of using computer assisted language learning method on the students' achievement in listening.

## CHAPTER IV

## DATA AND DATA ANALYSIS

## A. Data

The data was collected by giving the students a listening test. In this research the sample was divided into two groups. The experimental and control group. Each group was given pre-test and post-test. Below was the table of sample score:

Table 4.1
The Score of Pre-Test in Experimental Group

| No | Students' <br> Initial Name | Score |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{G}$ | $\mathbf{S}$ |  |  |
| 1 | AAH | 20 | 22 | 18 | 17 | 77 |
| 2 | AHS | 15 | 14 | 12 | 13 | 54 |
| 3 | AM | 20 | 16 | 19 | 16 | 71 |
| 4 | ARA | 12 | 12 | 14 | 13 | 51 |
| 5 | AJS | 19 | 20 | 13 | 12 | 64 |
| 6 | BABB | 17 | 12 | 15 | 14 | 58 |
| 7 | BIS | 15 | 16 | 14 | 18 | 63 |
| 8 | DYS | 14 | 16 | 13 | 14 | 57 |
| 9 | DAB | 19 | 18 | 16 | 18 | 71 |
| 10 | FS | 18 | 15 | 14 | 15 | 62 |
| 11 | HPH | 17 | 19 | 17 | 14 | 67 |
| 12 | HNP | 15 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 49 |
| 13 | HCS | 20 | 20 | 15 | 12 | 67 |
| 14 | JNSH | 18 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 55 |
| 15 | KHS | 18 | 15 | 15 | 13 | 61 |
| 16 | LAL | 18 | 18 | 12 | 15 | 63 |
| 17 | MAS | 20 | 20 | 12 | 15 | 67 |
| 18 | NMMD | 18 | 17 | 15 | 14 | 64 |
| 19 | NZ | 13 | 16 | 17 | 15 | 61 |
| 20 | NSAD | 14 | 15 | 17 | 13 | 59 |
| 21 | PMS | 18 | 15 | 15 | 13 | 61 |
| 22 | PBHS | 15 | 12 | 15 | 12 | 54 |


| 23 | RAP | 14 | 16 | 16 | 14 | 60 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | RP | 19 | 18 | 16 | 14 | 67 |
| 25 | RMP | 20 | 13 | 10 | 14 | 57 |
| 26 | RAAS | 13 | 15 | 10 | 11 | 49 |
| 27 | RDS | 20 | 17 | 15 | 16 | 68 |
| 28 | RTS | 18 | 12 | 15 | 15 | 60 |
| 29 | SES | 20 | 21 | 18 | 15 | 74 |
| 30 | SS | 18 | 15 | 12 | 18 | 63 |
| 31 | VPM | 17 | 18 | 20 | 16 | 71 |
| 32 | WCBB | 20 | 12 | 12 | 14 | 58 |
| 33 | WRPS | 18 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 66 |
| 34 | WSP | 18 | 19 | 15 | 18 | 70 |
| 35 | YCS | 15 | 18 | 14 | 15 | 62 |
| 36 | YBW | 18 | 19 | 13 | 15 | 65 |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  | 2230 |
|  | Mean |  |  |  |  | 61,94 |

The data in table 4.1 above showed that the lowest score of pre-test in experimental group was 49 while the highest score of pre-test was 71 .

Table 4.2
The Score of Post-Test in Experimental Group

| No | Students' <br> Initial Name | Indicators |  |  |  | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathbf{V}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{G}$ | $\mathbf{S}$ |  |
| 1 | AAH | 20 | 22 | 18 | 17 | 77 |
| 2 | AHS | 22 | 25 | 20 | 20 | 85 |
| 3 | AM | 23 | 20 | 18 | 20 | 81 |
| 4 | ARA | 24 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 84 |
| 5 | AJS | 25 | 27 | 20 | 20 | 92 |
| 6 | BABB | 21 | 19 | 22 | 18 | 80 |
| 7 | BIS | 20 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 79 |
| 8 | DYS | 24 | 20 | 23 | 17 | 84 |
| 9 | DAB | 21 | 24 | 20 | 22 | 87 |
| 10 | FS | 20 | 20 | 22 | 20 | 82 |
| 11 | HPH | 19 | 20 | 22 | 19 | 80 |
| 12 | HNP | 20 | 25 | 22 | 18 | 85 |
| 13 | HCS | 18 | 24 | 20 | 20 | 82 |


| 14 | JNSH | 20 | 25 | 18 | 19 | 82 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | KHS | 19 | 21 | 20 | 20 | 80 |
| 16 | LAL | 24 | 24 | 24 | 20 | 92 |
| 17 | MAS | 20 | 22 | 21 | 18 | 81 |
| 18 | NMMD | 18 | 25 | 23 | 18 | 84 |
| 19 | NZ | 18 | 22 | 20 | 20 | 80 |
| 20 | NSAD | 19 | 18 | 23 | 20 | 80 |
| 21 | PMS | 20 | 23 | 22 | 18 | 83 |
| 22 | PBHS | 19 | 21 | 20 | 20 | 80 |
| 23 | RAP | 17 | 25 | 25 | 20 | 87 |
| 24 | RP | 20 | 22 | 23 | 18 | 83 |
| 25 | RMP | 19 | 27 | 22 | 18 | 86 |
| 26 | RAAS | 20 | 22 | 24 | 16 | 82 |
| 27 | RDS | 17 | 25 | 22 | 20 | 84 |
| 28 | RTS | 20 | 24 | 25 | 19 | 88 |
| 29 | SES | 25 | 27 | 20 | 20 | 92 |
| 30 | SS | 22 | 25 | 24 | 19 | 90 |
| 31 | VPM | 20 | 24 | 25 | 18 | 87 |
| 32 | WCBB | 22 | 20 | 25 | 18 | 85 |
| 33 | WRPS | 19 | 24 | 22 | 20 | 85 |
| 34 | WSP | 20 | 24 | 19 | 17 | 80 |
| 35 | YCS | 18 | 24 | 22 | 16 | 80 |
| 36 | YBW | 23 | 22 | 20 | 20 | 85 |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  | 3014 |
|  | Mean |  |  |  |  | 83,72 |

The data in table 4.2 above showed that the lowest score of post-test in experimental group was 77 while the highest score of post-test was 92 .

Table 4.3
The Score of Pre-Test in Control Group

| No | Students' <br> Initial Name | Indicators |  |  |  | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathbf{V}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{G}$ | $\mathbf{S}$ |  |
| 1 |  | 8 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 38 |
| 2 |  | 10 | 13 | 12 | 15 | 50 |
| 3 |  | 11 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 46 |


| 4 | ADEG | 10 | 13 | 11 | 14 | 48 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | BJPS | 10 | 10 | 10 | 13 | 42 |
| 7 | CTS | 14 | 13 | 15 | 16 | 58 |
| 8 | DBD | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 40 |
| 9 | DAP | 14 | 12 | 15 | 13 | 54 |
| 10 | ENL | 12 | 14 | 10 | 10 | 46 |
| 11 | EHHM | 9 | 12 | 9 | 13 | 43 |
| 12 | ES | 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 50 |
| 13 | ES | 12 | 13 | 10 | 10 | 45 |
| 14 | EARS | 10 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 47 |
| 15 | EY | 11 | 11 | 12 | 10 | 42 |
| 16 | FRA | 12 | 13 | 11 | 12 | 48 |
| 17 | FW | 12 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 48 |
| 18 | FBA | 8 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 38 |
| 19 | GPN | 10 | 10 | 13 | 12 | 45 |
| 20 | GNFD | 12 | 10 | 12 | 13 | 47 |
| 21 | JP | 12 | 13 | 15 | 13 | 53 |
| 22 | JRS | 11 | 12 | 15 | 12 | 50 |
| 23 | MRM | 12 | 15 | 12 | 10 | 49 |
| 24 | RSS | 12 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 47 |
| 25 | RYDS | 13 | 13 | 10 | 14 | 50 |
| 26 | RNF | 12 | 12 | 10 | 12 | 46 |
| 27 | RTS | 12 | 13 | 10 | 10 | 45 |
| 28 | RAM | 20 | 15 | 16 | 14 | 65 |
| 29 | TLS | 10 | 13 | 10 | 10 | 43 |
| 30 | VM | 13 | 10 | 12 | 10 | 45 |
| 31 | VJP | 10 | 12 | 10 | 10 | 42 |
| 32 | VY | 15 | 13 | 12 | 10 | 50 |
| 33 | WA | 14 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 48 |
| 34 | YSH | 10 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 47 |
| 35 | YHS | 13 | 14 | 12 | 10 | 49 |
| 36 | YAN | 12 | 10 | 12 | 17 | 51 |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  | 1712 |
|  | Mean |  |  |  |  | 47,55 |

The data in table 4.3 above showed that the lowest score of pre-test in control group was 38 while the highest score of pre-test was 65 .

Table 4.4
The Score of Post-Test in Control Group

| No | Students' Initial Name | Indicators |  |  |  | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | V | C | G | S |  |
| 1 | AANBS | 12 | 15 | 14 | 15 | 56 |
| 2 | AFS | 15 | 12 | 15 | 15 | 57 |
| 3 | AEM | 17 | 15 | 13 | 11 | 56 |
| 4 | ADEG | 17 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 77 |
| 5 | ATA | 20 | 15 | 17 | 13 | 65 |
| 6 | BJPS | 13 | 15 | 12 | 16 | 56 |
| 7 | CTS | 18 | 13 | 17 | 15 | 63 |
| 8 | DBD | 12 | 14 | 15 | 13 | 54 |
| 9 | DAP | 14 | 16 | 13 | 15 | 58 |
| 10 | ENL | 12 | 14 | 13 | 15 | 54 |
| 11 | EHHM | 15 | 13 | 13 | 15 | 56 |
| 12 | ES | 20 | 15 | 14 | 18 | 67 |
| 13 | ES | 12 | 15 | 16 | 13 | 56 |
| 14 | EARS | 12 | 17 | 13 | 15 | 57 |
| 15 | EY | 17 | 15 | 13 | 15 | 60 |
| 16 | FRA | 15 | 18 | 13 | 17 | 63 |
| 17 | FW | 14 | 11 | 13 | 14 | 52 |
| 18 | FBA | 17 | 10 | 13 | 15 | 55 |
| 19 | GPN | 18 | 17 | 15 | 20 | 70 |
| 20 | GNFD | 12 | 14 | 15 | 13 | 54 |
| 21 | JP | 15 | 17 | 13 | 16 | 61 |
| 22 | JRS | 12 | 15 | 11 | 15 | 53 |
| 23 | MRM | 12 | 13 | 12 | 17 | 54 |
| 24 | RSS | 15 | 13 | 17 | 16 | 61 |
| 25 | RYDS | 14 | 13 | 16 | 17 | 60 |
| 26 | RNF | 12 | 13 | 15 | 18 | 58 |
| 27 | RTS | 13 | 15 | 13 | 15 | 56 |
| 28 | RAM | 20 | 18 | 15 | 19 | 72 |
| 29 | TLS | 15 | 14 | 12 | 15 | 56 |
| 30 | VM | 16 | 15 | 18 | 17 | 66 |
| 31 | VJP | 15 | 17 | 17 | 10 | 59 |
| 32 | VY | 17 | 15 | 12 | 16 | 60 |
| 33 | WA | 14 | 14 | 13 | 16 | 57 |
| 34 | YSH | 16 | 13 | 16 | 14 | 59 |
| 35 | YHS | 16 | 17 | 15 | 15 | 63 |


| 36 | YAN | 20 | 18 | 13 | 15 | 66 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  | 2147 |
|  | Mean |  |  |  |  | 59,63 |

The data in table 4.4 above showed that the lowest score of post-test in experimental group was 52 while the highest score of pre-test was 77 .

## B. The Data Analysis

Based on the data from the test, the score were analyzed in order that the differences of pre-test and post-test of the experimental group and control group.

Table 4.5

## The differencess Score between Pre-Test and Post-Test in Experimental Group

| No | Students' Initial Name | Pre-Test $\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$ | Post-Test $\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ | $\mathbf{X}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{2}}-\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | AAH | 61 | 77 | 16 |
| 2 | AHS | 54 | 85 | 31 |
| 3 | AM | 71 | 81 | 10 |
| 4 | ARA | 51 | 84 | 33 |
| 5 | AJS | 64 | 92 | 28 |
| 6 | BABB | 58 | 80 | 22 |
| 7 | BIS | 63 | 79 | 16 |
| 8 | DYS | 57 | 84 | 27 |
| 9 | DAB | 71 | 87 | 16 |
| 10 | FS | 62 | 82 | 20 |
| 11 | HPH | 67 | 80 | 13 |
| 12 | HNP | 49 | 85 | 36 |
| 13 | HCS | 67 | 82 | 15 |
| 14 | JNSH | 55 | 82 | 27 |
| 15 | KHS | 61 | 80 | 19 |
| 16 | LAL | 63 | 92 | 29 |
| 17 | MAS | 67 | 81 | 14 |
| 18 | NMMD | 64 | 84 | 20 |
| 19 | NZ | 61 | 80 | 19 |
| 20 | NSAD | 59 | 80 | 21 |
| 21 | PMS | 61 | 83 | 22 |


| 22 | PBHS | 54 | 80 | 26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23 | RAP | 60 | 87 | 27 |
| 24 | RP | 67 | 83 | 16 |
| 25 | RMP | 57 | 86 | 29 |
| 26 | RAAS | 49 | 82 | 33 |
| 27 | RDS | 68 | 84 | 16 |
| 28 | RTS | 60 | 88 | 28 |
| 29 | SES | 74 | 92 | 18 |
| 30 | SS | 63 | 90 | 27 |
| 31 | VPM | 71 | 87 | 16 |
| 32 | WCBB | 58 | 85 | 27 |
| 33 | WRPS | 66 | 85 | 19 |
| 34 | WSP | 70 | 80 | 10 |
| 35 | YCS | 62 | 80 | 18 |
| 36 | YBW | 65 | 85 | 20 |
|  | Total | 2230 | 3014 | 784 |
|  | Mean | 61,94 | 83,72 | 21,78 |

Based on the table 4.5 above the mean score of Experimental group were calculated as the follows:

$$
M X_{1}=\frac{\sum X_{1}}{n_{1}}=\frac{784}{36}=21,78
$$

Which:
$M X_{1}$ : The mean score of experimental group
$\sum X_{1}$ : The scores of $x_{2}-x_{1}$
$n_{1}$ : The sample of experimental group

Table 4.6
The Differences Score between Pre-test and Post-Test in Control Group.

| No | Students' Initial Name | Pre-Test $\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$ | Post-Test $\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ | $\mathbf{X}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{\mathbf{2}}-\boldsymbol{y}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | AANBS | 38 | 56 | 18 |
| 2 | AFS | 50 | 57 | 7 |
| 3 | AEM | 46 | 56 | 10 |


| 4 | ADEG | 48 | 77 | 29 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | ATA | 57 | 65 | 8 |
| 6 | BJPS | 42 | 56 | 14 |
| 7 | CTS | 58 | 63 | 5 |
| 8 | DBD | 40 | 54 | 14 |
| 9 | DAP | 54 | 58 | 4 |
| 10 | ENL | 46 | 54 | 8 |
| 11 | EHHM | 43 | 56 | 13 |
| 12 | ES | 50 | 67 | 17 |
| 13 | ES | 45 | 56 | 11 |
| 14 | EARS | 47 | 57 | 10 |
| 15 | EY | 42 | 60 | 18 |
| 16 | FRA | 48 | 63 | 15 |
| 17 | FW | 48 | 52 | 4 |
| 18 | FBA | 38 | 55 | 17 |
| 19 | GPN | 45 | 70 | 25 |
| 20 | GNFD | 47 | 54 | 7 |
| 21 | JP | 53 | 61 | 8 |
| 22 | JRS | 50 | 53 | 3 |
| 23 | MRM | 49 | 54 | 5 |
| 24 | RSS | 47 | 61 | 14 |
| 25 | RYDS | 50 | 60 | 10 |
| 26 | RNF | 46 | 58 | 12 |
| 27 | RTS | 45 | 56 | 11 |
| 28 | RAM | 65 | 72 | 7 |
| 29 | TLS | 43 | 56 | 13 |
| 30 | VM | 45 | 66 | 21 |
| 31 | VJP | 42 | 59 | 17 |
| 32 | VY | 50 | 60 | 10 |
| 33 | WA | 48 | 57 | 9 |
| 34 | YSH | 47 | 59 | 12 |
| 35 | YHS | 49 | 63 | 14 |
| 36 | YAN | 51 | 66 | 15 |
|  | Total | 1712 | 2147 | 435 |
|  | Mean | 47,55 | 59,63 | 12,08 |

Based on the table 4.6 above the mean score of Control group were calculated as the follows:

$$
M y_{1}=\frac{\sum y_{1}}{n_{2}}=\frac{435}{36}=12,08
$$

Which:
$M y_{1}$ : The mean score of control group
$\sum y_{1}$ : The scores of $y_{2}-y_{1}$
$n_{2}$ : The sample of control group
Based on the mean score of both sample groups, the following tables were the tables for calculating the correlation score in both groups.

Table 4.7
The Calculation of Mean and Standard Deviation Score of Experimental Group.

| No | Students' Initial Name | $\mathbf{X}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{2}}-\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$ | $\mathbf{X ( X - M x )}$ | $\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{M x})^{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | AAH | 16 | $-5,78$ | 33,4084 |
| 2 | AHS | 31 | 9,22 | 85,0084 |
| 3 | AM | 10 | $-11,78$ | 138,7684 |
| 4 | ARA | 33 | 11,22 | 125,8884 |
| 5 | AJS | 28 | 6,22 | 38,6884 |
| 6 | BABB | 22 | 0,22 | 0,0484 |
| 7 | BIS | 16 | $-5,78$ | 33,4084 |
| 8 | DYS | 27 | 5,22 | 27,2484 |
| 9 | DAB | 16 | $-5,78$ | 33,4084 |
| 10 | FS | 20 | $-1,78$ | 3,1684 |
| 11 | HPH | 13 | $-8,78$ | 77,0884 |
| 12 | HNP | 36 | 14,22 | 202,2084 |
| 13 | HCS | 15 | $-6,78$ | 45,9684 |
| 14 | JNSH | 27 | 5,22 | 27,2484 |
| 15 | KHS | 19 | $-2,78$ | 7,7284 |
| 16 | LAL | 29 | 7,22 | 52,1284 |
| 17 | MAS | 14 | $-7,78$ | 60,5284 |
| 18 | NMMD | 20 | $-1,78$ | 3,1684 |
| 19 | NZ | 19 | $-2,78$ | 7,7284 |
| 20 | NSAD | 21 | $-0,78$ | 0,6084 |
| 21 | PMS | 22 | 0,22 | 0,0484 |
| 22 | PBHS | 26 | 4,22 | 17,8084 |
| 23 | RAP | 27 | 5,22 | 27,2484 |


| 24 | RP | 16 | $-5,78$ | 33,4084 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | RMP | 29 | 7,22 | 52,1284 |
| 26 | RAAS | 33 | 11,22 | 125,8884 |
| 27 | RDS | 16 | $-5,78$ | 33,4084 |
| 28 | RTS | 28 | 6,22 | 38,6884 |
| 29 | SES | 18 | $-3,78$ | 14,2884 |
| 30 | SS | 27 | 5,22 | 27,2484 |
| 31 | VPM | 16 | $-5,78$ | 33,4084 |
| 32 | WCBB | 27 | 5,22 | 27,2484 |
| 33 | WRPS | 19 | $-2,78$ | 7,7284 |
| 34 | WSP | 10 | $-11,78$ | 138,7684 |
| 35 | YCS | 18 | $-3,78$ | 14,2884 |
| 36 | YBW | 20 | $-1,78$ | 3,1684 |
|  | Total | 784 | $-0,08$ | 1598,222 |
|  | Mean | 21,78 | $-0,0022$ | 44,395 |
|  | SD |  |  | 6,662 |

Table 4.8
The Calculation of Mean and Standard Deviation Score of Control Group.

| No | Students' Initial Name | $\mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{2}}-\boldsymbol{y}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$ | $\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{M y})$ | $\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{M y})^{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | AANBS | 18 | 5,92 | 35,0464 |
| 2 | AFS | 7 | $-5,08$ | 25,8064 |
| 3 | AEM | 10 | $-2,08$ | 4,3264 |
| 4 | ADEG | 29 | 16,92 | 286,2864 |
| 5 | ATA | 8 | $-4,08$ | 16,6464 |
| 6 | BJPS | 14 | 1,92 | 3,6864 |
| 7 | CTS | 5 | $-7,08$ | 50,1264 |
| 8 | DBD | 14 | 1,92 | 3,6864 |
| 9 | DAP | 4 | $-8,08$ | 65,2864 |
| 10 | ENL | 8 | $-4,08$ | 16,6464 |
| 11 | EHHM | 13 | 0,92 | 0,8464 |
| 12 | ES | 17 | 4,92 | 24,2064 |
| 13 | ES | 11 | $-1,08$ | 1,1664 |
| 14 | EARS | 10 | $-2,08$ | 4,3264 |
| 15 | EY | 18 | 5,92 | 35,0464 |
| 16 | FRA | 15 | 2,92 | 8,5264 |
| 17 | FW | 4 | $-8,08$ | 65,2864 |


| 18 | FBA | 17 | 4,92 | 24,2064 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | GPN | 25 | 12,92 | 166,9264 |
| 20 | GNFD | 7 | $-5,08$ | 25,8064 |
| 21 | JP | 8 | $-4,08$ | 16,6464 |
| 22 | JRS | 3 | $-9,08$ | 82,4464 |
| 23 | MRM | 5 | $-7,08$ | 50,1264 |
| 24 | RSS | 14 | 1,92 | 3,6864 |
| 25 | RYDS | 10 | $-2,08$ | 4,3264 |
| 26 | RNF | 12 | $-0,08$ | 0,0064 |
| 27 | RTS | 11 | $-1,08$ | 1,1664 |
| 28 | RAM | 7 | $-5,08$ | 25,8064 |
| 29 | TLS | 13 | 0,92 | 0,8464 |
| 30 | VM | 21 | 8,92 | 79,5664 |
| 31 | VJP | 17 | 4,92 | 24,2064 |
| 32 | VY | 10 | $-2,08$ | 4,3264 |
| 33 | WA | 9 | $-3,08$ | 9,4864 |
| 34 | YSH | 12 | $-0,08$ | 0,0064 |
| 35 | YHS | 14 | 1,92 | 3,6864 |
| 36 | YAN | 15 | 2,92 | 8,5264 |
|  | Total | 435 | 0,12 | 1178,75 |
|  | Mean | 12,08 | 0,0033 | 32,743 |
|  | SD |  |  | 5,722 |

Based on the table 4.8 showed that the calculation standard deviation of the experimental group was 1178,75 .

Based on the tables above, the following t-test was implemented to find out the $t_{\text {observe }}$ value both of experimental and control group as the basis to test hypothesis of this research.

Measuring the standard deviation of variable by using the following formula :

1. SD Variable X

$$
\begin{aligned}
S D_{x} & =\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{x^{2}}}{N_{1}}} \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{1598,222}{36}} \\
& =\sqrt{44,395} \\
& =6,662
\end{aligned}
$$

2. SD Variable Y

$$
\begin{aligned}
S D_{y} & =\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{y} 2}{N_{2}}} \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{1178,75}{36}} \\
& =\sqrt{32,743} \\
& =5,722
\end{aligned}
$$

Based on the calculation above shown the following facts:

| $S D_{x}$ | $=6,662$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $S D_{y}$ | $=5,722$ |
| $N_{1}$ | $=36$ |
| $N_{1}$ | $=36$ |
| X | $=784$ |
| Y | $=435$ |
| $M_{x}$ | $=21,78$ |
| $M_{y}$ | $=12,08$ |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
X(x-M x)^{2} & =1598,222 \\
X(y-M y)^{2} & =1178,75
\end{array}
$$

Therefore, the following formula was implemented:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S E_{M 1}= & \frac{S D_{x}}{\sqrt{N 1-1}} \\
& =\frac{6,662}{\sqrt{36-1}} \\
& =\frac{6,662}{5,91} \\
& =1,126 \\
S E_{M 2} & =\frac{S D_{y}}{\sqrt{N 1-1}} \\
& =\frac{5,722}{\sqrt{36-1}} \\
& =\frac{5,722}{5,91} \\
& =0,967
\end{aligned}
$$

Next the following was implemented to find out the error standard deviation between

$$
\begin{aligned}
S E_{M 1-M 2} & =\sqrt{S E_{m 1}^{2}+S E_{m 2}^{2}} \\
& =\sqrt{1,126^{2}+0,967^{2}} \\
& =\sqrt{1,267+0,935} \\
& =\sqrt{2,202} \\
& =1,48
\end{aligned}
$$

The result above then be applied to test hypothesis

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{o} & =\frac{M_{1}-M_{2}}{S E_{M 1-M 2}} \\
& =\frac{21,78-12,08}{1,48} \\
& =\frac{9,7}{1,48} \\
& =6,55
\end{aligned}
$$

## C. Testing hypothesis

The result above then be applied to test hypothesis

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{o} & =\frac{M_{1}-M_{2}}{S E_{M 1-M 2}} \\
& =\frac{21,78-12,08}{1,48} \\
& =\frac{9,7}{1,48} \\
& =6,55 \\
\mathrm{df} & =N_{1}+N_{2}-2 \\
& =36+36-2 \\
& =70
\end{aligned}
$$

After calculating the data above by using using t -test formula, the result t result showed that t -observe was 6,55 . Then based on the table of distribution of t observe as the basic of counting t-observe in certain of the degree of freedom (df), the calculation of df used the formula $\mathrm{df}=N_{1}+N_{2}-2$ with $\mathrm{df}=36+36-2=70$. The fact showed that t -observe > t -table $(6,55>1,99)$. Therefore, the null hypothesis was rejected and the alternative hypotesis accepted. In other words, the students who were taught by its mean that there was significant effect of applying computer assisted language learning method to students' listening better than
those who were taugh without applying applying computer assisted language learning method.

## D. Research Findings

After conducting the pre-test and post-test for both experimental and control group, then the finding of this study could be as follows:

1. There was significant effect of applying computer assisted language learning method to students' listening, which had been proven from the result of t test $t_{\text {observe }}>t_{\text {table }}(6,55>1,99)$ with $\alpha=5 \%$ and degree of freedom $(\mathrm{df})=70$.

## CHAPTER V

## CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

## A. Conclusion

Having analyzed the data, the conclusion are:

1. There was significant effect of applying computer assisted language learning method to students' listening which was proved from the result of t -critical $(6,55>1,99)$ with $\alpha=5 \%$ and degree of freedom (df) $=70$. So it was means that Ho rejected and Ha acccepted.

## B. Suggestion

In relation to the conclusion before, sugesstion are put below:

1. It is suggested to the English teachers to using computer assisted language learning method to the students because it enables to active their prior knowledge.
2. As English teachers can using computer assisted language learning method to the students because this method can help them in getting better understanding listening.
3. It is suggested to students to practice computer assisted language learning method by themselves to encourage their confidence and to help them when they following final examination.
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