

**SPEECH ACT IN *DONALD TRUMP'S SPEECH* IN THE PRESIDENTIAL  
CANDIDACY**

**SKRIPSI**

*Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of Requirements  
for the Degree of Sarjana Pendidikan (S.Pd)  
English Education Program*

**By:**

**SRIYANDA RESTA**

**NPM: 1302050182**



**FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION  
UNIVERSITY OF MUHAMMADIYAH SUMATERA UTARA  
MEDAN  
2017**

## ABSTRACT

**Resta, Sriyanda. 1302050182. Speech Act in *Donald Trump's Speech* in The Presidential Candidacy. Skripsi. English Department of Faculty Teacher Training and Education, University of Muhammadiyah Sumatera Utara. Medan. 2017.**

This study deals with the analysis of Speech Act in *Donald Trump's Speech* in The presidential Candidacy. The objectives of this study were to describe the types of speech act in Donald Trump's Speech in The Presidential Candidacy. to realization types of speech act that found in Donald Trump's Speech. to analyze reasons Donald Trump used speech act. Descriptive qualitative method was used in this study. Source of data was obtained from Transcription *Donald Trump's Speech*, 100% of the 9 pages was taken as the sample of data. In collecting the data, the researcher Downloading *Transcription Donald Trump's Speech* From Internet, Reading *transcript Donald Trump's Speech*, Underlining the speech acts found in *Script Donald Trump's Speech*, Analyzing the meaning of each speech act that found. Identifying each types of Speech Act. The data were analyzed in some steps, Classifying the data on the types of speech acts, Describing the data on the types of speech acts, calculating the percentage of the types of speech acts, Drawing the conclusion of the data. The finding showed the occurrences four types of speech act in *Donald Trump's Speech* in the Presidential Candidacy, they were 213 assertive or 77.8%, 9 directive or 3.2%, 51 commissive or 18.5%, 2 expressive or 0.72%, the reasons of performing speech acts viewed from the context of situation included making his speech attractive, giving an emphasis on a certain issue, showing optimism, buiding rapport to the audience, showing his seriousness to the audience that could bring america into better nation, and showing his dislike to muslim.

Keywords: *Speech act, Pragmatics, Speech, Donald Trump.*

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS



In the name of Allah, The Most Gracious and The Most Merciful. Firstly, the researcher would like to thank Allah SWT who has blessed and has given her chances in finishing her study. Secondly, blessing and peace be upon to our prophet Muhammad SAW, who has brought us from the darkness into the lightness era.

Thirdly, the researcher would like to thank her beloved parents, Bahrizal and Satriani for their love, prayer, and great support both material and moral before, during and after her study.

The researcher entitled “Speech Act in *Donald Trump’s Speech* in the presidential candidacy”, and it was written to fulfil one of requirement to obtain the degree of Sarjana Pendidikan in Faculty of Teacher Training and Education at University Muhammadiyah of North Sumatera. In writing this research, the researcher faced a lot of difficulties and problems but those did not stop her efforts to make a better one, and it is impossible to be done without helps from others. Therefore the researcher would like to thanks:

1. Dr. Agussani, M.AP, the rector of University Muhammadiyah Sumatera Utara.
2. Dr. Elfrianto Nst, S.Pd, M.Pd, the dean of Faculty of Teacher Training and Education who has given recommendation to carry out this research.
3. Mandra saragih, Spd, M.Hum, the head of English Education Program and Pirman Ginting, S.Pd, M.Hum, the secretary of English Education Program for their suggestion and administrative help in the process of completing the necessary requirements.
4. Prof. Amrin Saragih M Ph, his supervisor who has given her suggestions, ideas, criticism, and guidance in writing this research.
5. All of lecturers especially those of English Education Program who have given their valuable knowledge during academic year in UMSU.
6. H. Irfan Bustami, S.H, M.Hum, the head library of UMSU at Jl. Muchtar Basri No. 3 Medan, who has allowed her to collect the data in the library.
7. Her beloved young brother Amir Muis Alfathan and her big family who have given support, pray, and advices, may Allah SWT blessing them.
8. Her beloved friends especially Meida Yusmalinda, Ayu Safitri, Firti binti Syahrul, Fachrunnisa, Lusiana, helia, and also all of friends in Rumazah.
9. all of friends in class C- morning 2013-2017 who have given help, support and motivation.

Finally, the researcher hopes that her research will be useful for the readers, especially the students of English Education Program and also for the researcher. May Allah bless all of us. Aamiin yarobbal'amin.

Medan, April 2017

The Researcher,

**SRIYANDA RESTA**  
**NPM: 1302050182**

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>ABSTRACT .....</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT .....</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS .....</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES .....</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>LIST OF APPENDIXES .....</b>	<b>viii</b>
<b>CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
A. The Background of The Study .....	1
B. The Identification of Problem .....	3
C. Scope and Limitation .....	4
D. Formulation of The Problem .....	4
E. The Objective of The Study .....	4
F. The Significance of The Study .....	5
<b>CHAPTER II: REVIEW OF LITERATURE .....</b>	<b>6</b>
A. Theoretical Framework .....	6
1. Pragmatics.....	6
2. The Goal of Pragmatic .....	7
3. Speech Acts .....	9
3.1 Austin's Categorization of Speech Acts.....	10
3.2 Searle's Categorization of Speech Acts .....	11

3.3 Leech's Categorization of Speech Acts.....	12
4. Types of Speech Acts .....	13
4.1 Locutionary Acts .....	13
4.2 Illocutionary Acts .....	14
4.2.1 The types of locutionary act.....	15
a. Representative .....	15
b. Directives .....	15
c. Commissives .....	16
d. Expressive .....	16
e. Declarative .....	16
4.2.2 Illocutionary Force Indicating Device (IFID).....	17
4.3 Perlocutionary Acts .....	20
5. Context .....	21
5.1 Context of Situation.....	22
5.2 Context of Socio Cultural .....	24
6. Speech.....	24
7. Language of Politics .....	26
8. The Profile of Donald Trump .....	27
B. Relevant studies .....	28
C. Conceptual Framework .....	28

<b>CHAPTER III: METHOD OF RESEARCH .....</b>	<b>30</b>
A. Research Design .....	30
B. Source of Data .....	30
C. Techniques for Collecting Data .....	31
D. The Techniques of Data Analysis .....	31
<b>CHAPTER IV: DATA AND DATA ANALYSIS .....</b>	<b>32</b>
A. Data .....	32
B. Data Analysis .....	50
C. Research Findings and Discussion.....	56
<b>CHAPTER V: CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION.....</b>	<b>57</b>
A. Conclusions .....	57
B. Suggestions .....	57
<b>REFERENCES</b>	
<b>APPENDICES</b>	



## **LIST OF TABLES**

Table 4.1 Proportionally Speech Act .....	31
---	----

## **LIST OF APPENDIXES**

Appendix 1	Transcription of Donald Trump’s speech.....
Appendix 2	Form K-1 .....
Appendix 3	Form K-2 .....
Appendix 4	Form K-3 .....
Appendix 5	Lembar Pengesahan Proposal .....
Appendix 6	Surat Pernyataan Bukan Plagiat.....
Appendix 7	Lembar Pengesahan Hasil Seminar .....
Appendix 8	Surat Permohonan Perubahan Judul Skripsi .....
Appendix 9	Surat Izin Riset .....
Appendix 10	Surat Keterangan Selesai Riset.....
Appendix 11	Berita Acara Bimbingan Proposal .....
Appendix 12	Berita Acara Bimbingan Skripsi.....
Appendix 13	Curriculum Vitae.....

## **CHAPTER I**

### **INTRODUCTION**

#### **A. Background of the Study**

politician language deals with the use of power to organize people's mind and opinion. it is an instrument used to control the society in general. speech heard by a lot of people, every person has different interpretations that can influence the candidates. political speech can be seen as a means of establishing and maintaining social relationships, expressing feeling, and selling ideas, policies and programmes in any society. in pragmatics aspect, this means speech act theory speech act performed by particular word often depends on the speaker's intention and the context in which the word uttered.

As stated by Austin (1962), there are three types of acts that can be performed by every utterance, given in the right circumstances: (1). Locutionary, which is the act of actually uttering sentences or utterances. (2). Illocutionary, which is the act that is performed in saying something. This is when the speaker shows his or her intantion in speaking. (3). Perlocutionary, which is the act by saying something in particular context. Perlocution is a respond from the hearer. A respond is not only in foem of reply utterence but also in form of understanding action.

According to Searle (1979:12-17), illocutionary act is used to accomplish some communicative purpose, such as asking, ordering, suggesting, requesting, informing, advising, greeting. He said that there are five categories of utterance found in illocutionary act. They are assertive, directive, commissive, expressive, and declarative. Furthermore, he explains that an assertive is an illocutionary act which is influenced by the speaker's belief, directive is an illocutionary act where the speaker wants the hearer to do or not to do something, commissive is an illocutionary act which commits the speaker to do the action in the future, expressive is an illocutionary act that expresses his feelings about an event, and declaration is an illocutionary act which is uttered by the speaker to change the state of affairs in the world.

In communication, context is important because context is needed to help the hearer to understand the speaker's intention. As Leech (1983: 13) explains, context is "any background knowledge assumed to be shared by S (speaker) and H (hearer) which contributes to H's interpretation of what S means by given an utterance". It means that to be able to understand what the speaker say, the hearer must have the same background knowledge with the speaker which is, the context. Without context, the hearer will find difficulty in interpreting the meaning of the speaker's utterance. When there is no context, the communication between the speaker and the hearer will fail. The hearer may be confused or misunderstand the speaker's intention. And also lack to understand types of speech act.

In the speech, the speakers highly expect that the hearers understand speakers' intention. In fact, the speaker use utterances with the implied meaning. So that, hearer have difficulty in interpreting what are being said and often misunderstand and the commucation between the speaker and the hearer will fail.

In Donald Trump's speech the researcher observes that there are so many utterances that are use by Donald Trump. The utterance related to the speech action and speech event. These utterances relate tp speech acts include locutionary acts, illocutionary acts and perlocutionary acts. There were types of speech acts in this speech that is the reason why speech act is choosen to be analyzed. There are analyzed based context, emotion, and feeling.

Based on the explanation above, the researcher is interested to do a research entitled "Speech Act in *Donald Trump's Speech* in the Presidential Candidacy"

## **B. The Identification of Problem**

Related to the background above, the problems were identified below:

1. The readers were lack to understand the types of speech act.
2. Some people were still confused to understand speech act.

## **C. Scope and Limitation**

This study is limited to dealing with the speech act which is also the illocutionary act.

#### **D. Formulation of the problem**

In reference to the limitation of the problem above, the research question are as follows:

1. What types of speech acts are performed by Donald Trump in his speech?
2. How is the speech act that found in Donald Trump's speech?
3. Why does Donald Trump perform the speech acts?

#### **E. The Objective of The Study**

In relation to the problems of the study, the objectives of the study were:

1. to describe the types of speech act in Donald Trump's Speech in The Presidential Candidacy.
2. to realized of the speech act that found in Donald Trump's Speech.
3. to analyze reasons Donald Trump used speech act.

#### **F. The Significance of The Study**

The finding of the study were expected to be useful theoretically and practically.

1. Theoretically, the finding of the study and significance
  - a. to give information about speech acts of illocutionary act especially in Donald Trump's speech in the Presidential Candidacy.
  - b. to be reference for further study.

2. Practically, the finding are describing as being useful for
  - a. the readers, it is expected to give them clear information about speech acts in Donald Trump's Speech in The Presidential Candidacy,
  - b. english teachers, especially who are teaching speech act, can use this research as the material in supporting the teaching learning process, and
  - c. students, who are learning speech act, especially about speech act to enlarge their knowledge.

## **CHAPTER II**

### **THE REVIEW OF LITERATURE**

#### **A. Theoretical Framework**

##### **1. Pragmatics**

Pragmatics is another branch of linguistics that is concerned with meaning. The meaning itself comes from the context when someone utter words, phrases or sentences. The speaker has some factors that make their utterance be sense. There are many definitions about pragmatics from some linguists. Levinson (1983:24) defined pragmatics as the study of the ability of language to pair sentences with the context in which they would be appropriate. It means that both of hearer and speaker must have skill to catch the appropriate meaning of sentence the certain context.

According to Yule (1996:9) defined pragmatics as the study of contextual meaning. Pragmatics is concerned with the study of meaning as communicated by a speaker (or a writer) and interpreted by a listener (or a reader). So that, it involves the interpretation what people mean in a particular context and how the context influences what is said. And also Yule (1996:127) said when we read or hear a piece of language. We normally try to understand not only what the words mean, but what the writer or speaker of those words intended to convey. Furthermore, Yule (1996:3) divided three areas in which pragmatics are concerned. Firstly, pragmatics is the study of meaning. In means that pragmatics



focus on everything which the speaker communicated and if the hearer can interpret the utterance of the speaker. Secondly, pragmatics is the study of contextual meaning. When the speaker wants to say something, they must consider to organize whom, when, where they are talking. Third, pragmatics is the study of how to get communication rather than what is said. Pragmatics is related with what is unsaid by the speaker.

Pragmatics is the study of the relation between language and context that is the basic account of language understanding (Leech, 1983:10). Thus, Yule (1996:4) stated the speaker or the writer must be able to depend on a lot of shared assumptions and expectations. Pragmatics concerns to people's assumptions, people's purpose or goals, and the types of actions (such as request, offer, apologize, etc.) that they are performing when they speak.

In reference to the definition of pragmatics stated by some experts above, it can be summarized that pragmatics is the study of the speaker's intended meaning related to its context. It deals with how people use language within a context and why they use language in a particular way.

## **2. The Goal of Pragmatics**

Akmajian (2010:363) summarized that minimal requirement of an adequate pragmatics theory as follows:

- a. A pragmatics theory must contain classification of speech acts
- b. A pragmatics theory must contain analysis and definition of various speech acts must contained

- c. A pragmatics theory must contain specification various use of various speech acts.
- d. A pragmatics theory must contain related and direct language use to such phenomena a follows:
  - 1. Linguistic structure (semantics, syntax, and phonology)
  - 2. The structure of communication, the course of conversation and social institutions.
  - 3. Speaker meaning, implication, persupposition and understanding.

Pragmatics deals with utterance, by which we are mean specific events, the intentional acts of speakers at time and places, typically involving language and sometimes character as dealing with the effect of context. The facts with which pragmatics deals are various sorts, including:

- 1. Facts about the objective facts of the utterance, including: who the speakers is, when the utterance occured and where.
- 2. Facts about the speakers' intentions. On the near side, what meaning is intended to be using, whom he intends to refer to with various shared names. On the far side, what he intends to achieve by saying what he does.
- 3. Facts about beliefs of the speaker and those to whom he speaker and the conversation they are engages in, what beliefs do they share, what is the focus of the conversation, what are they talking about.

4. Fact about relevant social institutions, such as promising, marriage, ceremonies, courtroom procedure, and the like, which effect what a person accomplishes in or by saying what he does.

### **3. Speech Acts**

The utterances produced by the speakers often carry actions such as to inform, to express feeling and ect. This is often called speech acts. With regard to speech acts, the followings present the defenition and categorization of speech acts.

Speech acts have become important issues in the field of linguistics. There are many linguisticts who have provided different defenitions of speech acts. The term speech act was initiated by Austin (1962) and developed by Searle. According to Austin, a speech act is an act performed when someone says something. Moreover, he classifies the speech acts into locutionary, illocutionary, and perlocutionary acts.in line with Austin (1962) state that speech acts are actions carrird out through language.

Another defenition is presented by Nunan (1993). According to him, speech acts are things people do through language for instance apologizing, complaining, instructing, agreeing, and warning. Furthemore, Yule (1996) says that actions performed via utterances are often called speech acts.

The next defenition is proposed by Aitchison (2003) who states that speech act is a number of utterance functioning like actions. Moreover, she says that when someone utters a sequence of words, they are trying to achieve some

effects from those words. In summary, speech acts are utterances that replace actions for particular goals in certain situations.

Some linguists offer different categorizations of speech acts. There are three categorizations of speech acts in reference to Austin (1962), Searle (1969) and Leech (1983). Each is presented below.

### **3.1 Austin's Categorization of Speech Acts.**

Austin (1962) proposes three different types of speech acts. They consist of locutionary, illocutionary and perlocutionary. Locutionary act is uttering certain utterances with particular sense and reference, which is roughly equivalent to meaning in the traditional sense (Austin, 1962). In other words, locutionary acts perform the acts of saying something. Moreover, Leech (1996) makes a formula for this act into: *s* says to *h* that *X*. In this formula, *s* refers to the speaker, *h* refers to the hearer, and *X* refers to the words spoken with a certain sense and reference.

Another definition is presented by Yule (1996). He states that this type of act is the basic act of utterances to produce meaningful linguistic expressions. Similarly, Cutting (2002) defines locutionary acts into what is said or the form of the words spoken. Locutionary acts can be classified in three types based on how English sentences are constructed. They include declarative, imperative and interrogative. Each has the idea of telling something, giving an order, and asking a question respectively (Austin, 1962).

The second type of speech acts according to Austin (1962) is illocutionary acts. This is the act of informing, ordering, warning, undertaking and

etc. According to Austin (1962), illocutionary acts is an utterance which has a particular conventional force. In other words, illocutionary acts refers to what one does in saying something. This act can be formulated into: in saying X, s asserts that P in which P refers to the proposition or basic meaning of an utterance (Leech, 1996). With regard to this kind of act, Yule (1996) gives an example “I’ve just made some coffee”. In saying that utterance, the speaker makes an offer or a statement. Austin divides illocutionary acts into five main types. Verdictives, Exercitives, Commisives, Behabities and Expositives.

### **3.2 Searle’s Categorization of Speech Acts**

The classification of speech acts is presented differently by Searle (2005). According to Searle (2005) someone perform three different acts when they are speaking, nemely utterance acts, propositional acts, and illocutionary acts. Utterance acts refers to uttering collection of words. Meanwhile, propositional acts and illocutionary acts deal with uttering words in sentences in certain context, under certain conditions, and with certain intentions. Searle (2005) divides illocutionary acts into five main types, there are: Representative, directives, commisives, expressive, declarative.

### **3.3 Leech’s Categorization of Speech Acts**

Another categorization of speech acts is presentes by Leech (1996). Leech (1996) says that the finctions of illocutionary rely on how utterances relate to the socialgoal of establis heing and maintaining community, in this case, speech acts

are categorized into four types, namely competitive, convivial, collaborative and conflictive.

The first kind of speech acts is comperative. In this type of speech act. The goal of the illocutionary compete with the social goals. This speech act function to show politeness in the form of negative parameter. The important point regarding this act is reducing the discord in the competition between what the speakers want to again and what 'good menner'is ordering, asking, demanding, begging, and requesting are the examples of this kind of speech act.

The second type of speech acts is convivial. The illocutionary goals in convivial acts are related to the social goals. In contrast to competitive acts, convivial is intrinsically courteous. This means that politeness is in the positive form of seeking oportulating are the examples of this type of speech acts.

The next type of speech acts is collaborative. It has the idea that the illocutionary goals are different from the social goals. N this case, politeness and impoliteness are relevent. This is found in most of written discourse. Asserting, reporting, announcing, and instructing belong to this type of speech acts.

The last type of speech acts is conflictive. This act suggests that be illocutionary goals conflict with the social goals. With regard to this, politeness does not need to be requesned because the term in this illocutionary function are used to cause offence or hurt the hearer's feeling. Threatening, accusing, cursing, and reprimanding are included as the examples of the comflictive.

#### 4. Types of Speech Act

In linguistic communication, people do not merely exchange information. They actually do something through talking or writing in various circumstance. Action performed via speaking is called speech act.

##### 4.1 Locutionary Acts

According to Austin, locutionary acts is acts of speaking, acts involved in the construction of speech. Such as uttering certain sounds or making certain marks, using particular words and using them in conformity with the grammatical rules of a particular language and with certain references as determined by the rules of the language from which they are drawn. Locution is the actual words that are uttered. Austin (1975:109), also explained first awakened wide interest in speech acts, included the act of referring as part of the locutionary act, and they were first separated by Searle in speech acts (1969:81) whereas locution are defined on a particular language, reference is defined on particular worlds.

Examples:

(1) *Angklung is the traditional music tool from Indonesia.*

(a statement to inform traditional music tool of Indonesia)

(2) *China has many people*

(a statement about the population in China)

## 4.2 Illocutionary Acts

Illocutionary acts is an act performed in saying something. It is something that the speaker intend to do in making utterance. It is performed within the full control of the speaker and it is evident after the utterance is made.

Examples:

(3) *Open the windows please*

( a statement about ordering to do something)

(4) *Where does he go*

(a statement about asking someone)

Akmajian (2010:396) pointed out that there are some characteristic of illocutionary act:

- a. Illocutionary act can often be successfully performed simply by uttering the tight explicit performative sentence, with the right intention and beliefs and under the right circumstance.
- b. Illocutionary act are central to linguistics communication. The normal conversation is composed in the right part of statement, request, order, thank, ask, and the like. The performed act of persuding, one does so by performing illocutionary act of stating or informing.
- c. Most illocutionary act which used to communicate has the feature that one perform them successfully, simply by getting one's illocutionary intention recognized. For example, when someone says, "I'm thirsty", which means that the speaker wants the listener to give him a glass of



water. His illocutionary intention will be recognized if the listener responds it by giving a glass of water to the speaker. Then will be successfully tell the listener his intention.

#### **4.2.1 The types of illocutionary Acts.**

Searle (1975) has set up the following classification of illocutionary speech acts, they are:

##### **a. Representative (Assertive)**

are the point or purpose of the members of the representative class is to commit the speaker (in varying degrees) to something's being the case, to the truth of the expressed proposition. All of the member of the representative class are assessable on the dimension of assessment which includes true and false. Representative can be uttered in a form of affirming, stating, asserting, believing, concluding, conveying, boasting, denying, describing, informing, predicting, claiming, complaining, and suggesting.

(1) Charles Darnay ;” actually sir, I believe it’s only your bad luck that has kept me out of prison in France.” (the utterance of Charles Darnay performs representative of believing)

##### **b. Directives**

are the illocutionary point of these consists in the fact that they are attempts (of varying degrees, and hence, more precisely, they are determined of the determinable which includes attempting) by the speaker to get the hearer

to do something, e.g. ordering, commanding, requesting, asking, praying, inviting, permitting, advising, recommending and warning.

(2) “when was it passed ?” (the utterance of speaker performs about asking).

### **c. Commissive**

are those illocutionary acts whose point is to commit the speaker (again in varying degrees) to some future course of action, e.g. promising, offering, and vowing.

(3) “I will listen to you, I will be glad to, if it makes your happier. (the utterance of speaker perform about promising)

### **d. Expressive**

are the illocutionary point of this class is to express the psychological state specified in the sincerity condition about a state of affairs specified in the propositional content, e.g. thanking, congratulation, pardoning, blaming, condoling, praising, wishes and greetings.

(4) Thank you very much, sir (the utterance of speaker about thanking)

(5) Congratulate you that you won the race nor (a statement about congratulation).

### **e. Declarative**

are defining characteristic of this class that the successful performance of one of its members brings about the correspondence between the propositional content corresponds to the word, e.g. appointing, blessing, cursing, disapproving,

bidding, firing, form employment, dismissing, excommunicating, naming, nominating, and resigning.

(6) Oke please, go ahead from my office. Don't you back work again here!

(the utterance of speaker perform declarative of dismissing).

#### **4.2.2 Illocutionary force Indicating Device (IFID)**

Defining illocutionary forces is a difficult task, and previous sections give a hint why. On the one hand, qua an illocutionary act an utterance is something more than a sentence endowed with a propositional content (and a certain mode of presentation and/or an illocutionary potential), but on the other hand, illocutionary acts are not perlocutions.

Austin famously attempted to draw the perlocutions/illocutions divide with the help of conventionality; while the effects of perlocutionary acts belong to the realm of physical causation, the effects that the endows an utterance with its illocutionary force - the uptake - is only conventional. This appeal to conventions was criticized by Strawson (1964) on the grounds that while the successful performance of illocutionary acts such as bidding five no trumps depends on the interlocutor's awareness of certain conventions being in force, in order to recognize that an utterance is, for instance, a warning, one does not need any convention. Following Strawson's lead, illocutionary acts are often divided into institutional illocutionary acts whose study requires to take into account intra

cultural conventions and non institutional illocutionary acts whose analysis can be laid out in general, cross linguistic and cross-cultural terms.

According to strawson (1964-1197), illocutionary forces belonging to the second, non institutional category must be analyzed. A speaker performed an illocutionary act, if and only if

- a. The speaker has the intention (*i1*) to produce a certain effect on the addressee
- b. The speaker has the intention (*i2*) that the addressee recognizes *i1*
- c. The speaker has the intention (*i3*) that the recognition of *i2* causes the satisfaction of *i1* and
- d. The speaker has the intention (*i4*) that the addressee recognizes *i3*.

In short, a locutionary act would constitute an illocutionary act if, and only if, from its performance, the addressee can infer that the speaker had complex intentions of the kind of *i1-i4*, Bach and Harnis(1979:1197) provided a systematic account of speech act interpretation in these inferential terms.

One way to avoid the confusion between illocutionary and perlocutionary acts is to get back to conventions. Searle (1969:1198) thus claims that the literal, that is, conventional, meaning of sentence type is the direct and literal illocutionary act to which the utterance of this sentence corresponds, in other words, to know the linguistic meaning. There are two questionable consequences to this view, which I shall only mention. First, one has to endorse Searle's Expressibility Principle, or some variant of it, which states that every illocutionary

act can be expressed literally. Because Searle's position is also that any illocutionary act can take any proposition as content, it follows that every proposition can be expressed literally, the philosophical problems surrounding such a claim are considerable. Second, because according to Searle, the literal meaning of a sentence is the illocutionary act performed by way of uttering this sentence, and that this literal meaning is also the linguistic meaning of the sentence, we have to assume (i) that the utterance of a sentence is always, at the literal level. The performance of an illocutionary act (even if the utterance is ironical), (ii) that the literal meaning of any sentence (token) is independent from the context.

Finally, it is important to point out that the notion of convention used by Searle is perhaps too rigid. According to Searle (1969), conventions conform to the formula in *C*, *X counts as Y*. With illocutionary forces, the situation would be the following. Producing certain sound (*X*) in a context where these sounds correspond to the language *L* both interlocutors use (*C*) counts, by virtue of the conventions governing the use of *L*, as a certain illocutionary act (*Y*). Such a view implies that recognising a sequence of sounds as belonging to a certain language automatically endows this sequence with an illocutionary force. We have already seen in the former section that if the locutionary/illocutionary distinction is justified, it is precisely because some of our utterances have no illocutionary force besides, illocutionary force is cancellable. Take (1) for instance.

(7) I'll come to your party

In most circumstances, (1) will be interpreted as a promise that is, as committing the speaker to come to the hearer's party. Such a speech act does not seem indirect or non literal. Yet, this promissory or commissive force does not belong to the conventional meaning of (1) for instance, it can clearly be cancelled.

(8) I'll come to your party. I can't promise though.

Conventions, and more especially conventions that govern our use of language, can be thought of in more flexible terms. A convention is just a pattern of activity that keeps being reproduced, because it triggers certain effects often enough for this reproduction to take place. In such terms, saying that a certain sentence has conventionally a certain illocutionary force does not mean that it cannot be used without this force, but that its having this illocutionary force has been robust enough to ensure that speakers continue to use it. To be sure, it remains to be shown how conventionally having an illocutionary force can be analyzed without assimilating this conventional function to the production of certain perlocutionary effects.

### **4.3 Perlocutionary Act**

Perlocutionary act is an utterance with a function intending it to have an effect. It is performed by saying something that produces effects to the hearer. Cruse(2000:332) said that perlocutionary acts are acts performed by means of language using language as tool. The elements which define the act are external to

the locutionary act. Take the act of persuading someone to do something, or getting them to believe that something is the case.

The perlocutionary is hearer's behavioral response to the meaning of utterance not necessary a physical or verbal response, perhaps merely by a mental or emotional response of some kinds. Other perlocutionary acts are such as things as : alerting hearer of danger, persuading hearer to an opinion by stating supporting facts, intimidating hearer by warning hearer of danger, persuading hearer to an opinion by stating supporting facts; intimidating hearer by threatening, getting hearer to do something by means of a suggestion, a hint, a request or a command and so forth.

## **5. Context**

Context belongs to an important aspect in pragmatics since pragmatics deals with the meaning of words in context or interaction and how the persons involved in the interaction. According to Nunan (1993), context is the situation giving rise to the discourse is embedded. Context can be divided into two types. They include linguistic and non-linguistic context. Linguistic context refers to the language that surrounds a part of discourse being analyzed meanwhile non-linguistic context are related to the discourse within. Non-linguistic context may consist of communication types, topic, purposes, settings, participant, and shared background knowledge of a certain event. Also, context can be classified into context of situation and context of social cultural they are explained below.

## 5.1 Context of Situation

Context of situation plays an important role in communication. It refers to what speakers know about what they can see around them (Cutting, 2002). In addition, Hymes in Wardhaugh (1986) state that there are many factors involved in speaking. They are describe are ethnography of a communicative event which is relevant with understanding how a particular communicative event achieves its objectives. The first factor is setting and scene (s). setting deals with the time and place. In other words, it has something to do with the concrete physical circumstances in which a speech occurs. Meanwhile, scene is the abstract psychological setting. The example of setting and scene is a graduation speech will have a joyful scene whereas the inaugural speech of USA president will have a serious one within a certain setting.

The second factor is participant (P). This enables many different combinations between speakers and listeners. Addressers and addressees, or senders and receivers. Generally, they meet particular socially specified roles for instance teacher and students, doctors and patients, parents and children and the like. The third factor is Ends (E). This deals with the conventionally recognized and expected outcomes of an exchange. Also, it is related to the personal goals that participants pursue to accomplish on certain events e.g. in a courtroom, each person has personal goals to achieve since the court process involve many participants such as the jury, the judge, the prosecutor, the witness, the accused, and the defense. The fourth factor is Act of sequence (A). This deals with the



actual form and content of what is said. This may include how precise the words are used, how the words are used, and how the relationship between that is said and the actual topic being discussed is. For instance: in a public lecture, each participant has their own sense of act sequence to follow the system of language and things discussed within. The fifth factor is Key (K). It includes the tone, manner, or spirit in which a certain message is delivered e.g. serious, humorous, sarcastic, light-hearted, gesture, posture, and event development. The sixth factor is instrumentalities (I). Instrumentalities are related to the choice of channel. For example oral, written, telegraphic and the actual form of speech employed such as the language, code, dialect or register.

The next factor is Norms of interaction and interpretation (N). This refers to specific behaviour and properties that attach to speaking and also to how these may be viewed by someone who does not share them e.g. loudness, silence, gaze return, and the like. The last factor is Genre (G) it is clearly a demarcated type of utterances. This includes poems, proverbs, riddles, sermons, lectures, prayers and etc. They are different from casual speeches for instance church services to conduct sermons, colleges public lectures, poems reading and etc.

In relation to context, Holmes (1992) states that contexts should be viewed from several relevant and helpful factors. Holmes (1992) adds that linguistic choices generally reflect the influence of one or more on the following: (1) the participants. (2) the setting or social context of the interaction. (3) the topic being talked and (4) the function of speaking.

## 5.2 Context of Socio Cultural

Another type of context is socio-cultural context. This influences the linguistic choices of the speakers. With regard to this, Malinowski in Halliday and Hasan (1986) says that the context of the cultural refers to the institutional and ideological background which provides value and contains an interpretation for instance, one say X may not be considered as rude in a particular group of conversation but X may not be considered as rude in another group of conversation because both groups of conversation may have different cultures.

Any linguistic interaction the whole cultural history of the participant and the kind of practices they engage in the short, it is not sufficient if people only take into account the context of situation and ignore the cultural context.

## 6. Speech

Speech is the vocalized form of communication based upon the syntactic combination of lexicals and names that are drawn from very large (usually about 1,000 different words) vocabularies. Each spoken word is created out of the phonetic combination of a limited set of vowel and consonant speech sound units (phonemes). These vocabularies, the syntax which structures them, and their sets of speech sound units differ, creating many thousands of different, and mutually unintelligible, human languages. Most human speakers are able to communicate in two or more of them, hence being polyglots. The vocal abilities that enable humans to produce speech also enable them to sing.

A gestural form of human communication exists for the deaf in the form of sign language. Speech in some cultures has become the basis of a written language, often one that differs in its vocabulary, syntax and phonetics from its associated spoken one, a situation called diglossia. In addition to its use in communication, it is suggested by some psychologists such as Vygotsky that speech is internally used in mental processes to enhance and organize cognition in the form of an interior monologue.

Speech is researched in terms of the speech production and speech perception of the sounds used in vocal language. Other research topics concern speech repetition, the ability to map heard spoken words into the vocalizations needed to recreate them, which plays a key role in vocabulary expansion in children and speech errors. Several academic disciplines study these; including acoustics, psychology, speech pathology, linguistics, cognitive science, communication studies, otolaryngology and computer science. Another area of research is how the human brain in its different areas such as the Broca's area and Wernicke's area underlies speech.

It is controversial how far human speech is unique; in that animals also communicate with vocalizations. While none in the wild have comparably large vocabularies, research upon the nonverbal abilities of language trained apes such as Washoe and Kanzi raises the possibility that they might have these capabilities. The origins of speech are unknown and subject to much debate and speculation.

## 7. Language of Politics

Language is widely used in the field of politics to persuade and influence people. According to Joseph (2006), language reflects the speaker's intelligence, industry, and social worthiness level of exposure or education. Interpreting language use in this way belongs to a political act. The power and responsibility of the speakers can be reflected from the linguistic political dimension that utilizes language to achieve their goals.

In relation to politics, Thomas (2004) states that politics deals with power including the power of making decisions, controlling resources, controlling other people's behavior and often controlling their values. Politics cannot be separated from power. The power acquisition and political beliefs enforcement can be gained using some strategies, namely through physical coercion or legal system. The physical coercion events that are regarded as significant in history involve the imposition, military rules, dictatorial regimes, and the like. Some types of coercions are also implemented in a democracy through the legal system e.g. there are laws which regulate where people are supposed to litter, laws on the prohibition of destroying others' belongings, and the laws that rule where and when people can drink alcohol legally. If someone breaks these laws, they will be fined, arrested and imprisoned. They are examples of political ends gained by coercions.

In order to secure power, it is necessary to persuade and convince everyone that what you want to achieve is the same as what they want. With regard to this, an ideology needs to be established i.e. the ideology that makes the beliefs

which you want people to hold appear to be common sense. Therefore it makes difficult for them to question that dominant ideology.

## **8. The profile of Donald Trump**

Donald John Trump Sr. (born June 14, 1946) is an American business magnate, investor, television personality and author. He is the chairman and president of The Trump Organization and the founder of Trump Entertainment Resorts. Trump's extravagant lifestyle, outspoken manner, and role on the NBC reality show *The Apprentice* have made him a well-known celebrity who was No. 17 on the 2011 Forbes Celebrity 100 list.

Trump is the son of Fred Trump, a wealthy New York City real-estate developer. He worked for his father's firm, Elizabeth Trump & Son, while attending the Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania, and in 1968 officially joined the company. He was given control of the company in 1971 and renamed it The Trump Organization.

In 2010, Trump expressed an interest in becoming a candidate for President of the United States in the 2012 election, though in May 2011, he announced he would not run. Trump was a featured speaker at the 2013 Conservative Political Action Conference (CPAC). In 2013, Trump spent over \$1 million to research a possible run for President of the United States in 2016. Trump is expected to make a formal announcement on whether he will run for President of the United States on June 16, 2015.

## B. Relevant studies

There some studies that related to this research had been conducted before. The first study is conducted in the proposal by Meida Yusmalinda. On her title proposal entitle: *Performative Utterance in Muhammad the Messenger of Allah Biography*. This study is shown the research about performative and used random sampling technique and researcher tool 50% to be sample. And the found a book of biography Muhammad and analyzed the content of biography.

The second related is conducted in the journal by Anda Ryan Syah, Sriti Usman, Siska Bochari on their title journal entitle: *Analysis of illocutionary act of Command By The main Character in Despicable Me Film*. They are classified the data into two tables based on the forms of sentence. The writer found that there were two form of sentence in command that were uttered by the main character in Despicable Me Film. They were imperative and declarative form of sentence. What makes this research different from the first and second previous is in this research the researcher analyzing more specific of utterance and conducting from subtitle; *Speech act in Donald Trump's Speech in The presidential Candidacy*.

## C. Conceptual Framework

Pragmatics is the study of language in a human context of use. Language use is the process by which people communicate for various purposes, using linguistics mean. This process is influenced by the conditions of society, in as much these conditions establish the user's access to end control of those means.

Then, pragmatics can also be described as a societally oriented and societally bound linguistics.

Speech act is studied in some interdisciplinary studied, such as: pragmatic, sociolinguistic and so on. But, it is viewed from different point with discussion each them. Pragmatic focuses on the meaning of context which happened between a speaker and hearer in communication or concerns on the meaning of utterances in speech.

The researcher believe that there are speech acts in Donald Trump's speech, and it must be discovered so that can be found the real meaning and get the point and understood by the readers. And then in this research the researcher chooses Searle's illocutionary acts.

In this research used descriptive qualitative method, the researcher classifying the utterances into the Searle's categories of illocutionary acts and calculating the percentage of the Searle's categories of illocutionary acts.

## **CHAPTER III**

### **METHOD OF RESEARCH**

#### **A. Research Design**

In conducting this research, the qualitative documentary method will be use. The data consists of words. Flick (2009:21) says that qualitative research is oriented toward analyzing concrete cases in their temporal and local particularly and starting for people's expression and their local content. It meant that analyzing expression based on the context and situation is a important section that used during this research.

By using descriptive qualitative method, this research tried to describe the speech acts which explain in the speech. Therefore, the researcher collected the data, analyzed and interpreted the various forms of speech act.

#### **B. Source of Data**

The source of data this research was transcription of *Donald Trump's Speech*. Consisting of 9 pages. The speech was published by Politico Staff.

Used sensus sampling technique. Was used in this study From 9 pages, the researcher take 100 % to be the sample. The pages used, it is a full transcription of the speech.



### **C. Techniques for Collecting Data**

There were some steps in collecting the data. The steps were as follows:

1. Downloading Transcript *Donald Trump's Speech* From Internet.
2. Reading transcript *Donald Trump's Speech*.
3. Underlining the speech acts found in Transcript *Donald Trump's Speech*.
4. Analyzing the meaning of each speech act that found.
5. Identifying each types of speech acts.

### **D. The Technique of Data Analysis**

The data were analyzed by using descriptive technique. In this technique, the data was analyzed in term of the qualitative analysis. The steps were as follows:

1. Classifying the data on the types of speech acts.
2. Describing the data on the types of speech acts.
3. Calculating the percentage of the types of speech acts.
4. Drawing the conclusion of the data.

## CHAPTER IV

### DATA AND DATA ANALYSIS

#### A. Data

There were 275 data was found in the Donald Trump's Speech in the Presidential Candidacy, Nov. 9, 2016. (see appendix)

#### B. Data Analysis

After analyzing the data, the speech act used in the speech of presidential candidacy is proportionally summarize in Table 4.1

Table 4.1  
Proportionally Speech Act

No.	Type of Speech act	Number	Percentage
1.	Assertive	213	77.8%
2.	Directive	9	3.2%
3.	Commissive	51	18.5%
4.	Expressive	2	0.72%
5.	Declarative	-	-
<b>Total</b>		<b>275</b>	<b>100%</b>

#### 4.1 Assertive/Representative

Out of the types of speech act as seen in table 4.1 the speech is dominated by assertive 77.8%

Example:

1. *Any government that fails to do so is a government unworthy to lead.*

(Stating, data no 15)

2. *Decades of progress made in bringing down crime are now being reversed by this Administration's rollback of criminal enforcement.*

(informing, data no 23)

3. *This is the legacy of Hillary Clinton: death, destruction and weakness.*

(Asserting, data no 65)

#### **4.1 Directives**

Out of the types of speech act as seen in table 4.1 the type speech act of directive 3.2%

Example:

1. *What about our economy?*

(Asking, data no 34)

2. *Yet, what do we have to show for it?*

(Asking, data no 42)

3. *Now let us consider the state of affairs abroad*

(Inviting, data no 44)

#### **4.3 Commisive**

Out of the types of speech act as seen in table 4.1 the type speech act of commissive 18.5%

Example:

1. *Together, we will lead our party back to the White House*

(Promising, data no 3)

2. *The crime and violence that today afflicts our nation will soon come to an end.*

(Promising, data no 12)

3. *Beginning on January 20th 2017, safety will be restored.*

(Promising, data no 13)

#### **4.4 Expressive**

Out of the types of speech act as seen in table 4.1 the type speech act of expressive 0.72%

Example:

1. *Friends, delegates and fellow Americans*

(greeting, data no 1)

2. *I humbly and gratefully accept your nomination for the presidency of the United States.*

(Thanking, data no 2)

3. *At this moment, I would like to thank the evangelical community who have been so good to me and so supportive*

(Thanking, data no 238)

#### **1. The types of speech acts are performed by Donald Trump in his speech**

The finding show that all types of speech act are employed in Donald Trump's speech. Dividing speech act into four types, assertive, directive, commissive, expressive.

### a. Assertive

Assertive is an illocutionary act that represents a state of affairs. E.g. informing, denying, stating, asserting, predicting, announcing, conjecturing and disagreeing. There were 213 utterances found in Donald trump's speech in the Presidential Candidacy.

For example:

1. *But here, at our convention, there will be no lies.*

(stating, data no 20)

2. *Decades of progress made in bringing down crime are now being reversed by this Administration's rollback of criminal enforcement.*

(informing, Data no 23)

3. *In 2009, pre-Hillary, ISIS was not even on the map.*

(informing, data no 55)

### b. Directive

Directive is an illocutionary act for getting the addressee to do something. E.g. ordering, asking, commanding, daring, defying, challenging. There were 9 utterances found in Donald Trump's speech in the presidential Candidacy.

For example:

1. *What about our economy?*

(asking, data no 34)

2. *Yet, what do we have to show for it?*

(asking, data no 42)

3. *Now let us consider the state of affairs abroad.*

(inviting, data no 44)

### c. Commisive

Commisive is an illocutionary act for getting the speaker (i.e. the one performing the speech act) to do something. E.g. promising, threatening, intending, vowing to do or to refrain from doing something. There were 51 utterance found in *Donald Trump's Speech* in The Presidential Candidacy .

For example:

1. *we will lead our country back to safety, prosperity, and peace*

(promise, data no 4)

2. *Beginning on January 20th 2017, safety will be restored.*

(promise, data no 13)

3. *On the economy, I will outline reforms to add millions of new jobs and trillions in new wealth that can be used to rebuild America.*

(promise, data no 77)

### d. Expressive

Expressive is an illocutionary act that expresses the mental state of the speaker about an event presumed to be true. E.g. congratulating, thanking, deploring, condoling, welcoming, apologizing. There were 2 utterance found in *Donald Trump's speech* in the Presidential Candidacy.

For example:

1. *Friends, delegates and fellow Americans*

(greeting, data no 1)

2. *I humbly and gratefully accept your nomination for the presidency of the United States.*

(thanking, data no 2)

## **2. Realization of the Speech Act**

### **2.1 Assertive/Representative**

1. *Decades of progress made in bringing down crime are now being reversed by this Administration's rollback of criminal enforcement.*

Base on the utterance above, the researcher found the assertive. In the researcher opinion of the study is the phrase uttered reflects the informing of speaker.

2. *This is the legacy of Hillary Clinton: death, destruction and weakness.*

Base on the utterance above, the researcher found the assertive. In the researcher opinion of the study is the phrase uttered reflects the stating of speaker.

3. *Any government that fails to do so is a government unworthy to lead.*

Base on the utterance above, the researcher found the assertive. In the researcher opinion of the study is the phrase uttered reflect the stating of speaker.

### **2.2 Directive**

1. *What about our economy?*

From the utterance above, the researcher found the directive. In the researcher opinion of the study is the phrase uttered reflect the asking of speaker.

2. *Yet, what do we have to show for it?*

From the utterance above, the researcher found the directive. In the researcher opinion of the study is the phrase uttered reflect the asking of speaker.

3. *Now let us consider the state of affairs abroad.*

From the utterance above, the researcher found the directive. In the researcher opinion of the study is the phrase uttered reflect the inviting of speaker.

### **2.3 Commisive**

1. *Together, we will lead our party back to the White House*

From the utterance above, the researcher found the commisive. In the researcher opinion of the study is the phrase uttered reflect the promise of speaker.

2. *the crime and violence that today afflicts our nation will soon come to an end.*

From the utterance above, the researcher found the commisive. In the researcher opinion of the study is the phrase uttered reflect the promise of speaker.

3. *Beginning on January 20th 2017, safety will be restored.*

From the utterance above, the researcher found the commisive. In the researcher opinion of the study is the phrase uttered reflect the promise of speaker.

### **2.4 Expressive**

1. *Friends, delegates and fellow Americans*

From the utterance above, the researcher found the expressive. In the researcher opinion of the study is the phase uttered reflect the greeting of speaker.

2. *I humbly and gratefully accept your nomination for the presidency of the United States.*

From the utterance above, the researcher found the expressive. In the researcher opinion of the study is the phase uttered reflect the thanking of speaker.



3. *At this moment, I would like to thank the evangelical community who have been so good to me and so supportive*

From the utterance above, the researcher found the expressive. In the researcher opinion of the study is the phase uttered reflect the thanking of speaker.

### **3. Reasons Donald Trump used Speech Act**

After an alyzing the data speech act used in the speech of presidential candidacy. The reason for the used was explain. Viewed from the it is found the reasons are:

1. making his speech attractive it is can we look from content Donald Trump's Speech.
2. Giving an emphasis on a certain issue.

Example:

1. if you want to hear the corporate spin, the carefully-crafted lies, and the media myths the Democrats are holding their convention next week. But here, at our convention, there will be no lies.
  2. We will honor the American people with the truth, and nothing else.
  3. Decades of progress made in bringing down crime are now being reversed by this Administration's rollback of criminal enforcement.
  4. Etc.
3. Showing optimism.

Example:

1. Beginning on January 20th 2017, safety will be restored.

2. I am going to bring our jobs back to Ohio and to America – and I am not going to let companies move to other countries, firing their employees along the way, without consequences.
  3. My opponent asks her supporters to recite a three-word loyalty pledge. It reads: “I’m with her”. I choose to recite a different pledge. My pledge reads: “I’m with you – The american people.” I am your voice.
  4. Etc.
4. Buiding rapport to the audience.

Example:

1. When I am President, I will work to ensure that all of our kids are treated equally, and protected equally.
  2. We Will Make America Strong Again.
  3. The most important difference between our plan and that of our opponents, is that our plan will put America First. Americanism, not globalism, will be our credo. As long as we are led by politicians who will not put America First, then we can be assured that other nations will not treat America with respect. This will all change in 2017.
  4. Etc.
5. and showing his seriousness to the audience that could bring america into better nation.

Example:

1. I have a message for all of you: the crime and violence that today afflicts our nation will soon come to an end. Beginning on January 20th 2017, safety will be restored.
2. We will make America Strong Again.
3. We will make America Proud Again.
4. Etc.
6. And showing his dislike to moslem

Example:

1. The damage and devastation that can be inflicted by Islamic radicals has been over and over – at the World Trade Center, at an office party in San Bernardino, at the Boston Marathon, and a military recruiting center in Chattanooga, Tennessee. Only weeks ago, in Orlando, Florida, 49 wonderful Americans were savagely murdered by an Islamic terrorist. This time, the terrorist targeted our LGBT community. As your President, I will do everything in my power to protect our LGBT citizens from the violence and oppression of a hateful foreign ideology. To protect us from terrorism, we need to focus on three things.

### **C. Research Finding and discassion**

After analyzing the data were obtained from *Donald Trump's Speech*, The finding were there were four types of speech act used in *Donald Trump's Speech* namely assertive, directive, commissive, expressive, the types of speech act found in *Donald Trump's Speech* was 275 utterances included Assertive

77.8% in 214 act, directive 3.2% in 9 act, commissive 18.5% in 51 act, and expressive 0.72% in 2 act.

And the weakness this research is not all of types speech act there are in the data, but the data easy to analyzing because the content many about information and promise, but sometimes language in the data difficulty to comprehend because used implied meaning. this study is conducted in the proposal by Meida Yusmalinda. On her title proposal entitle: *Performative Utterance in Muhammad the Messenger of Allah Biography*. But different object this research the object in speech and Meida Yusmalinda's proposal in Biography.

## **CHAPTER V**

### **CONCLUTIONS AND SUGGESTIONS**

#### **A. Conclutions**

After analyzing the data, conclutions are drawn as the following.

1. There are four types of speech act found in this research, namely Assertive, directive, commisive, expressive. There are 275 Illocutionary act found in Donald Trump's Speech, include fourth types of Illocutionary act. Namely Assertive there were 214 or 77.8%, directive there were 9 or 3.2%, commisive there were 51 or 18.5%, Expressive 2 or 0.72%.
2. The realization of the Speech Act in Donald Trump's speech, we look realization from form uttererances.
3. Viewed from the it is found the reason are, making his speech attractive, giving an emphasis on a certain issue, showing optimism, buiding rapport to the audience, showing his seriousness to the audience that could bring america into better nation, and showing his dislike to muslim.

#### **B. Suggestions**

In relation to the conclutioans, suggestions are stated as the following:

1. For the student, especially for English deparment it is suggested to learn more about speech act in order to get the clear understanding and deep comprehending. It can also give any contribution for english learners.

2. The readers, who are interested to do the study about speech act especially in speech, are suggested to analyzed the problem more detail in order to develop the analysis of speech act widely.
3. It is suggested for other researcher to make detail analysis of speech act in other speeches or another field such as dialogue in television, radio, caricature and newspaper.

## CHAPTER IV

### DATA AND DATA ANALYSIS

#### A. Data

After reading Script Donald Trump's Speech. It was found Speech Act in *Donald Trump's Speech* in The Presidential Candidacy. There were 275 utterance. Those data were analyzed based on types of Illocutionary Act. Those data can be shown as a following table.

NO	Utterances	Illocutionary Acts				
		Ass	Dir	Com	Exp	Dec
1.	Friends, delegates and fellow Americans				√	
2.	I humbly and gratefully accept your nomination for the presidency of the United States.				√	
3.	Together, we will lead our party back to the White House			√		
4.	we will lead our country back to safety, prosperity, and peace			√		
5.	We will be a country of generosity and warmth. But we will also be a country of law and order.			√		
6.	Our Convention occurs at a moment of crisis for our nation	√				
7.	The attacks on our police, and the terrorism in our cities, threaten our very way of life	√				
8.	Any politician who does not grasp this danger is not fit to lead our country.	√				
9.	Americans watching this address tonight have seen the recent images of violence in our streets and the chaos in our communities.	√				
10.	Many have witnessed this violence personally, some have even been its victims.	√				

11.	I have a message for all of you	√				
12.	the crime and violence that today afflicts our nation will soon come to an end.			√		
13.	Beginning on January 20th 2017, safety will be restored.			√		
14.	The most basic duty of government is to defend the lives of its own citizens.	√				
15.	Any government that fails to do so is a government unworthy to lead.	√				
16.	It is finally time for a straightforward assessment of the state of our nation.	√				
17.	I will present the facts plainly and honestly.			√		
18.	We cannot afford to be so politically correct anymore.	√				
19.	So if you want to hear the corporate spin, the carefully-crafted lies, and the media myths the Democrats are holding their convention next week.	√				
20.	But here, at our convention, there will be no lies.	√				
21.	We will honor the American people with the truth, and nothing else.			√		
22.	These are the facts	√				
23.	Decades of progress made in bringing down crime are now being reversed by this Administration's rollback of criminal enforcement.	√				
24.	Homicides last year increased by 17% in America's fifty largest cities. That's the largest increase in 25 years	√				
25.	In our nation's capital, killings have risen by 50 percent. They are up nearly 60% in nearby Baltimore.	√				
26.	In the President's hometown of Chicago, more than 2,000 have been the victims of shootings this year alone. And more than 3,600 have been killed in the Chicago area since he took office.	√				
27.	The number of police officers killed in the line of duty has risen by	√				



	almost 50% compared to this point last year.					
28.	Nearly 180,000 illegal immigrants with criminal records, ordered deported from our country, are tonight roaming free to threaten peaceful citizens.	√				
29.	The number of new illegal immigrant families who have crossed the border so far this year already exceeds the entire total from 2015.	√				
30.	They are being released by the tens of thousands into our communities with no regard for the impact on public safety or resources.	√				
31.	One such border-crosser was released and made his way to Nebraska. There, he ended the life of an innocent young girl named Sarah Root. She was 21 years-old, and was killed the day after graduating from college with a 4.0 Grade Point Average.	√				
32.	Her killer was then released a second time, and he is now a fugitive from the law.	√				
33.	I've met Sarah's beautiful family. But to this Administration, their amazing daughter was just one more American life that wasn't worth protecting. One more child to sacrifice on the altar of open borders	√				
34.	What about our economy?		√			
35.	Again, I will tell you the plain facts that have been edited out of your nightly news and your morning newspaper	√				
36.	Nearly Four in 10 African-American children are living in poverty, while 58% of African American youth are not employed	√				
37.	2 million more Latinos are in poverty today than when the President took his oath of office less than eight years ago.	√				
38.	Another 14 million people have left	√				

	the workforce entirely.					
39.	Household incomes are down more than \$4,000 since the year 2000.	√				
40.	Our manufacturing trade deficit has reached an all-time high – nearly \$800 billion in a single year. The budget is no better.	√				
41.	President Obama has doubled our national debt to more than \$19 trillion, and growing.	√				
42.	Yet, what do we have to show for it?		√			
43.	Our roads and bridges are falling apart, our airports are in Third World condition, and forty-three million Americans are on food stamps.	√				
44.	Now let us consider the state of affairs abroad.		√			
45.	Not only have our citizens endured domestic disaster, but they have lived through one international humiliation after another.	√				
46.	We all remember the images of our sailors being forced to their knees by their Iranian captors at gunpoint.	√				
47.	This was just prior to the signing of the Iran deal, which gave back to Iran \$150 billion and gave us nothing – it will go down in history as one of the worst deals ever made.	√				
48.	Another humiliation came when president Obama drew a red line in Syria	√				
49.	and the whole world knew it meant nothing.	√				
50.	In Libya, our consulate – the symbol of American prestige around the globe – was brought down in flames.	√				
51.	America is far less safe – and the world is far less stable – than when Obama made the decision to put Hillary Clinton in charge of America's foreign policy.	√				
52.	I am certain it is a decision he truly regrets.	√				
53.	Her bad instincts and her bad judgment – something pointed out by	√				

	Bernie Sanders – are what caused the disasters unfolding today.					
<b>54.</b>	Let’s review the record.		√			
<b>55.</b>	In 2009, pre-Hillary, ISIS was not even on the map.	√				
<b>56.</b>	Libya was cooperating. Egypt was peaceful. Iraq was seeing a reduction in violence. Iran was being choked by sanctions. Syria was under control.	√				
<b>57.</b>	After four years of Hillary Clinton, what do we have?		√			
<b>58.</b>	ISIS has spread across the region, and the world. Libya is in ruins, and our Ambassador and his staff were left helpless to die at the hands of savage killers.	√				
<b>59.</b>	Egypt was turned over to the radical Muslim brotherhood, forcing the military to retake control.	√				
<b>60.</b>	Iraq is in chaos.	√				
<b>61.</b>	Iran is on the path to nuclear weapons.	√				
<b>62.</b>	Syria is engulfed in a civil war and a refugee crisis that now threatens the West.	√				
<b>63.</b>	After fifteen years of wars in the Middle East, after trillions of dollars spent and thousands of lives lost,	√				
<b>64.</b>	the situation is worse than it has ever been before.	√				
<b>65.</b>	This is the legacy of Hillary Clinton: death, destruction and weakness.	√				
<b>66.</b>	But Hillary Clinton’s legacy does not have to be America’s legacy.	√				
<b>67.</b>	The problems we face now poverty and violence at home, war and destruction abroad	√				
<b>68.</b>	will last only as long as we continue relying on the same politicians who created them.	√				
<b>69.</b>	A change in leadership is required to change these outcomes. .	√				
<b>70.</b>	Tonight, I will share with you my plan of action for America	√				

71.	The most important difference between our plan and that of our opponents, is that our plan will put America First. Americanism, not globalism, will be our credo.	√				
72.	As long as we are led by politicians who will not put America First, then we can be assured that other nations will not treat America with respect.	√				
73.	This will all change in 2017.	√				
74.	The American People will come first once again.	√				
75.	My plan will begin with safety at home – which means safe neighborhoods, secure borders, and protection from terrorism.			√		
76.	There can be no prosperity without law and order.	√				
77.	On the economy, I will outline reforms to add millions of new jobs and trillions in new wealth that can be used to rebuild America.			√		
78.	A number of these reforms that I will outline tonight will be opposed by some of our nation's most powerful special interests.	√				
79	That is because these interests have rigged our political and economic system for their exclusive benefit.	√				
80	Big business, elite media and major donors are lining up behind the campaign of my opponent because they know she will keep our rigged system in place.	√				
81.	They are throwing money at her because they have total control over everything she does.	√				
82.	She is their puppet, and they pull the strings	√				
83	That is why Hillary Clinton's message is that things will never change.	√				
84.	My message is that things have to change	√				
85.	and they have to change right now.	√				
86.	Every day I wake up determined to	√				

	deliver for the people I have met all across this nation that have been neglected, ignored, and abandoned					
<b>87.</b>	I have visited the laid-off factory workers,			√		
<b>88</b>	and the communities crushed by our horrible and unfair trade deals.	√				
<b>89</b>	These are the forgotten men and women of our country. People who work hard but no longer have a voice.	√				
<b>90</b>	I AM YOUR VOICE.	√				
<b>91.</b>	I have embraced crying mothers who have lost their children because our politicians put their personal agendas before the national good.	√				
<b>92.</b>	I have no patience for injustice, no tolerance for government incompetence, no sympathy for leaders who fail their citizens.	√				
<b>93.</b>	When innocent people suffer, because our political system lacks the will, or the courage,	√				
<b>94.</b>	or the basic decency to enforce our laws – or worse still, has sold out to some corporate lobbyist for cash – I am not able to look the other way.	√				
<b>95.</b>	And when a Secretary of State illegally stores her emails on a private server, deletes 33,000 of them so the authorities can't see her crime,			√		
<b>96</b>	puts our country at risk,	√				
<b>97.</b>	lies about it in every different form and faces no consequence – I know that corruption has reached a level like never before.	√				
<b>98.</b>	When the FBI Director says that the Secretary of State was “extremely careless” and “negligent,” in handling our classified secrets,			√		
<b>99.</b>	I also know that these terms are minor compared to what she actually did.	√				
<b>100.</b>	They were just used to save her from facing justice for her terrible crimes.	√				

<b>101.</b>	In fact, her single greatest accomplishment may be committing such an egregious crime and getting away with it – especially when others have paid so dearly.	√				
<b>102</b>	When that same Secretary of State rakes in millions of dollars trading access and favors to special interests and foreign powers I know the time for action has come.			√		
<b>103</b>	I have joined the political arena so that the powerful can no longer beat up on people that cannot defend themselves.			√		
<b>104</b>	Nobody knows the system better than me,	√				
<b>105</b>	which is why I alone can fix it.	√				
<b>106.</b>	I have seen firsthand how the system is rigged against our citizens, just like it was rigged against Bernie Sanders – he never had a chance.	√				
<b>107</b>	But his supporters will join our movement, because we will fix his biggest issue: trade.	√				
<b>108.</b>	Millions of Democrats will join our movement because we are going to fix the system so it works for all Americans			√		
<b>109</b>	In this cause, I am proud to have at my side the next Vice President of the United States: Governor Mike Pence of Indiana.			√		
<b>110</b>	We will bring the same economic success to America that Mike brought to Indiana.	√				
<b>111</b>	He is a man of character and accomplishment	√				
<b>112</b>	He is the right man for the job.	√				
<b>113</b>	The first task for our new Administration will be to liberate our citizens from the crime and terrorism and lawlessness that threatens their communities.			√		
<b>114</b>	America was shocked to its core when our police officers in Dallas were brutally executed.	√				

115.	In the days after Dallas, we have seen continued threats and violence against our law enforcement officials.	√				
116.	Law officers have been shot or killed in recent days in Georgia, Missouri, Wisconsin, Kansas, Michigan and Tennessee.	√				
117.	On Sunday, more police were gunned down in Baton Rouge, Louisiana. Three were killed, and four were badly injured.	√				
118.	An attack on law enforcement is an attack on all Americans.	√				
119.	I have a message to every last person threatening the peace on our streets and the safety of our police	√				
120.	when I take the oath of office next year, I will restore law and order our country.			√		
121.	I will work with, and appoint, the best prosecutors and law enforcement officials in the country to get the job done.			√		
122.	In this race for the White House, I am the Law And Order candidate.	√				
123.	The irresponsible rhetoric of our President, who has used the pulpit of the presidency to divide us by race and color, has made America a more dangerous environment for everyone.	√				
124.	This Administration has failed America's inner cities.	√				
125.	It's failed them on education.	√				
126.	It's failed them on jobs.	√				
127.	It's failed them on crime.	√				
128.	It's failed them at every level.	√				
129.	When I am President, I will work to ensure that all of our kids are treated equally, and protected equally.			√		
130.	Every action I take, I will ask myself:	√				
131	does this make life better for young Americans in Baltimore, Chicago, Detroit, Ferguson who have as much		√			

	of a right to live out their dreams as any other child America?					
<b>132.</b>	To make life safe in America, we must also address the growing threats we face from outside America:	√				
<b>133.</b>	we are going to defeat the barbarians of ISIS.			√		
<b>134.</b>	Once again, France is the victim of brutal Islamic terrorism.	√				
<b>135.</b>	Men, women and children viciously mowed down.	√				
<b>136.</b>	Lives ruined.	√				
<b>137.</b>	Families ripped apart.	√				
<b>138.</b>	A nation in mourning.	√				
<b>139.</b>	The damage and devastation that can be inflicted by Islamic radicals has been over and over – at the World Trade Center, at an office party in San Bernardino, at the Boston Marathon, and a military recruiting center in Chattanooga, Tennessee	√				
<b>140.</b>	Only weeks ago, in Orlando, Florida, 49 wonderful Americans were savagely murdered by an Islamic terrorist.	√				
<b>141.</b>	This time, the terrorist targeted our LGBT community.	√				
<b>142.</b>	As your President, I will do everything in my power to protect our LGBT citizens from the violence and oppression of a hateful foreign ideology.	√				
<b>143.</b>	To protect us from terrorism, we need to focus on three things.	√				
<b>144.</b>	We must have the best intelligence gathering operation in the world.	√				
<b>145.</b>	We must abandon the failed policy of nation building and regime change that Hillary Clinton pushed in Iraq, Libya, Egypt and Syria. Instead, we must work with all of our allies who share our goal of destroying ISIS and stamping out Islamic terror.	√				
<b>146.</b>	This includes working with our greatest ally in the region, the State of Israel.	√				



<b>147.</b>	Lastly, we must immediately suspend immigration from any nation that has been compromised by terrorism until such time as proven vetting mechanisms have been put in place	√				
<b>148.</b>	My opponent has called for a radical 550% increase in Syrian refugees on top of existing massive refugee flows coming into our country under President Obama.	√				
<b>149.</b>	She proposes this despite the fact that there's no way to screen these refugees in order to find out who they are or where they come from.	√				
<b>150.</b>	I only want to admit individuals into our country who will support our values and love our people.	√				
<b>151.</b>	Anyone who endorses violence, hatred or oppression is not welcome in our country and never will be.	√				
<b>152.</b>	Decades of record immigration have produced lower wages and higher unemployment for our citizens, especially for African-American and Latino workers.	√				
<b>153.</b>	We are going to have an immigration system that works, but one that works for the American people.	√				
<b>154.</b>	On Monday, we heard from three parents whose children were killed by illegal immigrants Mary Ann Mendoza, Sabine Durden, and Jamiel Shaw.	√				
<b>155.</b>	They are just three brave representatives of many thousands.	√				
<b>156.</b>	Of all my travels in this country, nothing has affected me more deeply than the time I have spent with the mothers and fathers who have lost their children to violence spilling across our border.	√				
<b>157.</b>	These families have no special interests to represent them.	√				
<b>158.</b>	There are no demonstrators to protest on their behalf.	√				

159.	My opponent will never meet with them, or share in their pain.	√				
160.	Instead, my opponent wants Sanctuary Cities.					
161.	But where was sanctuary for Kate Steinle?		√			
162.	Where was Sanctuary for the children of Mary Ann, Sabine and Jamiel?		√			
163.	Where was sanctuary for all the other Americans who have been so brutally murdered, and who have suffered so horribly?		√			
164.	These wounded American families have been alone.	√				
165.	But they are alone no longer.	√				
166.	Tonight, this candidate and this whole nation stand in their corner to support them, to send them our love, and to pledge in their honor that we will save countless more families from suffering the same awful fate.			√		
167.	We are going to build a great border wall to stop illegal immigration, to stop the gangs and the violence, and to stop the drugs from pouring into our communities.			√		
168.	I have been honored to receive the endorsement of America's Border Patrol Agents, and will work directly with them to protect the integrity of our lawful immigration system.	√				
169.	By ending catch-and-release on the border, we will stop the cycle of human smuggling and violence.			√		
170.	Illegal border crossings will go down.			√		
171.	Peace will be restored, By enforcing the rules for the millions who overstay their visas, our laws will finally receive the respect they deserve.			√		
172.	Tonight, I want every American whose demands for immigration security have been denied – and every politician who has denied them	√				

	– to listen very closely to the words I am about to say.					
173.	On January 21st of 2017, the day after I take the oath of office.	√				
174.	Americans will finally wake up in a country where the laws of the United States are enforced.			√		
175.	We are going to be considerate and compassionate to everyone.			√		
176.	But my greatest compassion will be for our own struggling citizens.	√				
177.	My plan is the exact opposite of the radical and dangerous immigration policy of Hillary Clinton.				√	
178.	Americans want relief from uncontrolled immigration.	√				
179.	Communities want relief.	√				
180.	Yet Hillary Clinton is proposing mass amnesty, mass immigration, and mass lawlessness.	√				
181.	Her plan will overwhelm your schools and hospitals, further reduce your jobs and wages, and make it harder for recent immigrants to escape from poverty.	√				
182.	I have a different vision for our workers.	√				
183.	It begins with a new, fair trade policy that protects our jobs and stands up to countries that cheat.	√				
184.	It's been a signature message of my campaign from day one, and it will be a signature feature of my presidency from the moment I take the oath of office.	√				
185.	I have made billions of dollars in business making deals	√				
186.	now I'm going to make our country rich again.			√		
187.	I am going to turn our bad trade agreements into great ones.			√		
188.	America has lost nearly-one third of its manufacturing jobs since 1997, following the enactment of disastrous trade deals supported by	√				

	Bill and Hillary Clinton.					
<b>189.</b>	Remember, it was Bill Clinton who signed NAFTA,	√				
<b>190.</b>	one of the worst economic deals ever made by our country.	√				
<b>191.</b>	Never again.			√		
<b>192.</b>	I am going to bring our jobs back to Ohio and to America – and I am not going to let companies move to other countries, firing their employees along the way, without consequences.			√		
<b>193.</b>	My opponent, on the other hand, has supported virtually every trade agreement that has been destroying our middle class.	√				
<b>194.</b>	She supported NAFTA, and she supported China's entrance into the World Trade Organization – another one of her husband's colossal mistakes.	√				
<b>195.</b>	She supported the job killing trade deal with South Korea.	√				
<b>196.</b>	She has supported the Trans-Pacific Partnership.	√				
<b>197.</b>	The TPP will not only destroy our manufacturing, but it will make America subject to the rulings of foreign governments.	√				
<b>198.</b>	I pledge to never sign any trade agreement that hurts our workers, or that diminishes our freedom and independence.			√		
<b>199.</b>	Instead, I will make individual deals with individual countries.			√		
<b>200.</b>	No longer will we enter into these massive deals, with many countries, that are thousands of pages long – and which no one from our country even reads or understands.	√				
<b>201.</b>	We are going to enforce all trade violations, including through the use of taxes and tariffs, against any country that cheats.			√		
<b>202.</b>	This includes stopping China's outrageous theft of intellectual			√		

	property, along with their illegal product dumping, and their devastating currency manipulation.					
203.	Our horrible trade agreements with China and many others, will be totally renegotiated.	√				
204.	That includes renegotiating NAFTA to get a much better deal for America – and we'll walk away if we don't get the deal that we want.	√				
205.	We are going to start building and making things again.	√				
206.	Next comes the reform of our tax laws, regulations and energy rules.	√				
207.	While Hillary Clinton plans a massive tax increase, I have proposed the largest tax reduction of any candidate who has declared for the presidential race this year – Democrat or Republican.	√				
208.	Middle-income Americans will experience profound relief,	√				
209.	and taxes will be simplified for everyone.					
210.	America is one of the highest-taxed nations in the world.	√				
211.	Reducing taxes will cause new companies and new jobs to come roaring back into our country.	√				
212.	Then we are going to deal with the issue of regulation, one of the greatest job-killers of them all.	√				
213.	Excessive regulation is costing our country as much as \$2 trillion a year,	√				
214.	and we will end it			√		
215.	We are going to lift the restrictions on the production of American energy.			√		
216.	This will produce more than \$20 trillion in job creating economic activity over the next four decades.			√		
217.	My opponent, on the other hand, wants to put the great miners and steel workers of our country out of work –	√				

218.	that will never happen when I am President.			√		
219.	With these new economic policies, trillions of dollars will start flowing into our country.	√				
220.	This new wealth will improve the quality of life for all Americans	√				
221.	We will build the roads, highways, bridges, tunnels, airports, and the railways of tomorrow.			√		
222.	This, in turn, will create millions more jobs.			√		
223.	We will rescue kids from failing schools by helping their parents send them to a safe school of their choice.			√		
224.	My opponent would rather protect education bureaucrats than serve American children.	√				
225.	We will repeal and replace disastrous Obama care.			√		
226.	You will be able to choose your own doctor again.			√		
227.	And we will fix TSA at the airports! We will completely rebuild our depleted military, and the countries that we protect, at a massive loss, will be asked to pay their fair share.			√		
228.	We will take care of our great Veterans like they have never been taken care of before.			√		
229.	My opponent dismissed the VA scandal as being not widespread – one more sign of how out of touch she really is.	√				
230.	We are going to ask every Department Head in government to provide a list of wasteful spending projects that we can eliminate in my first 100 days.			√		
231.	The politicians have talked about it, I'm going to do it.			√		
232.	We are also going to appoint justices to the United States Supreme Court who will uphold our laws and our Constitution.			√		
233.	The replacement for Justice Scalia	√				

	will be a person of similar views and principles.					
234.	This will be one of the most important issues decided by this election.	√				
235.	My opponent wants to essentially abolish the 2nd amendment.	√				
236.	I, on the other hand, received the early and strong endorsement of the National Rifle Association and	√				
237.	will protect the right of all Americans to keep their families safe.			√		
238.	At this moment, I would like to thank the evangelical community who have been so good to me and so supportive				√	
239.	You have so much to contribute to our politics, yet our laws prevent you from speaking your minds from your own pulpits.	√				
240.	An amendment, pushed by Lyndon Johnson, many years ago, threatens religious institutions with a loss of their tax-exempt status if they openly advocate their political views.	√				
241.	I am going to work very hard to repeal that language and protect free speech for all Americans.			√		
242.	We can accomplish these great things, and so much else – all we need to do is start believing in ourselves and in our country again.	√				
243.	It is time to show the whole world that America Is Back – bigger, and better and stronger than ever before.	√				
244.	In this journey, I'm so lucky to have at my side my wife Melania and my wonderful children, Don, Ivanka, Eric, Tiffany, and Barron:	√				
245.	you will always be my greatest source of pride and joy.	√				
246.	My Dad, Fred Trump, was the smartest and hardest working man I ever knew.	√				
247.	I wonder sometimes what he'd say if				√	

	he were here to see this tonight.					
248.	It's because of him that I learned, from my youngest age, to respect the dignity of work and the dignity of working people.	√				
249.	He was a guy most comfortable in the company of bricklayers, carpenters, and electricians and I have a lot of that in me also.	√				
250.	Then there's my mother, Mary.	√				
251.	She was strong, but also warm and fair-minded.	√				
252.	She was a truly great mother. She was also one of the most honest and charitable people I have ever known, and a great judge of character.	√				
253.	To my sisters Mary Anne and Elizabeth, my brother Robert and my late brother Fred, I will always give you my love you are most special to me.	√				
254.	I have loved my life in business.	√				
255.	But now, my sole and exclusive mission is to go to work for our country – to go to work for all of you.	√				
256.	It's time to deliver a victory for the American people.	√				
257.	But to do that, we must break free from the petty politics of the past.	√				
258.	America is a nation of believers, dreamers, and strivers that is being led by a group of censors, critics, and cynics.	√				
259.	Remember: all of the people telling you that you can't have the country you want, are the same people telling you that I wouldn't be standing here tonight.	√				
260.	No longer can we rely on those elites in media, and politics, who will say anything to keep a rigged system in place.	√				
261.	Instead, we must choose to Believe In America.	√				
262.	History is watching us now.	√				



263.	It's waiting to see if we will rise to the occasion.	√				
264.	and if we will show the whole world that America is still free and independent and strong.	√				
265.	My opponent asks her supporters to recite a three-word loyalty pledge.	√				
266.	It reads: "I'm With Her".	√				
267.	I choose to recite a different pledge.	√				
268.	My pledge reads: "I'M WITH YOU – THE AMERICAN PEOPLE."	√				
269.	I am your voice.	√				
270.	So to every parent who dreams for their child, and every child who dreams for their future, I say these words to you tonight: I'm With You, and I will fight for you, and I will win for you.			√		
271.	To all Americans tonight, in all our cities and towns, I make this promise: We Will Make America Strong Again.			√		
272.	We Will Make America Proud Again.			√		
273.	We Will Make America Safe Again.			√		
274.	And We Will Make America Great Again			√		
275.	THANK YOU.				√	

## B. Data Analysis

Data were analysis based on the formulation of the problems that were to find out the types of Illocutionary act and the dominant type of Illocutionary act. Data were classified according to the types of Illocutionary act. There were four types of Illocutionary act that used in Donald Trump's Speech. There were four stypes of Illocuionary that used in Donald Trump's Speech in The Presidential

Candidacy namely, Assertives/Representative, directives, commissives, expressive, declarative.

### **A. Assertive/Representative**

the first is Illocutionary acts found in assertives act. In this case, representative or assertive acts it commits the speaker to the truth of expressed proposition. Types of representative acts are informing, denying, stating, asserting, predicting, announcing, conjecturing and disagreeing.

Example:

1. Decades of progress made in bringing down crime are now being reversed by this Administration's rollback of criminal enforcement.

From the utterance above, informing was uttered Donald Trumps saying "Decades of progress made in bringing down crime are now being reversed by this Administration's rollback of criminal enforcement.". in this situation Donald Trumps give informing to american society in bringing down crime. So this situation can be categorized as assertive.

2. This is the legacy of Hillary Clinton: death, destruction and weakness.

From the utterance above, asserting was uttered Donald Trumps saying "This is the legacy of Hillary Clinton: death, destruction and weakness". This situation Donald Trumps give informing to american society about condition in america now, and after that Donald Trumps give assertive if all of that is legacy of Hillary Clinton. So, this is can be categorized as assertive

## **B. Directives**

this illocutionary act attempts the addressee to do something, the speaker intended to produce some effects through action by the hearer, such as ordering (asking for somebody to do something), commanding (commanding somebody to do thing), requesting (asking something politely), advising (giving advice or opinion to somebody about what she or he should do), recommending (suggesting or advising), asking (questions constituting a sub-class of directives).

Example:

### **1. What about our economy?**

From the utterance above, asking was uttered by Donald Trump saying “What about our economy?”. In this situation Donald Trump explain about some facts and Donald Trump ask to audience or Americans society about their economy, So this is can be categorized as directives.

### **2. Yet, what do we have to show for it?**

From the utterance above, asking was uttered by Donald Trumps saying “Yet, what do we hve to show for it?” in this situation Donald Trumps explain about some facts or situation which happen di America about aconomy, manufacturing and ect. And after that Donald Trumps say what do we have to show for it to audience, So this situation can be categorized as directives.

## **C. Commisives**

This illocutionary act is an act which the speaker commits to some future action. This point is concerned with altering the world to match the words, but this

time the point is to commit the speaker her/himself to acting and it necessarily involves intention. The example of this point are promising (stating something to do next), threatenig (stating an intention to punish or harm somebody), and offering (presenting something to be accepted or refused).

Exsmple:

1. Together, we will lead our party back to the White House

From the utterance above, promising was uttered by Donald Trumps saying “Together, we will lead our party back to the White House”. This situation after Donald Trumps greeting and thanking Donld Trumps promising will be lead their party back to the white house. this is future for his party and american society. So, this is can be categorizied as commisives.

2. the crime and violence that today afflicts our nation will soon come to an end.

From the utterance above, promising was uttered by donald trump saying “the crime and violence that today afflicts our nation will soon come to an end”. In this situation Donald Trumps promise for the next future nation all of the crime and violence and also afflicts Donald Trumps promise will soon come to an end. So, this is can be categorized as commisives.

3. Beginning on January 20th 2017, safety will be restored.

From the utterance above, promising was uttered by Donald Trumps saying “Beginning on January 20th 2017, safety will be restored”. This situation he say situation in america about crime, violence and afflicts and Donald Trumps

promising in the beginning on January 20th 2017, safety will be restored. And this can be categorized as commissives.

#### **D. Expressives**

This illocutionary act is an act which the speaker expresses a psychological state specified in the sincerity condition about a state of affairs specified in the propositional content. This point includes thanking (expressing one's gratitude to somebody), apologizing (saying sorry to somebody), welcoming (greeting somebody in a friendly way when s/he arrives), congratulating (expressing pleasure at the success or good fortunes), pardoning (acting of forgiveness), and praising (expressing a praise).

Example:

##### **1. Friends, delegates and fellow Americans**

From the utterance above, greeting was uttered by Donald Trump saying "Friends, delegates and fellow Americans". This situation Donald Trump greeting American society when he started his speech, so this situation can be categorized as expressives.

##### **2. I humbly and gratefully accept your nomination for the presidency of the United States.**

From the utterance above, thanking was uttered by Donald Trump saying "I humbly and gratefully accept your nomination for the presidency of the United States." This situation he says gratefully meaning he thanks because he accepted

your nomination for the presidency of the United States. So, this is can be categorized as expressive.

3. At this moment, I would like to thank the evangelical community who have been so good to me and so supportive

From the utterance above, thanking was utterance by Donald Trumps saying “I humbly and gratefully accept your nomination for the presidency of the United States.” This situation donald trump's say thank to the evangelical community who have been so good her and so supportive. Whit the word say this is can be categorized as expressive.

2. The dominant type of Speech Act in *Donald Trump's speech* in The Presidential Candidacy

**Table**  
**The Percentage of Illocutionary Acts Found Donald Trump's speech**

No	Illocutionary Acts	Frequences	Percentage
1.	Assertive	213	77,8%
2.	Directive	9	3,2%
3.	Commissive	51	18,5%
4.	Expressive	2	0,72%
5.	Declarative	-	-
	<b>Total</b>	275	100%

### **C. Research Finding**

After analyzing the data were obtained from *Donald Trump's Speech*, The finding were:

1. There were four types of illocutionary act used in *Donald Trump's Speech* namely assertive, directive, commissive, expressive
2. The dominant type of Illocutionary act found in *Donald Trump's Speech* was Assertive namely 77,8% in 214 act.

## **CHAPTER V**

### **CONCLUTIONS AND SUGGESTION**

#### **A. Conclutions**

After analyzing the data, conclution are drawn as the following:

1. There are five types of Illocutionary act found in this research, namely Assertive, directive, commisive, expressive, declarative. There are 275 Illocutionary act found in Donald Trump's Speech, inculude fourth types of Illocutionary act. Namely Assertive there were 214 or 77,8%, directive there were 9 or 3,2%, commisive there were 51 or 18,5%, Expressive 2 or 0,72%.
2. The dominant type of Illocutionary act found in Donald Trump's Speech was Assertive namely 77,8% in 214 act.
3. The reason of the dominant type found in this research because

#### **B. Suggestions**

In relation to the conclutions, suggestion are stated as the following:

1. For the student, especially for english deparment it is suggested to learn more about Illocutionary act in order to get the clear understanding and deep comprehending. It can also give any contribution for english learners.
2. The readers, who are interested to do the study about illocutionary act aspecially in speech, are suggested to analyzed the problem more detail in order to develop the analysis of illocutionary act widely.



3. It is suggested for other researcher to make detail analysis of illocutionary act in other speeches or another field such as dialogue in television, radio, caricature and newspaper.

## REFERENCES

- Austin, J.L. 1962. *How to Do Things With Word*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Aitchison, J. 2003. *Teach Yourself: Linguistics*. London: Hodder and Stoughton Ltd
- Akmajian, A. 2010. *An introduction to language and communication (language)*. Cambridge: Massachusetts institute of technology
- Cutting, J. 2000. *Pragmatics and Discourse*. London: Macmilan Press.
- Flick. U. 2009. *An Introduction to Qualitative Research*. Fourth Edition.
- Holmes.J. 1992. *An Introduction to Sociolinguistics*. London: Longman Group UK Limited.
- Halliday, M. A. K. And R. Hasan. 1986. *Language, Context, and Text: Aspect of Language in Social Semantic Perspective*. Victoria: Deakin University press.
- Joseph, J. E. 2006. *Language and Politics*. Edinburgh University Press.
- Leech, Geoffrey. 1983. *Principles of Pragmatics*. New York: Longman
- Leech, G. 1996. *Principles of Pragmatics*. New York: Longman
- Nunan, D. 1993. *Introduction Discourse Analysis*. England: Penguin Group.
- Searle, J. R. 1969. *Speech Acts*. Cambridge University Press.
- Searle, J. R. 2005. *Expression and Meaning: Studies in the Theory of Speech Acts*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
- Sugiono. 2010. *Metode Penelitian Kuantitative Kualitative dan R&D*. Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Thomas, L., Wareing S., Singh, I., Peccei, J.S., Thornborrow, J., and John, J. 2004. *Language, Society, and Power*. London: Routledge.
- Wardhaugh, R. 1986. *An introduction to Sociolinguistics* (2nd Edition). Oxford
- Yule. G. 1996. *Pragmatics*. Oxford University Press.

<http://www.politico.com/story/2016/07/full-transcript-donald-trump-nomination-acceptance-speech-at-rnc-225974> (accessed on November 5, 2016 at 3.00 pm)

<http://www.uspresidentialelectionnews.com/whos-running-for-president-in-2016/donald-trump-2016-profile/> (accessed on Desember 15, 2016 at 8.30 pm)

## **CURRICULUM VITAE**

Name : Sriyanda Resta

Register Number : 1302050182

Sex : Female

Religion : Moslem

Marital Status : Single

Place/Date of Birth : Banjar Aur/ April 02, 1996

Education :

A. Kindergarten : TK ABA Batahan Kab. Madina (1999- 2001 )

B. Elementary School : SD Negri 142710 Batahan Kab. Madina ( 2001- 2007 )

C. Junior High School: Mts-M 11 Batahan Kab. Madina ( 2007- 2010)

D. Senior High School: Pon-pes KH.Ahmad dahlan Sapirok (2010- 2013 )

E. Student of English Department of FKIP UMSU ( 2013- 2017 )

Hobbies : Reading and Singing

Father's Name : Bahrizal

Mother's Name : Satriani Johan

Address : Pasar Batahan Kec. Batahan Kab. Mandailing Natal

E-mail : [Sriyandaresta7@gmail.com](mailto:Sriyandaresta7@gmail.com)

Medan, April 2017

Researcher,

Sriyanda Resta

## APPENDIX 1

NO	Utterances	Illocutionary Acts				
		Ass	Dir	Com	Exp	Dec
1.	Friends, delegates and fellow Americans				√	
2.	I humbly and gratefully accept your nomination for the presidency of the United States.				√	
3.	Together, we will lead our party back to the White House			√		
4.	we will lead our country back to safety, prosperity, and peace			√		
5.	We will be a country of generosity and warmth. But we will also be a country of law and order.			√		
6.	Our Convention occurs at a moment of crisis for our nation	√				
7.	The attacks on our police, and the terrorism in our cities, threaten our very way of life	√				
8.	Any politician who does not grasp this danger is not fit to lead our country.	√				
9.	Americans watching this address tonight have seen the recent images of violence in our streets and the chaos in our communities.	√				
10.	Many have witnessed this violence personally, some have even been its victims.	√				
11.	I have a message for all of you	√				
12.	the crime and violence that today afflicts our nation will soon come to an end.			√		
13.	Beginning on January 20th 2017, safety will be restored.			√		
14.	The most basic duty of government is to defend the lives of its own citizens.	√				
15.	Any government that fails to do so is a government unworthy to lead.	√				
16.	It is finally time for a straightforward	√				

	assessment of the state of our nation.					
17.	I will present the facts plainly and honestly.			√		
18.	We cannot afford to be so politically correct anymore.	√				
19.	So if you want to hear the corporate spin, the carefully-crafted lies, and the media myths the Democrats are holding their convention next week.	√				
20.	But here, at our convention, there will be no lies.	√				
21.	We will honor the American people with the truth, and nothing else.			√		
22.	These are the facts	√				
23.	Decades of progress made in bringing down crime are now being reversed by this Administration's rollback of criminal enforcement.	√				
24.	Homicides last year increased by 17% in America's fifty largest cities. That's the largest increase in 25 years	√				
25.	In our nation's capital, killings have risen by 50 percent. They are up nearly 60% in nearby Baltimore.	√				
26.	In the President's hometown of Chicago, more than 2,000 have been the victims of shootings this year alone. And more than 3,600 have been killed in the Chicago area since he took office.	√				
27.	The number of police officers killed in the line of duty has risen by almost 50% compared to this point last year.	√				
28.	Nearly 180,000 illegal immigrants with criminal records, ordered deported from our country, are tonight roaming free to threaten peaceful citizens.	√				
29.	The number of new illegal immigrant families who have crossed the border so far this year already exceeds the entire total from 2015.	√				
30.	They are being released by the tens of thousands into our communities	√				

	with no regard for the impact on public safety or resources.					
31.	One such border-crosser was released and made his way to Nebraska. There, he ended the life of an innocent young girl named Sarah Root. She was 21 years-old, and was killed the day after graduating from college with a 4.0 Grade Point Average.	√				
32.	Her killer was then released a second time, and he is now a fugitive from the law.	√				
33.	I've met Sarah's beautiful family. But to this Administration, their amazing daughter was just one more American life that wasn't worth protecting. One more child to sacrifice on the altar of open borders	√				
34.	What about our economy?		√			
35.	Again, I will tell you the plain facts that have been edited out of your nightly news and your morning newspaper	√				
36.	Nearly Four in 10 African-American children are living in poverty, while 58% of African American youth are not employed	√				
37.	2 million more Latinos are in poverty today than when the President took his oath of office less than eight years ago.	√				
38.	Another 14 million people have left the workforce entirely.	√				
39.	Household incomes are down more than \$4,000 since the year 2000.	√				
40.	Our manufacturing trade deficit has reached an all-time high – nearly \$800 billion in a single year. The budget is no better.	√				
41.	President Obama has doubled our national debt to more than \$19 trillion, and growing.	√				
42.	Yet, what do we have to show for it?		√			
43.	Our roads and bridges are falling apart, our airports are in Third World	√				

	condition, and forty-three million Americans are on food stamps.					
44.	Now let us consider the state of affairs abroad.		√			
45.	Not only have our citizens endured domestic disaster, but they have lived through one international humiliation after another.	√				
46.	We all remember the images of our sailors being forced to their knees by their Iranian captors at gunpoint.	√				
47.	This was just prior to the signing of the Iran deal, which gave back to Iran \$150 billion and gave us nothing – it will go down in history as one of the worst deals ever made.	√				
48.	Another humiliation came when president Obama drew a red line in Syria	√				
49.	and the whole world knew it meant nothing.	√				
50.	In Libya, our consulate – the symbol of American prestige around the globe – was brought down in flames.	√				
51.	America is far less safe – and the world is far less stable – than when Obama made the decision to put Hillary Clinton in charge of America's foreign policy.	√				
52.	I am certain it is a decision he truly regrets.	√				
53.	Her bad instincts and her bad judgment – something pointed out by Bernie Sanders – are what caused the disasters unfolding today.	√				
54.	Let's review the record.		√			
55.	In 2009, pre-Hillary, ISIS was not even on the map.	√				
56.	Libya was cooperating. Egypt was peaceful. Iraq was seeing a reduction in violence. Iran was being choked by sanctions. Syria was under control.	√				
57.	After four years of Hillary Clinton, what do we have?		√			
58.	ISIS has spread across the region,	√				



	and the world. Libya is in ruins, and our Ambassador and his staff were left helpless to die at the hands of savage killers.					
59.	Egypt was turned over to the radical Muslim brotherhood, forcing the military to retake control.	√				
60.	Iraq is in chaos.	√				
61.	Iran is on the path to nuclear weapons.	√				
62.	Syria is engulfed in a civil war and a refugee crisis that now threatens the West.	√				
63.	After fifteen years of wars in the Middle East, after trillions of dollars spent and thousands of lives lost,	√				
64.	the situation is worse than it has ever been before.	√				
65.	This is the legacy of Hillary Clinton: death, destruction and weakness.	√				
66.	But Hillary Clinton's legacy does not have to be America's legacy.	√				
67.	The problems we face now poverty and violence at home, war and destruction abroad	√				
68.	will last only as long as we continue relying on the same politicians who created them.	√				
69.	A change in leadership is required to change these outcomes. .	√				
70.	Tonight, I will share with you my plan of action for America	√				
71.	The most important difference between our plan and that of our opponents, is that our plan will put America First. Americanism, not globalism, will be our credo.	√				
72.	As long as we are led by politicians who will not put America First, then we can be assured that other nations will not treat America with respect.	√				
73.	This will all change in 2017.	√				
74.	The American People will come first once again.	√				
75.	My plan will begin with safety at			√		

	home – which means safe neighborhoods, secure borders, and protection from terrorism.					
76.	There can be no prosperity without law and order.	√				
77.	On the economy, I will outline reforms to add millions of new jobs and trillions in new wealth that can be used to rebuild America.			√		
78.	A number of these reforms that I will outline tonight will be opposed by some of our nation's most powerful special interests.	√				
79	That is because these interests have rigged our political and economic system for their exclusive benefit.	√				
80	Big business, elite media and major donors are lining up behind the campaign of my opponent because they know she will keep our rigged system in place.	√				
81.	They are throwing money at her because they have total control over everything she does.	√				
82.	She is their puppet, and they pull the strings	√				
83	That is why Hillary Clinton's message is that things will never change.	√				
84.	My message is that things have to change	√				
85.	and they have to change right now.	√				
86.	Every day I wake up determined to deliver for the people I have met all across this nation that have been neglected, ignored, and abandoned	√				
87.	I have visited the laid-off factory workers,			√		
88	and the communities crushed by our horrible and unfair trade deals.	√				
89	These are the forgotten men and women of our country. People who work hard but no longer have a voice.	√				
90	I AM YOUR VOICE.	√				

91.	I have embraced crying mothers who have lost their children because our politicians put their personal agendas before the national good.	√				
92.	I have no patience for injustice, no tolerance for government incompetence, no sympathy for leaders who fail their citizens.	√				
93.	When innocent people suffer, because our political system lacks the will, or the courage,	√				
94.	or the basic decency to enforce our laws – or worse still, has sold out to some corporate lobbyist for cash – I am not able to look the other way.	√				
95.	And when a Secretary of State illegally stores her emails on a private server, deletes 33,000 of them so the authorities can't see her crime,			√		
96.	puts our country at risk,	√				
97.	lies about it in every different form and faces no consequence – I know that corruption has reached a level like never before.	√				
98.	When the FBI Director says that the Secretary of State was “extremely careless” and “negligent,” in handling our classified secrets,			√		
99.	I also know that these terms are minor compared to what she actually did.	√				
100.	They were just used to save her from facing justice for her terrible crimes.	√				
101.	In fact, her single greatest accomplishment may be committing such an egregious crime and getting away with it – especially when others have paid so dearly.	√				
102.	When that same Secretary of State rakes in millions of dollars trading access and favors to special interests and foreign powers I know the time for action has come.			√		
103.	I have joined the political arena so that the powerful can no longer beat			√		

	up on people that cannot defend themselves.					
104	Nobody knows the system better than me,	√				
105	which is why I alone can fix it.	√				
106.	I have seen firsthand how the system is rigged against our citizens, just like it was rigged against Bernie Sanders – he never had a chance.	√				
107	But his supporters will join our movement, because we will fix his biggest issue: trade.	√				
108.	Millions of Democrats will join our movement because we are going to fix the system so it works for all Americans			√		
109	In this cause, I am proud to have at my side the next Vice President of the United States: Governor Mike Pence of Indiana.			√		
110	We will bring the same economic success to America that Mike brought to Indiana.	√				
111	He is a man of character and accomplishment	√				
112	He is the right man for the job.	√				
113	The first task for our new Administration will be to liberate our citizens from the crime and terrorism and lawlessness that threatens their communities.			√		
114	America was shocked to its core when our police officers in Dallas were brutally executed.	√				
115.	In the days after Dallas, we have seen continued threats and violence against our law enforcement officials.	√				
116.	Law officers have been shot or killed in recent days in Georgia, Missouri, Wisconsin, Kansas, Michigan and Tennessee.	√				
117.	On Sunday, more police were gunned down in Baton Rouge, Louisiana. Three were killed, and four were badly injured.	√				

118.	An attack on law enforcement is an attack on all Americans.	√				
119.	I have a message to every last person threatening the peace on our streets and the safety of our police	√				
120.	when I take the oath of office next year, I will restore law and order our country.			√		
121.	I will work with, and appoint, the best prosecutors and law enforcement officials in the country to get the job done.			√		
122.	In this race for the White House, I am the Law And Order candidate.	√				
123.	The irresponsible rhetoric of our President, who has used the pulpit of the presidency to divide us by race and color, has made America a more dangerous environment for everyone.	√				
124.	This Administration has failed America's inner cities.	√				
125.	It's failed them on education.	√				
126.	It's failed them on jobs.	√				
127.	It's failed them on crime.	√				
128.	It's failed them at every level.	√				
129.	When I am President, I will work to ensure that all of our kids are treated equally, and protected equally.			√		
130.	Every action I take, I will ask myself:	√				
131	does this make life better for young Americans in Baltimore, Chicago, Detroit, Ferguson who have as much of a right to live out their dreams as any other child America?		√			
132.	To make life safe in America, we must also address the growing threats we face from outside America:	√				
133.	we are going to defeat the barbarians of ISIS.			√		
134.	Once again, France is the victim of brutal Islamic terrorism.	√				
135.	Men, women and children viciously mowed down.	√				
136.	Lives ruined.	√				

137.	Families ripped apart.	√				
138.	A nation in mourning.	√				
139.	The damage and devastation that can be inflicted by Islamic radicals has been over and over – at the World Trade Center, at an office party in San Bernardino, at the Boston Marathon, and a military recruiting center in Chattanooga, Tennessee	√				
140.	Only weeks ago, in Orlando, Florida, 49 wonderful Americans were savagely murdered by an Islamic terrorist.	√				
141.	This time, the terrorist targeted our LGBT community.	√				
142.	As your President, I will do everything in my power to protect our LGBT citizens from the violence and oppression of a hateful foreign ideology.	√				
143.	To protect us from terrorism, we need to focus on three things.	√				
144.	We must have the best intelligence gathering operation in the world.	√				
145.	We must abandon the failed policy of nation building and regime change that Hillary Clinton pushed in Iraq, Libya, Egypt and Syria. Instead, we must work with all of our allies who share our goal of destroying ISIS and stamping out Islamic terror.	√				
146.	This includes working with our greatest ally in the region, the State of Israel.	√				
147.	Lastly, we must immediately suspend immigration from any nation that has been compromised by terrorism until such time as proven vetting mechanisms have been put in place	√				
148.	My opponent has called for a radical 550% increase in Syrian refugees on top of existing massive refugee flows coming into our country under President Obama.	√				
149.	She proposes this despite the fact	√				

	that there's no way to screen these refugees in order to find out who they are or where they come from.					
150.	I only want to admit individuals into our country who will support our values and love our people.	√				
151.	Anyone who endorses violence, hatred or oppression is not welcome in our country and never will be.	√				
152.	Decades of record immigration have produced lower wages and higher unemployment for our citizens, especially for African-American and Latino workers.	√				
153.	We are going to have an immigration system that works, but one that works for the American people.	√				
154.	On Monday, we heard from three parents whose children were killed by illegal immigrants Mary Ann Mendoza, Sabine Durden, and Jamiel Shaw.	√				
155.	They are just three brave representatives of many thousands.	√				
156.	Of all my travels in this country, nothing has affected me more deeply than the time I have spent with the mothers and fathers who have lost their children to violence spilling across our border.	√				
157.	These families have no special interests to represent them.	√				
158.	There are no demonstrators to protest on their behalf.	√				
159.	My opponent will never meet with them, or share in their pain.	√				
160.	Instead, my opponent wants Sanctuary Cities.					
161.	But where was sanctuary for Kate Steinle?		√			
162.	Where was Sanctuary for the children of Mary Ann, Sabine and Jamiel?		√			
163.	Where was sanctuary for all the other Americans who have been so brutally murdered, and who have		√			

	suffered so horribly?					
164.	These wounded American families have been alone.	√				
165.	But they are alone no longer.	√				
166.	Tonight, this candidate and this whole nation stand in their corner to support them, to send them our love, and to pledge in their honor that we will save countless more families from suffering the same awful fate.			√		
167.	We are going to build a great border wall to stop illegal immigration, to stop the gangs and the violence, and to stop the drugs from pouring into our communities.			√		
168.	I have been honored to receive the endorsement of America's Border Patrol Agents, and will work directly with them to protect the integrity of our lawful immigration system.	√				
169.	By ending catch-and-release on the border, we will stop the cycle of human smuggling and violence.			√		
170.	Illegal border crossings will go down.			√		
171.	Peace will be restored, By enforcing the rules for the millions who overstay their visas, our laws will finally receive the respect they deserve.			√		
172.	Tonight, I want every American whose demands for immigration security have been denied – and every politician who has denied them – to listen very closely to the words I am about to say.	√				
173.	On January 21st of 2017, the day after I take the oath of office,	√				
174.	Americans will finally wake up in a country where the laws of the United States are enforced.			√		
175.	We are going to be considerate and compassionate to everyone.			√		
176.	But my greatest compassion will be for our own struggling citizens.	√				
177.	My plan is the exact opposite of the				√	



	radical and dangerous immigration policy of Hillary Clinton.					
178.	Americans want relief from uncontrolled immigration.	√				
179.	Communities want relief.	√				
180.	Yet Hillary Clinton is proposing mass amnesty, mass immigration, and mass lawlessness.	√				
181.	Her plan will overwhelm your schools and hospitals, further reduce your jobs and wages, and make it harder for recent immigrants to escape from poverty.	√				
182.	I have a different vision for our workers.	√				
183.	It begins with a new, fair trade policy that protects our jobs and stands up to countries that cheat.	√				
184.	It's been a signature message of my campaign from day one, and it will be a signature feature of my presidency from the moment I take the oath of office.	√				
185	I have made billions of dollars in business making deals	√				
186.	now I'm going to make our country rich again.			√		
187.	I am going to turn our bad trade agreements into great ones.			√		
188.	America has lost nearly-one third of its manufacturing jobs since 1997, following the enactment of disastrous trade deals supported by Bill and Hillary Clinton.	√				
189	Remember, it was Bill Clinton who signed NAFTA,	√				
190.	one of the worst economic deals ever made by our country.	√				
191.	Never again.			√		
192.	I am going to bring our jobs back to Ohio and to America – and I am not going to let companies move to other countries, firing their employees along the way, without consequences.			√		

193.	My opponent, on the other hand, has supported virtually every trade agreement that has been destroying our middle class.	√				
194.	She supported NAFTA, and she supported China's entrance into the World Trade Organization – another one of her husband's colossal mistakes.	√				
195.	She supported the job killing trade deal with South Korea.	√				
196.	She has supported the Trans-Pacific Partnership.	√				
197.	The TPP will not only destroy our manufacturing, but it will make America subject to the rulings of foreign governments.	√				
198.	I pledge to never sign any trade agreement that hurts our workers, or that diminishes our freedom and independence.			√		
199.	Instead, I will make individual deals with individual countries.			√		
200.	No longer will we enter into these massive deals, with many countries, that are thousands of pages long – and which no one from our country even reads or understands.	√				
201.	We are going to enforce all trade violations, including through the use of taxes and tariffs, against any country that cheats.			√		
202.	This includes stopping China's outrageous theft of intellectual property, along with their illegal product dumping, and their devastating currency manipulation.			√		
203.	Our horrible trade agreements with China and many others, will be totally renegotiated.	√				
204.	That includes renegotiating NAFTA to get a much better deal for America – and we'll walk away if we don't get the deal that we want.	√				
205.	We are going to start building and making things again.	√				

206.	Next comes the reform of our tax laws, regulations and energy rules.	√				
207.	While Hillary Clinton plans a massive tax increase, I have proposed the largest tax reduction of any candidate who has declared for the presidential race this year – Democrat or Republican.	√				
208.	Middle-income Americans will experience profound relief,	√				
209.	and taxes will be simplified for everyone.					
210.	America is one of the highest-taxed nations in the world.	√				
211.	Reducing taxes will cause new companies and new jobs to come roaring back into our country.	√				
212.	Then we are going to deal with the issue of regulation, one of the greatest job-killers of them all.	√				
213.	Excessive regulation is costing our country as much as \$2 trillion a year,	√				
214.	and we will end it			√		
215.	We are going to lift the restrictions on the production of American energy.			√		
216.	This will produce more than \$20 trillion in job creating economic activity over the next four decades.			√		
217.	My opponent, on the other hand, wants to put the great miners and steel workers of our country out of work –	√				
218.	that will never happen when I am President.			√		
219.	With these new economic policies, trillions of dollars will start flowing into our country.	√				
220.	This new wealth will improve the quality of life for all Americans	√				
221.	We will build the roads, highways, bridges, tunnels, airports, and the railways of tomorrow.			√		
222.	This, in turn, will create millions more jobs.			√		

223.	We will rescue kids from failing schools by helping their parents send them to a safe school of their choice.			√		
224.	My opponent would rather protect education bureaucrats than serve American children.	√				
225.	We will repeal and replace disastrous Obama care.			√		
226.	You will be able to choose your own doctor again.			√		
227.	And we will fix TSA at the airports! We will completely rebuild our depleted military, and the countries that we protect, at a massive loss, will be asked to pay their fair share.			√		
228.	We will take care of our great Veterans like they have never been taken care of before.			√		
229.	My opponent dismissed the VA scandal as being not widespread – one more sign of how out of touch she really is.	√				
230.	We are going to ask every Department Head in government to provide a list of wasteful spending projects that we can eliminate in my first 100 days.			√		
231.	The politicians have talked about it, I'm going to do it.			√		
232.	We are also going to appoint justices to the United States Supreme Court who will uphold our laws and our Constitution.			√		
233.	The replacement for Justice Scalia will be a person of similar views and principles.	√				
234.	This will be one of the most important issues decided by this election.	√				
235.	My opponent wants to essentially abolish the 2nd amendment.	√				
236.	I, on the other hand, received the early and strong endorsement of the National Rifle Association and	√				
237.	will protect the right of all Americans to keep their families			√		

	safe.					
238.	At this moment, I would like to thank the evangelical community who have been so good to me and so supportive				√	
239.	You have so much to contribute to our politics, yet our laws prevent you from speaking your minds from your own pulpits.	√				
240.	An amendment, pushed by Lyndon Johnson, many years ago, threatens religious institutions with a loss of their tax-exempt status if they openly advocate their political views.	√				
241.	I am going to work very hard to repeal that language and protect free speech for all Americans.			√		
242.	We can accomplish these great things, and so much else – all we need to do is start believing in ourselves and in our country again.	√				
243.	It is time to show the whole world that America Is Back – bigger, and better and stronger than ever before.	√				
244.	In this journey, I'm so lucky to have at my side my wife Melania and my wonderful children, Don, Ivanka, Eric, Tiffany, and Barron:	√				
245.	you will always be my greatest source of pride and joy.	√				
246.	My Dad, Fred Trump, was the smartest and hardest working man I ever knew.	√				
247.	I wonder sometimes what he'd say if he were here to see this tonight.				√	
248.	It's because of him that I learned, from my youngest age, to respect the dignity of work and the dignity of working people.	√				
249.	He was a guy most comfortable in the company of bricklayers, carpenters, and electricians and I have a lot of that in me also.	√				
250.	Then there's my mother, Mary.	√				
251.	She was strong, but also warm and fair-minded.	√				

252.	She was a truly great mother. She was also one of the most honest and charitable people I have ever known, and a great judge of character.	√				
253.	To my sisters Mary Anne and Elizabeth, my brother Robert and my late brother Fred, I will always give you my love you are most special to me.	√				
254.	I have loved my life in business.	√				
255.	But now, my sole and exclusive mission is to go to work for our country – to go to work for all of you.	√				
256.	It's time to deliver a victory for the American people.	√				
257.	But to do that, we must break free from the petty politics of the past.	√				
258.	America is a nation of believers, dreamers, and strivers that is being led by a group of censors, critics, and cynics.	√				
259.	Remember: all of the people telling you that you can't have the country you want, are the same people telling you that I wouldn't be standing here tonight.	√				
260.	No longer can we rely on those elites in media, and politics, who will say anything to keep a rigged system in place.	√				
261.	Instead, we must choose to Believe In America.	√				
262.	History is watching us now.	√				
263.	It's waiting to see if we will rise to the occasion,	√				
264.	and if we will show the whole world that America is still free and independent and strong.	√				
265.	My opponent asks her supporters to recite a three-word loyalty pledge.	√				
266.	It reads: "I'm With Her".	√				
267.	I choose to recite a different pledge.	√				
268.	My pledge reads: "I'M WITH YOU – THE AMERICAN PEOPLE."	√				

269.	I am your voice.	√				
270	So to every parent who dreams for their child, and every child who dreams for their future, I say these words to you tonight: I'm With You, and I will fight for you, and I will win for you.			√		
271.	To all Americans tonight, in all our cities and towns, I make this promise: We Will Make America Strong Again.			√		
272.	We Will Make America Proud Again.			√		
273.	We Will Make America Safe Again.			√		
274.	And We Will Make America Great Again			√		
275.	THANK YOU.				√	

## APPENDIX 2

Full text: Donald Trump 2016 RNC draft speech transcript

Friends, delegates and fellow Americans: I humbly and gratefully accept your nomination for the presidency of the United States.

Together, we will lead our party back to the White House, and we will lead our country back to safety, prosperity, and peace. We will be a country of generosity and warmth. But we will also be a country of law and order.

Our Convention occurs at a moment of crisis for our nation. The attacks on our police, and the terrorism in our cities, threaten our very way of life. Any politician who does not grasp this danger is not fit to lead our country.

Americans watching this address tonight have seen the recent images of violence in our streets and the chaos in our communities. Many have witnessed this violence personally, some have even been its victims.

I have a message for all of you: the crime and violence that today afflicts our nation will soon come to an end. Beginning on January 20th 2017, safety will be restored.

The most basic duty of government is to defend the lives of its own citizens. Any government that fails to do so is a government unworthy to lead.

It is finally time for a straightforward assessment of the state of our nation.

I will present the facts plainly and honestly. We cannot afford to be so politically correct anymore.

So if you want to hear the corporate spin, the carefully-crafted lies, and the media myths the Democrats are holding their convention next week.

But here, at our convention, there will be no lies. We will honor the American people with the truth, and nothing else.

These are the facts:

Decades of progress made in bringing down crime are now being reversed by this Administration's rollback of criminal enforcement.

Homicides last year increased by 17% in America's fifty largest cities. That's the largest increase in 25 years. In our nation's capital, killings have risen by 50 percent. They are up nearly 60% in nearby Baltimore.

In the President's hometown of Chicago, more than 2,000 have been the victims of shootings this year alone. And more than 3,600 have been killed in the Chicago area since he took office.



The number of police officers killed in the line of duty has risen by almost 50% compared to this point last year. Nearly 180,000 illegal immigrants with criminal records, ordered deported from our country, are tonight roaming free to threaten peaceful citizens.

The number of new illegal immigrant families who have crossed the border so far this year already exceeds the entire total from 2015. They are being released by the tens of thousands into our communities with no regard for the impact on public safety or resources.

One such border-crosser was released and made his way to Nebraska. There, he ended the life of an innocent young girl named Sarah Root. She was 21 years-old, and was killed the day after graduating from college with a 4.0 Grade Point Average. Her killer was then released a second time, and he is now a fugitive from the law.

I've met Sarah's beautiful family. But to this Administration, their amazing daughter was just one more American life that wasn't worth protecting. One more child to sacrifice on the altar of open borders. What about our economy?

Again, I will tell you the plain facts that have been edited out of your nightly news and your morning newspaper: Nearly Four in 10 African-American children are living in poverty, while 58% of African American youth are not employed. 2 million more Latinos are in poverty today than when the President took his oath of office less than eight years ago. Another 14 million people have left the workforce entirely.

Household incomes are down more than \$4,000 since the year 2000. Our manufacturing trade deficit has reached an all-time high – nearly \$800 billion in a single year. The budget is no better.

President Obama has doubled our national debt to more than \$19 trillion, and growing. Yet, what do we have to show for it? Our roads and bridges are falling apart, our airports are in Third World condition, and forty-three million Americans are on food stamps.

Now let us consider the state of affairs abroad.

Not only have our citizens endured domestic disaster, but they have lived through one international humiliation after another. We all remember the images of our sailors being forced to their knees by their Iranian captors at gunpoint.

This was just prior to the signing of the Iran deal, which gave back to Iran \$150 billion and gave us nothing – it will go down in history as one of the worst deals ever made. Another humiliation came when president Obama drew a red line in Syria – and the whole world knew it meant nothing.

In Libya, our consulate – the symbol of American prestige around the globe – was brought down in flames. America is far less safe – and the world is far less stable – than when Obama made the decision to put Hillary Clinton in charge of America's foreign policy.

I am certain it is a decision he truly regrets. Her bad instincts and her bad judgment – something pointed out by Bernie Sanders – are what caused the disasters unfolding today. Let's review the record. In 2009, pre-Hillary, ISIS was not even on the map.

Libya was cooperating. Egypt was peaceful. Iraq was seeing a reduction in violence. Iran was being choked by sanctions. Syria was under control. After four years of Hillary Clinton, what do we have? ISIS has spread across the region, and the world. Libya is in ruins, and our Ambassador and his staff were left helpless to die at the hands of savage killers. Egypt was turned over to the radical Muslim brotherhood, forcing the military to retake control. Iraq is in chaos.

Iran is on the path to nuclear weapons. Syria is engulfed in a civil war and a refugee crisis that now threatens the West. After fifteen years of wars in the Middle East, after trillions of dollars spent and thousands of lives lost, the situation is worse than it has ever been before.

This is the legacy of Hillary Clinton: death, destruction and weakness.

But Hillary Clinton's legacy does not have to be America's legacy. The problems we face now – poverty and violence at home, war and destruction abroad – will last only as long as we continue relying on the same politicians who created them. A change in leadership is required to change these outcomes. Tonight, I will share with you my plan of action for America.

The most important difference between our plan and that of our opponents, is that our plan will put America First. Americanism, not globalism, will be our credo. As long as we are led by politicians who will not put America First, then we can be assured that other nations will not treat America with respect. This will all change in 2017.

The American People will come first once again. My plan will begin with safety at home – which means safe neighborhoods, secure borders, and protection from terrorism. There can be no prosperity without law and order. On the economy, I will outline reforms to add millions of new jobs and trillions in new wealth that can be used to rebuild America.

A number of these reforms that I will outline tonight will be opposed by some of our nation's most powerful special interests. That is because these interests have rigged our political and economic system for their exclusive benefit.

Big business, elite media and major donors are lining up behind the campaign of my opponent because they know she will keep our rigged system in place. They are throwing money at her because they have total control over everything she does. She is their puppet, and they pull the strings.

That is why Hillary Clinton's message is that things will never change. My message is that things have to change – and they have to change right now. Every day I wake up determined to deliver for the people I have met all across this nation that have been neglected, ignored, and abandoned.

I have visited the laid-off factory workers, and the communities crushed by our horrible and unfair trade deals. These are the forgotten men and women of our country. People who work hard but no longer have a voice.

**I AM YOUR VOICE.**

I have embraced crying mothers who have lost their children because our politicians put their personal agendas before the national good. I have no patience for injustice, no tolerance for government incompetence, no sympathy for leaders who fail their citizens.

When innocent people suffer, because our political system lacks the will, or the courage, or the basic decency to enforce our laws – or worse still, has sold out to some corporate lobbyist for cash – I am not able to look the other way.

And when a Secretary of State illegally stores her emails on a private server, deletes 33,000 of them so the authorities can't see her crime, puts our country at risk, lies about it in every different form and faces no consequence – I know that corruption has reached a level like never before.

When the FBI Director says that the Secretary of State was “extremely careless” and “negligent,” in handling our classified secrets, I also know that these terms are minor compared to what she actually did. They were just used to save her from facing justice for her terrible crimes.

In fact, her single greatest accomplishment may be committing such an egregious crime and getting away with it – especially when others have paid so dearly. When that same Secretary of State rakes in millions of dollars trading access and favors to special interests and foreign powers I know the time for action has come.

I have joined the political arena so that the powerful can no longer beat up on people that cannot defend themselves. Nobody knows the system better than me, which is why I alone can fix it. I have seen firsthand how the system is rigged against our citizens, just like it was rigged against Bernie Sanders – he never had a chance.

But his supporters will join our movement, because we will fix his biggest issue: trade. Millions of Democrats will join our movement because we are going to fix the system so it works for all Americans. In this cause, I am proud to have at my side the next Vice President of the United States: Governor Mike Pence of Indiana.

We will bring the same economic success to America that Mike brought to Indiana. He is a man of character and accomplishment. He is the right man for the job. The first task for our new Administration will be to liberate our citizens from the crime and terrorism and lawlessness that threatens their communities.

America was shocked to its core when our police officers in Dallas were brutally executed. In the days after Dallas, we have seen continued threats and violence against our law enforcement officials. Law officers have been shot or killed in recent days in Georgia, Missouri, Wisconsin, Kansas, Michigan and Tennessee.

On Sunday, more police were gunned down in Baton Rouge, Louisiana. Three were killed, and four were badly injured. An attack on law enforcement is an attack on all Americans. I have a message to every last person threatening the peace on our streets and the safety of our police: when I take the oath of office next year, I will restore law and order our country.

I will work with, and appoint, the best prosecutors and law enforcement officials in the country to get the job done. In this race for the White House, I am the Law And Order candidate. The irresponsible rhetoric of our President, who has used the pulpit of the presidency to divide us by race and color, has made America a more dangerous environment for everyone.

This Administration has failed America's inner cities. It's failed them on education. It's failed them on jobs. It's failed them on crime. It's failed them at every level.

When I am President, I will work to ensure that all of our kids are treated equally, and protected equally.

Every action I take, I will ask myself: does this make life better for young Americans in Baltimore, Chicago, Detroit, Ferguson who have as much of a right to live out their dreams as any other child America?

To make life safe in America, we must also address the growing threats we face from outside America: we are going to defeat the barbarians of ISIS. Once again, France is the victim of brutal Islamic terrorism.

Men, women and children viciously mowed down. Lives ruined. Families ripped apart. A nation in mourning.

The damage and devastation that can be inflicted by Islamic radicals has been over and over – at the World Trade Center, at an office party in San Bernardino, at the Boston Marathon, and a military recruiting center in Chattanooga, Tennessee.

Only weeks ago, in Orlando, Florida, 49 wonderful Americans were savagely murdered by an Islamic terrorist. This time, the terrorist targeted our LGBT community. As your President, I will do everything in my power to protect our LGBT citizens from the violence and oppression of a hateful foreign ideology. To protect us from terrorism, we need to focus on three things.

We must have the best intelligence gathering operation in the world. We must abandon the failed policy of nation building and regime change that Hillary Clinton pushed in Iraq, Libya, Egypt and Syria. Instead, we must work with all of our allies who share our goal of destroying ISIS and stamping out Islamic terror.

This includes working with our greatest ally in the region, the State of Israel. Lastly, we must immediately suspend immigration from any nation that has been compromised by terrorism until such time as proven vetting mechanisms have been put in place.

My opponent has called for a radical 550% increase in Syrian refugees on top of existing massive refugee flows coming into our country under President Obama. She proposes this despite the fact that there's no way to screen these refugees in order to find out who they are or where they come from. I only want to admit individuals into our country who will support our values and love our people.

Anyone who endorses violence, hatred or oppression is not welcome in our country and never will be.

Decades of record immigration have produced lower wages and higher unemployment for our citizens, especially for African-American and Latino workers. We are going to have an immigration system that works, but one that works for the American people.

On Monday, we heard from three parents whose children were killed by illegal immigrants Mary Ann Mendoza, Sabine Durden, and Jamiel Shaw. They are just three brave representatives of many thousands. Of all my travels in this country, nothing has affected me more deeply than the time I have spent with the mothers and fathers who have lost their children to violence spilling across our border.

These families have no special interests to represent them. There are no demonstrators to protest on their behalf. My opponent will never meet with them, or share in their pain. Instead, my opponent wants Sanctuary Cities. But where was sanctuary for Kate Steinle? Where was Sanctuary for the children of Mary Ann, Sabine and Jamiel? Where was sanctuary for all the other Americans who have been so brutally murdered, and who have suffered so horribly?

These wounded American families have been alone. But they are alone no longer. Tonight, this candidate and this whole nation stand in their corner to support them, to send them our love, and to pledge in their honor that we will save countless more families from suffering the same awful fate.

We are going to build a great border wall to stop illegal immigration, to stop the gangs and the violence, and to stop the drugs from pouring into our communities. I have been honored to receive the endorsement of America's Border Patrol Agents, and will work directly with them to protect the integrity of our lawful immigration system.

By ending catch-and-release on the border, we will stop the cycle of human smuggling and violence. Illegal border crossings will go down. Peace will be restored. By enforcing the rules for the millions who overstay their visas, our laws will finally receive the respect they deserve.

Tonight, I want every American whose demands for immigration security have been denied – and every politician who has denied them – to listen very closely to the words I am about to say.

On January 21st of 2017, the day after I take the oath of office, Americans will finally wake up in a country where the laws of the United States are enforced. We are going to be considerate and compassionate to everyone.

But my greatest compassion will be for our own struggling citizens. My plan is the exact opposite of the radical and dangerous immigration policy of Hillary Clinton. Americans want relief from uncontrolled immigration. Communities want relief.

Yet Hillary Clinton is proposing mass amnesty, mass immigration, and mass lawlessness. Her plan will overwhelm your schools and hospitals, further reduce your jobs and wages, and make it harder for recent immigrants to escape from poverty.

I have a different vision for our workers. It begins with a new, fair trade policy that protects our jobs and stands up to countries that cheat. It's been a signature message of my campaign

from day one, and it will be a signature feature of my presidency from the moment I take the oath of office.

I have made billions of dollars in business making deals – now I'm going to make our country rich again. I am going to turn our bad trade agreements into great ones. America has lost nearly-one third of its manufacturing jobs since 1997, following the enactment of disastrous trade deals supported by Bill and Hillary Clinton.

Remember, it was Bill Clinton who signed NAFTA, one of the worst economic deals ever made by our country.

Never again.

I am going to bring our jobs back to Ohio and to America – and I am not going to let companies move to other countries, firing their employees along the way, without consequences.

My opponent, on the other hand, has supported virtually every trade agreement that has been destroying our middle class. She supported NAFTA, and she supported China's entrance into the World Trade Organization – another one of her husband's colossal mistakes.

She supported the job killing trade deal with South Korea. She has supported the Trans-Pacific Partnership. The TPP will not only destroy our manufacturing, but it will make America subject to the rulings of foreign governments. I pledge to never sign any trade agreement that hurts our workers, or that diminishes our freedom and independence. Instead, I will make individual deals with individual countries.

No longer will we enter into these massive deals, with many countries, that are thousands of pages long – and which no one from our country even reads or understands. We are going to enforce all trade violations, including through the use of taxes and tariffs, against any country that cheats.

This includes stopping China's outrageous theft of intellectual property, along with their illegal product dumping, and their devastating currency manipulation. Our horrible trade agreements with China and many others, will be totally renegotiated. That includes renegotiating NAFTA to get a much better deal for America – and we'll walk away if we don't get the deal that we want. We are going to start building and making things again.

Next comes the reform of our tax laws, regulations and energy rules. While Hillary Clinton plans a massive tax increase, I have proposed the largest tax reduction of any candidate who has declared for the presidential race this year – Democrat or Republican. Middle-income Americans will experience profound relief, and taxes will be simplified for everyone.

America is one of the highest-taxed nations in the world. Reducing taxes will cause new companies and new jobs to come roaring back into our country. Then we are going to deal with the issue of regulation, one of the greatest job-killers of them all. Excessive regulation is costing our country as much as \$2 trillion a year, and we will end it. We are going to lift the restrictions on the production of American energy. This will produce more than \$20 trillion in job creating economic activity over the next four decades.

My opponent, on the other hand, wants to put the great miners and steel workers of our country out of work – that will never happen when I am President. With these new economic policies, trillions of dollars will start flowing into our country.

This new wealth will improve the quality of life for all Americans – We will build the roads, highways, bridges, tunnels, airports, and the railways of tomorrow. This, in turn, will create millions more jobs. We will rescue kids from failing schools by helping their parents send them to a safe school of their choice.

My opponent would rather protect education bureaucrats than serve American children. We will repeal and replace disastrous Obamacare. You will be able to choose your own doctor again. And we will fix TSA at the airports! We will completely rebuild our depleted military, and the countries that we protect, at a massive loss, will be asked to pay their fair share.

We will take care of our great Veterans like they have never been taken care of before. My opponent dismissed the VA scandal as being not widespread – one more sign of how out of touch she really is. We are going to ask every Department Head in government to provide a list of wasteful spending projects that we can eliminate in my first 100 days. The politicians have talked about it, I'm going to do it. We are also going to appoint justices to the United States Supreme Court who will uphold our laws and our Constitution.

The replacement for Justice Scalia will be a person of similar views and principles. This will be one of the most important issues decided by this election. My opponent wants to essentially abolish the 2nd amendment. I, on the other hand, received the early and strong endorsement of the National Rifle Association and will protect the right of all Americans to keep their families safe.

At this moment, I would like to thank the evangelical community who have been so good to me and so supportive. You have so much to contribute to our politics, yet our laws prevent you from speaking your minds from your own pulpits.

An amendment, pushed by Lyndon Johnson, many years ago, threatens religious institutions with a loss of their tax-exempt status if they openly advocate their political views.

I am going to work very hard to repeal that language and protect free speech for all Americans. We can accomplish these great things, and so much else – all we need to do is start believing in ourselves and in our country again. It is time to show the whole world that America Is Back – bigger, and better and stronger than ever before.

In this journey, I'm so lucky to have at my side my wife Melania and my wonderful children, Don, Ivanka, Eric, Tiffany, and Barron: you will always be my greatest source of pride and joy. My Dad, Fred Trump, was the smartest and hardest working man I ever knew. I wonder sometimes what he'd say if he were here to see this tonight.

It's because of him that I learned, from my youngest age, to respect the dignity of work and the dignity of working people. He was a guy most comfortable in the company of bricklayers, carpenters, and electricians and I have a lot of that in me also. Then there's my mother, Mary. She was strong, but also warm and fair-minded. She was a truly great mother. She was also one of the most honest and charitable people I have ever known, and a great judge of character.

To my sisters Mary Anne and Elizabeth, my brother Robert and my late brother Fred, I will always give you my love you are most special to me. I have loved my life in business.

But now, my sole and exclusive mission is to go to work for our country – to go to work for all of you. It's time to deliver a victory for the American people. But to do that, we must break free from the petty politics of the past.

America is a nation of believers, dreamers, and strivers that is being led by a group of censors, critics, and cynics.

Remember: all of the people telling you that you can't have the country you want, are the same people telling you that I wouldn't be standing here tonight. No longer can we rely on those elites in media, and politics, who will say anything to keep a rigged system in place.

Instead, we must choose to Believe In America. History is watching us now.

It's waiting to see if we will rise to the occasion, and if we will show the whole world that America is still free and independent and strong.

My opponent asks her supporters to recite a three-word loyalty pledge. It reads: "I'm With Her". I choose to recite a different pledge.

My pledge reads: "I'M WITH YOU – THE AMERICAN PEOPLE."

I am your voice.

So to every parent who dreams for their child, and every child who dreams for their future, I say these words to you tonight: I'm With You, and I will fight for you, and I will win for you.

To all Americans tonight, in all our cities and towns, I make this promise: We Will Make America Strong Again.

We Will Make America Proud Again.

We Will Make America Safe Again.

And We Will Make America Great Again.

THANK YOU.