

**DIAGNOSING HATE SPEECH ACT AGAINST VLADIMIR PUTIN IN  
SOCIAL MEDIA TWITTER**

**SKRIPSI**

*Submitted in Partial Fulfilment of Requirements  
For Degree of Sarjana Pendidikan (S.Pd)  
English Education Program*

**By:**

**AMANDA FADILLAH SUPIANA PUTRI**  
**1902050049**



**FACULTY OF TEACHERS TRAINING AND EDUCATION  
UNIVERSITAS MUHAMMADIYAH SUMATERA UTARA**

**MEDAN**

**2025**



**MAJELIS PENDIDIKAN TINGGI  
UNIVERSITAS MUHAMMADIYAH SUMATERA UTARA  
FAKULTAS KEGURUAN DAN ILMU PENDIDIKAN**

Jl. Kapten Mukhtar Basri No. 3 Medan 20238 Telp. 061-6622400 Ext. 22, 23, 30  
Website: <http://www.fkip.umhsu.ac.id> E-mail: [fkip@umhsu.ac.id](mailto:fkip@umhsu.ac.id)

**BERITA ACARA**

Ujian Mempertahankan Skripsi Sarjana Bagi Mahasiswa Program Strata I  
Fakultas Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan Universitas Muhammadiyah Sumatera Utara



Panitia Ujian Sarjana Strata-1 Fakultas Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan dalam Sidangnya yang diselenggarakan pada hari Kamis, Tanggal 13 Maret 2025, pada pukul 08.30 WIB sampai dengan selesai. Setelah mendengar, memperhatikan dan memutuskan bahwa:

Nama : Amanda Fadillah Supiana Putri  
NPM : 1902050049  
Program Studi : Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris  
Judul Skripsi : Diagnosing Hate Speech Act Against Vladimir Putin in Social Media Twitter.




Dengan diterimanya skripsi ini, sudah lulus dari ujian Komprehensif, berhak memakai gelar Sarjana Pendidikan (S.Pd).

Ditetapkan : ( A ) Lulus Yudisium  
( ) Lulus Bersyarat  
( ) Memperbaiki Skripsi  
( ) Tidak Lulus

Ketua   Sekretaris   
Dra. Hj. Syamsuvarnita, M.Pd. Dr. Hj. Dewi Kesuma Nst, S.S., M.Hum.

**ANGGOTA PENGUJI:**

1. Dr. Dewi Kesuma Nst, M.Hum
2. Dr. Bambang Panca S, S.Pd., M.Hum
3. Dr. Fatimah Sari Siregar, M.Hum

1.   
2.   
3. 



MAJELIS PENDIDIKAN TINGGI  
UNIVERSITAS MUHAMMADIYAH SUMATERA UTARA  
FAKULTAS KEGURUAN DAN ILMU PENDIDIKAN  
Jl. Kapten Mukhtar Basri No. 3 Telp. (061) 6619056 Medan 20238  
Website: <http://www.fkip.umsu.ac.id> E-mail: [fkip@umsu.ac.id](mailto:fkip@umsu.ac.id)

### LEMBAR PENGESAHAN SKRIPSI

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

Skripsi ini diajukan oleh mahasiswa di bawah ini:

Nama : Amanda Fadillah Supiana Putri  
NPM : 1902050049  
Program Studi : Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris  
Judul Skripsi : Diagnosing Hate Speech Act Against Vladimir Putin in Social Media  
Twitter

sudah layak disidangkan.

Medan, Juni 2024

Disetujui oleh:

Pembimbing

Fatimah Sari Siregar, S.Pd., M.Hum.

Diketahui oleh:


Dekan  
Dra. Hj. Syamsuynita, M.Pd.

Ketua Program Studi

Pirman Ginting, S.Pd, M.Hum.



MAJELIS PENDIDIKAN TINGGI  
UNIVERSITAS MUHAMMADIYAH SUMATERA UTARA  
FAKULTAS KEGURUAN DAN ILMU PENDIDIKAN  
Jl. Kapten Mukhtar Basri No. 3 Telp. (061) 6619056 Medan 20238  
Website: <http://www.fkip.umsu.ac.id> E-mail: [fkip@umsu.ac.id](mailto:fkip@umsu.ac.id)



**BERITA ACARA BIMBINGAN SKRIPSI**

Perguruan Tinggi : Universitas Muhammadiyah Sumatera Utara  
Fakultas : Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan  
Jurusan/Prog. Studi : Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris  
Nama : Amanda Fadillah Supiana Putri  
NPM : 1902050049  
Program Studi : Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris  
Judul Skripsi : Diagnosing Hate Speech Act Against Vladimir Putin in Social Media Twitter

Tanggal	Deskripsi Hasil Bimbingan Skripsi	Tanda Tangan
16/10/23	Chapter 3 : background of study (the phenomena gap)	
18/10/23	Chapter 4 : Review of literature	
7/6/24	Chapter 4 : Method of Research - Source of Data - Technical Analysis of	
10/6/24	Chapter 5 : Finding & Discussion - Analyzing the data	
14/6/24	Chapter 5 : The Conclusion and the implication	
14/6/24	Acc	

Medan, 14 Juni 2024

Diketahui oleh:  
Ketua Prodi

(Pirman Ginting, S.Pd., M.Hum.)


Dosen Pembimbing

(Fatimah Sari Siregar, S.Pd., M.Hum.)



MAJELIS PENDIDIKAN TINGGI  
UNIVERSITAS MUHAMMADIYAH SUMATERA UTARA  
FAKULTAS KEGURUAN DAN ILMU PENDIDIKAN  
Jl. Kapten Mukhtar Basri No. 3 Medan 20238 Telp. 061-6622400 Ext. 22, 23, 30  
Website: <http://www.fkip.umsu.ac.id> E-mail: [fkip@umsu.ac.id](mailto:fkip@umsu.ac.id)

## SURAT PERNYATAAN



Saya yang bertandatangan dibawah ini :

Nama Lengkap : Amanda Fadillah Supiana Putri  
N.P.M : 1902050049  
Program Studi : Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris  
Judul Proposal : Diagnosing Hate Speech Act Against Vladimir Putin in Social Media Twitter.

Dengan ini saya menyatakan bahwa:

1. Penelitian yang saya lakukan dengan judul di atas belum pernah diteliti di Fakultas Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan Universitas Muhammadiyah Sumatera Utara
2. Penelitian ini akan saya lakukan sendiri tanpa ada bantuan dari pihak manapun dengan kata lain penelitian ini tidak saya tempahkan (dibuat) oleh orang lain dan juga tidak tergolong *Plagiat*.
3. Apabila point 1 dan 2 di atas saya langgar maka saya bersedia untuk dilakukan pembatalan terhadap penelitian tersebut dan saya bersedia mengulang kembali mengajukan judul penelitian yang baru dengan catatan mengulang seminar kembali.

Demikian surat pernyataan ini saya perbuat tanpa ada paksaan dari pihak manapun juga, dan dapat dipergunakan sebagaimana mestinya.

Medan, Februari 2025  
Hormat saya  
Yang membuat pernyataan,



Amanda Fadillah Supiana Putri

Diketahui oleh Ketua Program Studi  
Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris

Dr. Pirman Ginting, S.Pd., M.Hum.



## ABSTRACT

**Amanda Fadillah Supiana Putri. Npm.1902050049,” Diagnosing Hate Speech Act Against Vladimir Putin in Social Media Twitter.” Skripsi: English Education Program. Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Universitas Muhammadiyah Sumatera Utara. Medan.2025.**

This research discussed the study of linguistics in diagnosing hate speech against Vladimir Putin in Social Media Twitter. The method research applied in this research was qualitative method. The research objective were; To describe type of hate speech of netizen’s comment against Vladimir Putin On social media twitter and to describe type of illocutionary act of speech act of netizen’s comment against Vladimir Putin on social media twitter. The sources of data was taken from social media twitter. the data were analysed and categorized based on the type of hate speech and type of illocutionary act. the findings from this source were 58 hate comment, which consist of the type of hate speech, which consist of 4 provoking, 7 inciting, 4 spread hoax, 3 unpleasant action, 8 insulting, 1 defamation, 2 denigration. The researcher finding 12 assertive, 6 directive, 5 expressive, 2 declarative, and 4 commissive.

**Key words :** *hate speech act, illocutionary act*

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT



*Assalamu 'alaikum Warahmarullahi Wabarakatuh*

Praise be to God for His grace and grace which has provided health, ease, and smoothness so that the research the title'' **Diagnosing hat speech act against Vladimir Putin in Social Media Twitter''** as one of the requirements to complete the sarjana degree in Faculty of Teacher Training and Education Universitas Muhammadiyah Sumatera Utara. Gift and greetings are also given to the prophet Muhamamd Shallahu' Alaihi Wasallam.

In complete this research, the researcher experienced several obstacles. These obstacles can could be overcomes with the help of several parties. the researcher would like to express her highest appreciation to my parents, **Mr. Ir. Eko Susilo** and **Mrs. Suriani**, as the most important people, because nothing can go smoothly without their prayers and support. Moreover, a million thanks are also conveyed to anybody who have helped and contributed to this research. Heartfelt thanks are then shared with the people below :

1. Prof. Dr. Agussani, M.AP., the Rector of Universitas Muhammadiyah Sumatera Utara
2. Assoc. Prof. Dra. Hj. Syamsurnita, M.Pd, the dean of Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Universitas Muhammadiyah Sumatera Utara
3. Assoc. Prof. Dr. Hj. Dewi Kesuma Nasution, S.S., M.Hum as the First Deputy Dean of Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Universitas Muhammadiyah Sumatera Utara

4. Dr. Mandra Saragih, S.Pd., M.Hum, as the Third Deputy Dean of Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Universitas Muhammadiyah Sumatera Utara
5. Dr. Pirman Ginting, S.Pd., M.Hum as the head of the S1 Study Program for English Education at University Muhammadiyah Sumatera Utara
6. Rita Kharisma, S.Pd., M.Hum, as the secretary of the S1 Study Program for English Education at University Muhammadiyah Sumatera Utara
7. Dr. Fatimah Sari Siregar, S.Pd., M.Hum, as supervisor who has guided researcher to complete this research.
8. Dr. Bambang Panca Saputra S.Pd., M.Hum, the reviewer who directed to complete this research.
9. All lecturer of the English Education Program, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Universitas Muhammadiyah Sumatera Utara.
10. All Staff of the English Education Program, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Universitas Muhammadiyah Sumatera Utara.
11. Her beloved brother and sister Veno Fahtan Al Zaedan and Savira Fatwa Al Zanna who have given spirit and help to completing this research.
12. Her beloved best friends, Fanny Eka Dianty, Regita Pra Nesty and Elsa Nazira who have given spirit and input to researcher in solving this research
13. All the friends of the struggle is the classmate of English Education University Muhammadiyah Sumatera Utara stambuk 2019 specially the A



Evening Class that always gives the spirit of researcher in completing this research.

Hopefully this research can be useful to readers and can be provide additional knowledge. This research are still quite a few shortcoming and are still very far from the perfect word, so the researcher expect advice and criticism.

May god give us His mercy and his guidance so that we are all on the right path. Aamiin Ya Rabbal Alamin.

*Wassalamualaikum Warahmatullahi Wabarakatuh*

Medan, 2025

The researcher

**Amanda Fadillah Supiana Putri**  
**1902050049**

## TABLE OF CONTENT

<b>ABSTRACT .....</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGMENT .....</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENT .....</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
A. Background of the Study .....	1
B. The Identification of the Problem .....	4
C. Scope and Limitation .....	4
D. Formulation of the Problem .....	4
E. The Objective of the Problem .....	5
F. Significant of the Study .....	5
<b>CHAPTER II REVIEW OF LITERATURE .....</b>	<b>7</b>
A. Theoretical Framework .....	7
1. Speech Act .....	7
2. Hate Speech .....	7
3. Type of hate speech.....	9
a. Provoking .....	9
b. Inciting.....	10
c. Spread Hoaxes .....	11
d. Unpleasant Action .....	12
e. Insulting .....	13
f. Defamation .....	14
g. Denigration .....	14

4. Illocutionary act .....	16
5. Types of Illocutionary Act .....	16
a. Assertive .....	16
b. Directive .....	18
c. Commissive .....	19
d. Expressive .....	20
e. Declarative .....	21
B. Relevant study .....	22
C. Conceptual Framework .....	26
<b>CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY .....</b>	<b>27</b>
A. Research Design .....	27
B. Sources of the Data .....	27
C. Technique of Data Collection .....	27
D. Technique of Data Analysis .....	28
<b>CHAPTER IV FINDING AND DISCUSSION .....</b>	<b>29</b>
A. Research Finding.....	29
B. Discussion .....	29
1. Type of hate speech .....	29
a. Provoking .....	29
b. Inciting.....	32
c. Spread Hoaxes .....	36
d. Unpleasant Action .....	38
e. Insulting .....	40

f. Defamation .....	44
g. Denigration .....	45
2. Type of illocutionary act .....	47
a. Assertive .....	47
b. Directive .....	54
c. Commissive .....	57
d. Expressive .....	60
e. Declarative .....	62
<b>CHAPTER V CONCLUSION .....</b>	<b>65</b>
A. Conclusion .....	65
B. Suggestion .....	67
<b>REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>68</b>
<b>Appendix 1 .....</b>	<b>70</b>
<b>Appendix 2 .....</b>	<b>79</b>

## **LIST OF FIGURE**

Figure 2.1 Conceptual Framework.....	26
--------------------------------------	----

## LIST OF PICTURE

Picture 4.1 .....	30
Picture 4.2 .....	30
Picture 4.3 .....	31
Picture 4.4 .....	31
Picture 4.5 .....	32
Picture 4.6 .....	33
Picture 4.7 .....	33
Picture 4.8 .....	34
Picture 4.9 .....	34
Picture 4.10 .....	35
Picture 4.11 .....	35
Picture 4.12 .....	36
Picture 4.13 .....	37
Picture 4.14 .....	37
Picture 4.15 .....	38
Picture 4.16 .....	39
Picture 4.17 .....	39
Picture 4.18 .....	40
Picture 4.19 .....	40
Picture 4.20 .....	41
Picture 4.21 .....	41
Picture 4.22 .....	42

Picture 4.23 .....	42
Picture 4.24 .....	43
Picture 4.25 .....	43
Picture 4.26 .....	44
Picture 4.27 .....	45
Picture 4.28 .....	46
Picture 4.29 .....	46
Picture 4.30 .....	47
Picture 4.31 .....	48
Picture 4.32 .....	48
Picture 4.33 .....	49
Picture 4.34 .....	50
Picture 4.35 .....	50
Picture 4.36 .....	51
Picture 4.37 .....	51
Picture 4.38 .....	52
Picture 4.39 .....	52
Picture 4.40 .....	53
Picture 4.41 .....	53
Picture 4.42 .....	54
Picture 4.43 .....	55
Picture 4.44 .....	55
Picture 4.45 .....	56



Picture 4.46 .....	56
Picture 4.47 .....	57
Picture 4.48 .....	58
Picture 4.49 .....	58
Picture 4.50 .....	59
Picture 4.51 .....	59
Picture 4.52 .....	60
Picture 4.53 .....	61
Picture 4.54 .....	61
Picture 4.55 .....	62
Picture 4.56 .....	63
Picture 4.57 .....	63
Picture 4.58 .....	64

## **LIST OF APPENDICES**

**Appendix 1.**

**Appendix 2.**

**Appendix 3. K1**

**Appendix 4. K2**

**Appendix 5. K3**

**Appendix 6. Surat Pernyataan**

**Appendix 7. Izin Riset**

**Appendix 8. Lembar Pengesahan Proposal**

**Appendix 9. Berita Acara Seminar Proposal**

**Appendix 10. Lembar Pengesahan Hasil Seminar Proposal**

**Appendix 11. Berita Acara Bimbingan Skripsi**

**Appendix 12. Lembar Pengesahan Skripsi**

**Appendix 14. Curriculum Vitae**

## **CHAPTER I**

### **INTRODUCTION**

#### **A. Background of Study**

As long as the develop of social media, hate speech be a highlight in today's generation people can express their opinion or though trough the tool that can be used to convey to express their opinion in a written form, picture, or video. Mostly hate speech act is found in written form. In this era is free to express their opinion or through with their own language. By language people can communicate each other. Communication is an art of creating and sharing idea, moreover communication is a proses of exchanging feelings, emotions, ideas, through and information by speech, gesture, symbol, signals, writing and many more. with language, written, picture and video.

Hate speech act is an act of communication carried out by an individual or group in the form of provoking, incitement or insult other individual or group in various ways aspect such as race, skin tone, religion gender, sexual orientation, nationality and many others. The hate speech act can be carried out through various media, including speech in campaign activities, social media network, public delivery and many other electronic media.

Mostly hate speech act is directed by people who have power, influencers, artist or people who have a good images. In this study the researcher focused on hate speech aimed at Vladimir Putin through social media twitter.

Hate speech can overflow of negative emotions through these words can see from the perspective of the speech partner or listener and the speaker perspective. Speech hated is impolite when viewed from the viewed from the partners perspective that can be an action that has intentions from the speakers.

Hate speech is a hateful message or act of communication that is offensive and insulting with the aim of hurting the feelings of certain individuals or groups which proves that most cases of hate speech occur based on ethnicity, nationality, and religion. This indicates that hate speech does not originate from social media, but develops because of the emergence of new media that seem to make it easier for perpetrators to do so.

Speech act in speech event are two symptoms can be occur in a process, namely the process of communication. In the human life cannot be separated from speech events, because human speech can convey the information to their interlocutors and can understand each other.

Analyse of hate speech is needed to know the meaning of the hate speech and the form of hate speech by the utterance of the netizen because many types of hate speech and the intention of the netizen so it needed the study about speech act. to know the intention of utters the study about speech act especially about illocutionary is needed. Illocutionary are speech act that contain function and intention of speech, it can identify as speech act that function to inform something and do something by the utterance.

The object of hate speech act is someone who famous, have a popularity, for example, celebgram, youtuber, actress, influencer of even president. Hate speech

act against certain social media to attack the object by the utterance of the netizen in comment column.

Illocutionary refers to as the act of doing something, furthermore according to Searle (1967) illocutionary speech act are classified into five type of speech form, which in each has a communication function. In five types of speech act show the function that can be summarized as representative, directives, commissive, expressive, declarative.(Rosyidi et al., 2019).

The need of expressing idea, information, opinion, or through were completed well by social media like twitter. This kind of social media was used to share or post the idea, information, opinion, though, photo or video by the user to another users then, the followers or netizen were able to retweet or leave the comment in comments column to deliver their responses or opinion based on the activities that users shared. In this case if look at the comment column, we would find some rude words, rough word, offensive word, or dirty word which was used to attack someone they did not like, that was called hate speech.

In twitter people free to express their though or to post something. The freedom of speech that make the user on twitter free to do the hate speech act beside another social media. According to Directorate of Criminal Investigation Cyber Police, there are 125 account of social media get reprimanded by cyber police related to contain indicate of the element hate speech act. From the 125 account, twitter has the largest account of 75 account (Perwira & Dwitama, 2021)

The object of this research is netizen comment against Vladimir putin on social media twitter. It will be find out the speech of netizen against Vladimir

Putin and analyse the type of hate speech and the type of illocutionary speech act utterance by the netizen on social media twitter.

Therefore, it will be interested to conducting research entitled Diagnosing Hate Speech Act Against Vladimir Putin on Social Media Twitter. The purpose of this study is to diagnosing by analyse the hate speech act and the illocutionary speech act in netizen comment on social media.

## **B. The Identification of the Problem**

Based on the background of the study, the identification of the problem are:

1. The use of types of hate speech act to analyse the netizen's comment in social media twitter
2. There are the differences of the hate speech act of Vladimir putin on social media twitter.

## **C. Scope and Limitation**

The scope of this research is confined the hate speech act of netizen's comment against Vladimir Putin on Social Media which focused on the type of hate speech. from the theory of the Criminal Code Number: SE / 06 / X / 2015 namely insults, denigration, defamation, unpleasant acts, provoking, inciting, spread hoaxes (Hoax).

## **D. Formulation of the Problem**

The formulation of the problem are formulated as follow :

1. How is the type of hate speech act against Vladimir Putin On social media twitter?
2. How is the illocutionary act of speech act of netizen's comment against Vladimir Putin on social media twitter?

#### **E. The Objective of the Study**

Based on the problems of the research, this research was intended as follow:

1. To describe type of hate speech of netizen's comment against Vladimir Putin On social media twitter.
2. To describe type of illocutionary act of speech act of netizen's comment against Vladimir Putin on social media twitter.

#### **F. The Significance of the Study**

The significance of this study were provided by this research both theoretically and practically.

1. Theoretically:
  - a. For increase the knowledge about the type of hate speech act and illocutionary in social media twitter.
  - b. Can be a reference to the other research in the same scope.
2. Practically
  - a. For teachers, it is useful to teaching specially about speech and type of illocutionary that will be found in hate speech act.



- b. For students, it is useful to learning about speech and type of illocutionary that will be found in hate speech act.
- c. For readers, it is useful to give information about speech and type of illocutionary that will be found in hate speech act.

## **CHAPTER II**

### **THE REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE**

#### **A. Theoretical Framework**

Theories are required in research to explain some notions in the research issue. To have the same viewpoint of implementation in the field, the notion that was employed must be explained. Theoretical explanations of the concept employed in this study will be offered. In other words, the following is thought to be significant to discuss so that the reader understanding the idea better.

#### **1. Speech Act**

Speech is the process of building and sharing meaning through the use of verbal and nonverbal symbols, according to Searle (1969) linguistics communication involved linguistic act. The unit of linguistic communication is generally, the symbol of word, in the performance of a speech act and there is a shift toward acts that occur via language and it's performed in the utterance of a sentence are in general (Journal & kajian Bahasa dan Budaya, 2020).

#### **2. Hate Speech**

Hate speech from the term “ hate”, as well as the kind of speech known as “hate speech” and the phrase “hate”, may be distracting on demonstrates that people are concerned with correcting the feeling and emotions at the roof of

particular speech act. The term of emphasizes the subjective attitude of the person who expresses, promotes, or publishes a hateful message toward another person.

Hate speech is a definition for action crimes related to swear words insult against individuals or groups on the basis of race, sex, orientation, ethnicity and religion. Where the act is a form of humiliation creates an atmosphere or hostility, intimidation and part of pollution (Brison, 1998)

According to Ubaidillah Hate speech is not just an emotional expression against a particular individual conveyed through interpersonal channels but expressed hatred is based on fundamental human characteristics. This public channel factor and fundamental communal character make hate speech can cause mass damage. Hate speech is not only about shared knowledge but also desires and hatred. The purpose of hate speeches is to give the addressee the knowledge unknown before and make them want something never thought of and feel something (Ubaidillah & Wijana D.P, 2021)

Hate speech is an act carried out by certain individuals or group in the form of provocation, incitement, insult, blasphemy, defamation or spread of hoaxes in aspect like, skin tone, race, gender, ethnicity, physical disability and many more (Indah et al., 2020) Moving on from this kind of understanding, it can be said that all expressions or hate speech are realized through both verbal and nonverbal language that aims to discriminate, intimidate, dominate and create hostility and violence.

According to Alexandra hate speech can be extremely broad or fairly narrow, the definition capture a wide variety of speech that is directed against a specified or easily identifiable individual or groups based on arbitrary or normatively irrelevant features (Siegel, n.d.).

### **3. Types of Hate Speech**

Hate speech is a part of the crime of hatred, according to Permatsari and Subyantoro there are six types of hate speech, provoking, inciting, spread hoaxes, unpleasant action, insulting and defamation base on the chief of Police Circular Number SE / 06 / X / 2005. (Indah et al., 2020)

#### **a. Provoking**

Provoking is a speech to make someone or people angry, provoking can be defined as an expression of incitement to discrimination violence and hostility. Speech delivered in this case triggered a public misunderstanding and would have an impact on hostility or war. Provoking is an act done for arouse anger in a way to annoyance and make people who agitated have negative thought and emotions.

According to KBBI provoking is an act done to arouse by inciting, anger, irritation, provoking and making the person who was incite have negative though and emotion. provoking could be incredible action within the shape of articulations to warm up a person or community bunch and cause fear, uneasiness in a community. Speech conveyed, in this case, activated an open misconception and would have an effect on hostility or war.

the example of provoking, ‘*just delete his twitter account*’. the sentences referred to the provoking in by making people who read it incite the negative though. In the sentences provoke people by suggesting the people to delete the twitter account of someone.

‘*the immigrant were all just give the fault for the country*’. the utterance of the sentences referred to provoking, in the sentences shown the discrimination that attached the immigrant.

‘*you know, he just a loser, he even can not be a leader. stop support him!!*’. the utterance sentences referred to the provoking because the sentence incite the negative though and contain an anger. the sentence he even can not be a leader is force people to believe that the person just a loser, the speaker want to tell that they have to stop to support someone by the negative though.

## **b. Inciting**

Inciting is the word or sentence which has the intention of encouraging, persuading, rising up or inflaming the spirit of someone to do something. The word ‘*incite*’ has the attribute ‘*intentionally*’. Inciting is almost the same as the provoking shape, but the shape of the inciting speech is more subtle than provoking the predominantly rude speech. An instigator could be an articulation or utterance that impacts other individuals and aims to make the individual believe it. This speech will stimulate someone's heart to be irate, to stand up to, revolt against something certain people or groups.

Examples of incitement utterances. "*Yeah, just kill it instead of making a fuss, I also hate him because he's a black man.*" The utterance is a form of inciting

because the speaker invites the interlocutor to kill someone because of the reason that the group of people is Chinese. The utterance is an invitation to do something but not forced.

the sentences ‘‘ *bring trump down 2020*’’ such as the type of provoking because in the sentences persuade the people to drop the president Donald Trump down from his position as a president.

the sentences ‘‘ *you are crazy guy* ’’ is an inciting because in this sentences the word crazy is a cruel word for labelling someone that the word crazy means a person has mentally ill.

### **c. Spread hoaxes (hoax)**

Spread hoaxes or hoax is the expressions of hatred by spreading the news that is actually not true (lying) or known as hoax news aims that order people trust the people can no longer choose whether the news is true or not. Example in utterance in this form of spreading fake news. *Child abductions that occur in Indonesia are very cruel, children who are kidnapped are mutilated and burned and thrown away.* The statement is spreading a fake news or hoax because the abduction is happened but the children is not be mutilated or even burried they just trapped in a house or building and the kidnapper will ask for money to free the children.

‘‘ *there will be tsread hahe big disaster in next year*’’. the utterance of this sentences referred to spread hoax by order to make people trust the sentences but it actually not true. this sentences aimed to share the news that untrue or hoax,

this sentence was made to make people believe about what will be happened just to want to get the attention of others.

“ *Biden is a big worst, lets close him from America* ”. the utterance of this sentences referred to spread hoax. the sentences is not true based on the fact and reality. by saying biden is a big worst the speaker want people to believe that he is a big worst and make other hate him.

#### **d. Unpleasant action**

The definition of unpleasant action is a speech that contain threats, coercion, violence or oath. This unpleasant behaviour indirectly interferes with the comfort and safety of individuals and groups. Example of utterance in unpleasant action “ *if you not give me the money, I will hurt your daughter* ”. The utterance includes unpleasant actions because the speaker forces the interlocutor to give him the money, and threatens to hurt his daughter if the speech partner does not obey his speech.

“ *resign!! you cant do anything.* ”, the utterance of the sentence includes unpleasant action because word resign was an impolite annoying in commanding someone. the sentences forces the interlocutor to quit on his job by saying the interlocutor can not do anything.

“ *you have to give me the position, I'll make you die if you don't want to* ”. the utterance of the sentences referred to unpleasant action, this sentences contain the coercion and violence. it force the interlocutor by coercing to give the position and will do the violence by saying die or will kill the interlocutor.



### e. Insulting

Insulting is attacking the honour and prestige of a person. Insult was defined as an action if an insult is directed at a person or group of people based on religion, race, ethnicity, religion, gender, disability, sexual orientation. Insulting is an action to bring down one's soul. Usually this insulting is carried out by expressing utterance that contain harsh words, invective which impairs one's reputation and honour.

Insulting is attacking someone's honour and good name. Those who are attacked are usually embarrassed. The object of insulting is in the form of a sense of self-esteem or dignity regarding honour and about the good name of people, both

individually and communally (groups). Examples of insults. *Bandung is a city junkie who needs all prostitutes LOL hahaha report? Sissy! Please if you dare! Ha ha ha.* The statement is a form of insult to a city in West Java (Bandung) by saying that Bandung is a city of prostitutes. The speaker also mentioned that all the people of Bandung work as prostitutes.

“Wild dog, stop killing india muslims”.the utterance of the sentences was a insulting . in The word “dog” was referred to the types of hate speech of insulting. This was because the interlocutor who as a human was called as an animal that had the meaning brainless, predatory, savage, uncontrollable, and careless.and it bring the religion of muslim,

*“Who cares. You sure are insane. You need to be removed from office*

“The utterance was intended to insulting. The sentence was referred to the types

of hate speech of insulting. The word “insane” means crazy or mentally ill. It was cruel word in labelling someone.

#### **f. Defamation**

Defamation is the act of defaming someone’s prestige or honour by stating something in spoken or written. Example of defamation in utterance, *Members of parliament and the lawyer are imbecilic doltish legal counselors*. The utterance is a form of defamation against members of the DPR, the speaker thinks that the DPR members are stupid and stupid, besides that the speaker also thinks that the lawyer who helps the guilty is a stupid lawyer. The speaker defamed the government agency of the DPR by using the words stupid and stupid, and defamed one of the lawyers by calling him a moron.

*“You are with holding tests and refusing widespread testing that would provide states with respirators and equipment. You and your crime family are personally making hundreds of millions of dollars.”* The sentence was referred to the types of hate speech of defamation. It showed that the sentence “refusing widespread testing” had the meaning that the interlocutor did not help his citizen to handle the corona virus spreading. The second sentence “you and your crime family are personally making hundreds of millions of dollars” means that the netizen accused the interlocutor was saving the money for his own self or as a corruptor.

#### **g. Denigration**

Denigration is a word, behavior, writing, or performance that is prohibited because it can trigger acts of violence and prejudice either on the part of the

perpetrator of the statement or the victim of the act, the example of denigration utterance *"I will not choose Ahok to be governor of DKI because he is an infidel and has caused a commotion in Jakarta because of his actions"*. The statement is considered denigration, because the speaker said that because of Ahok's actions, Jakarta became noisy. The speaker also spoke of denigration by calling Ahok an infidel, and would not elect him to be governor of DKI Jakarta for religious reasons.

*‘‘Stop giving wrong information about COVID-19. 50000 cases in USA. Shame on you president. And you still worry about economy. Look at INDIA. it’s lock down already. You let your people die’’*. The sentence was referred to the types of hate speech of denigration. It was because the netizen accused the president or interlocutor always gives the wrong information about Covid-19 or it was called as hoax. The netizen said that the interlocutor spread the hoax about Covid-19. And the sentence *“You let your people die”* was also defamation. It had the meaning that the interlocutor did not care about his citizen’s recovery of Covid-19.

*‘‘Stop taking credit for nothing you did. We will thank the democrats. You have done so much damage. There is a special place in hell for you and your family’’*. The sentences were referred to the types of hate speech of denigration. the sentences contain about the prejudice of the interlocutor. The sentence of *“stop taking credit for nothing you did”* meant the netizen accused that the people always lies and creates his image as a good person.

#### **4. Illocutionary act**

Speech act is divided into three parts: locutionary act, illocutionary act and perlocutionary act. Speech act theory is foundation of the study pragmatics. speech act is the utterance intended to state something so that the intention of the speaker to be understand, it is focused on how to use language in communicating intention and purposes (Nasution et al., n.d.).

According to Wijayana, Illocutionary act refers as an act of doing something. Illocutionary are speech acts that contain intentions and functions or power of speech. Illocutionary speech acts can be identified as speech acts that function to inform something and do something and contain intentions and utterances. Illocutionary act are not easily identified because it is related to who the speaker is, to whom, when and where the speech act is performed.(Rosyidi et al., 2019b) . Illocutionary is an act of utterance that has certain powers such as commanding, warning, and doing something (Oktaviani et al., 2022).

#### **5. Types of illocutionary act**

Searle (1979) classifies illocutionary act into five categories, namely: assertive, commissive, expressive and declarative.

##### **a. Assertive**

Assertive or representative is a speech act of stating, proposing, bragging, complaining, expressing opinions, and reporting. The speaker is committed, in varying degree to the truth or false of a proposition, stating, informing, affirming,

boasting, complaining, denying and others. (Ilyas & Khushi, 2012) Assertive illocutionary act is an assertive act counts as an attempt to explain the actual state of affairs comprising phrases used to address a specific idea, proposition or belief.

The example of assertive utterance, *stupid! Pull your self together. Person who have a power but out of contex.* The utterance of assertive is convey about an opinion of the privilege context. Following assertive illocution is a speech used to convey a particular idea, where the speakers attached to the truth of the proposition expressed or confidence expressed to listeners such as affirming something, informing things, concluding, and reporting something.

*‘‘Who cares. You sure are insane. You need to be removed from office’’* The sentence referred to the types of illocutionary act of assertive. It was because the netizen stated the interlocutor as an insane or a crazy person and couldn't be a president anymore. The word of “stating” is a kind of assertive act.

*‘‘We’re not listening anymore. It seems we’re self governing at this point there is no leadership’’*. the utterance of assertive is convey about complaining the government, in this point the interlocutor attached the government by saying there is no leadership.

*‘‘ Jokowi is the worst president in history’’*. The sentence referred to the types of illocutionary act of assertive act. It was because the sentence stated that President Jokowi was not skillfull and qualified to be a president. The word of “stating” is a kind of assertive act.

## **b. Directive**

The directive is the act of speech used by the person who speak in order to be able to order the interlocutor to carry out certain actions. This utterance explains a will or will of the speaker. These speech acts include; requests, orders, suggestions, the act of ordering, and its form can be like negative and positive sentences. When using directives, the speaker tries to harmonize the world with a series of words through the interlocutor.

Directive is a speech that aims to produce an effect to a listener in the form of an action or has the intention to make a listener to do something. The functions of directive speech acts) are ordering, commanding, begging, demanding, and advising. The speaker tries to get the hearer to do something, e.g. advising, asking, begging, challenging, commanding, recommending, requesting, and suggesting. The example of directive utterance, *go to hell with your family!*. The utterance is a directive illocutionary because the of asking or request or praying for something bad.

*‘Please don’t talk. You are a crazy person’* The sentence referred to the types of illocutionary act of directives.. The word “please” was a request word. And the word “don’t” was a command word. These request and command words were kind of directives act.

*‘Print some extra money, and steel the medicine from Europe, the Russians are helping Italy, did not hear anything of this sick men. So called fake friend’*. The sentence referred to the types of illocutionary act of directive act. It was because the netizen in the first statement suggested the people to get the money by

own self and not to hope the president took care of them. The continuous sentence was stating sentence that netizen informed that the netizen didn't want to listen to the man. The word of stating and suggesting were assertive act.

*‘‘I cannot understand how you Americans can vote him. He’s so incoherent’’.*

The sentence referred to the types of illocutionary act of assertive act. It was because the netizen expressed the mind of the netizen. The word of expressing was an showing an opinion.

### **c. Commissive**

Commissive act is a speech act where the speakers attached to an action in the future. These commissive act includes promising, offering, and swearing. Commissive is an act of utterance the speaker can understand or understand the deed done and expresses a willingness to do this in life. These speech acts state what the speaker means. The speech act obtains, among others' threats, promises, pledges, refusals, and can be shown to the speaker or the speaker becomes part of the group. When using commissive, the speaker seeks to harmonize the surrounding environment with the sequence of words through the speaker.

The speaker committed, in varying degrees, to result in an obligation to speaker. That is they commit the speaker to so something e.g. guarantee, offering, promising, swearing, threatening and vowing. Example of commissive, *shit! You are so rough.* the utterance of commissive in illocutionary act is express the swear of someone.

*‘‘ I promise to help you to get your dream’’*. the utterance of sentences is a commissive, the sentences contain promise. the speaker perform an action in the future, the classification of commissive in illocutionary act include promise.

*‘‘Big fucking deal! The rest of the world is also moving their income tax deadline as well, this fucking guy thinks he’s the first LMAO’’*. The sentence was referred to commissive, this sentences contain the swearing or a hars word. the word fucking deals and fucking man sow the swearing, This statement show hatred to the government about the tax.

#### **d. Expressive**

Expressive is a speech act that expresses an utterance the speaker can feel it. The speech act describes the state of things stated psychologically and the statement can consist of liking, joy, pleasure, hatred, misery, and trouble. Expressive is a speech act which has the function as a disclosure of psychological attitude of the speaker toward the state implied in the illocution. The action to express this expressive attitude is like to say thank you, congratulate, apologize, blame, praise, and say condolences.

These speech acts the listener or speaker does something, but all of them are related to what the speaker has experienced. When using expressiveness the speaker matches a series of words by feeling something. The speaker expresses an attitude and feeling about a state of affairs, e.g. apologizing, blaming, congratulation, pardoning, thanking, and welcoming. The example of expressive utterance, *you destroy everything! Think about other don’t just think for yourself.*



the utterance of expressive is express about the feeling of he or her in blaming something in hate speech utterance.

“ *congratulation, you already broke my day!!* “. the utterance of the sentences is express the expressive in illocutionary act. it shown to congratulate someone in hated.

“ *sorry for coming, but I need to know the truth* “. the utterance of the sentences is express the expressive by state the pardoning to shown the feeling of the speaker.

“ *You made the corona virus yourself* “. The sentence referred to the types of illocutionary act of expressive act. It was because the netizen blamed that Corona-virus was created by someone. The word of blaming was a kind of expressive act

#### **e. Declarative**

Declaration is a speech act that can change environment through speech. Speakers in special contexts, must has a special institutional role, to put forward a statement correctly and precisely. Declarative is a speech that falls into the category of a very specific act of saying such as firing, punishing, and hiring. This speech act is intended to create things such as status, circumstances and so forth from the speakers to the said partner When expressing a statement the speaker can change the surrounding environment with a series of words.

Declarative is a speech that falls into the category of a very specific act of saying such as firing, punishing, and hiring. This speech act is intended to create

things such as status, circumstances and so forth from the speakers. The word or expression that changed the world by utterances such as bet, declare, resign.

*“ You’re not a clown you’re the whole circus”*. the utterance of the sentences referred to declarative. This sentences contain the expression that the interlocutor by saying that change the person or in this sentences is a clown be a circus, this sentences shown the circumstances of the interlocutor.

*“You are collapsing the economy instead of just isolating sick and old people? Have you lost your mind – this idiotic move is going to carry a 10 trillion dollar price tag”*. the utterance of the sentences referred to declarative illocutionary act. the sentences you are collapsing the economy declare the status that the person was a corruptor who make the inflation impact for the country.

## **B. Relevant Study**

In this research, a view previous researchers do the same research about hate speech but have a different focus. The first previous study is a thesis entitled, Hate Speech Comment on Donald Trump’s Instagram Photos semantic study by Lusiana Sondra of Adab and Humanity Faculty the State Islamic University Sultan Thaha Sifuddin Jambi. The purpose of this study is to analyse the hate speech used in social media Instagram. The background of this study is to analyse the hate speech words that used in social media by people today they are do not know the meaning when using it. The material of this research is the word of comments on Donald Trump Instagram Photos. The purpose of this research are to find out type of hate speech words on Comments Donald Trump’s Instagram

Photos Semantics Study, to find out the lexical and contextual meaning of hate speech words to find out the effect of hate speech comments.

The research design is library research that used qualitative research method to analyse the data. This research also used documentation technique to get the data. The result of this research shows the data based on the formulation of problem. They are, there are two types of hate speech; expression of insult and ridicule or slander that used in the commentary of Donald Trump's Instagram Photos. there are two kinds of meaning; lexical meaning and contextual meaning. there is the effect of hate speech comments; the reaction of the reader or audience.

The similarity of this research is about diagnosing the hate speech in social media. The difference between the previous research with this study is the object of this study that is Vladimir Putin and this study use the theory from permatasari about the type of speech hate, that are, provoking, insiting, hoax, unpleasant action, defamation.

The second previous research is a journal entitle, impoliteness in Indonesian language hate speech on social media contain in the Instagram account. conducted by Subyantoro and Sigit Apriyanto. This research is analyse the phenomenon of the hate speech in Indonesia language, and the expression that stimulates people from certain social groups that are oriented toward differences, race, national origin, religion, and gender.

In this study, the analysis of the hate speech is seen from the perspective of the impoliteness theory. The research uses forensic theory, while the methodology uses qualitative. The data used, as many as 40 comments were used as research

objects. Realization of hate speech based on impoliteness, there are 3 strategies, namely positive impoliteness strategies, negative impoliteness strategies, and satire or scoffing strategies. (Subyantoro & Apriyanto, 2020) In other words, linguistic hate speech can be sent in the form of words, phrases, clauses, sentences, and in the form of discourse.

The similarity of this study is analyse the hate speech in social media, the difference between the previous study is the previous study use the impoliteness strategies but this study use the type of hate speech and the illocutionary act to diagnosing the hate speech against Vladimir Putin in Social media twitter.

The third previous study is a journal entitle, the hate speech behavior of teenager on social media intagram, by Firmina Astuti and Partini. The purpose of this study is to understand and describe the hate speech behavior of teenagers on social media Instagram. Methods: This research is a descriptive qualitative research.

In this study the data collection techniques in this study used an open questionnaires that were spread directly. Respondents of the study amounted to 40 people with the criteria of high school / vocational students, have an Instagram account and are willing to become research informants by filling out informed consent. Results: The results showed that the behavior shown by adolescents when they see content that contains elements of hate speech on Instagram, they just neglect the content, keep quiet, do not care, ignore the content and re-reporting the content. Regarding the content of the hate speech accounts, some respondents claimed to have been affected by the contents of the hate speech accounts. (Astuti,

n.d.). the similarity of this study is the analysis hate speech in social media. the differences between the previous study is the technic of collecting data. This study used qualitative method to analyse the data.

The fourth previous journal entitled, speech act analysis to short stories by Sahar Frouq Alkatiri. This previous study is analysed the kind of speech act in a story (Altikriti, 2011) .the fifth previous study is a journal entitle, the illocutionary on speech act of foreign student in indonesia learning. This research is indemnity the type of illocutionary in the speech at through Indonesia learning (Budiasih et al., 2016). The previous study above mostly had researched the hate comment on social media.

All the previous studies finding above help and contribute the researcher understanding of Diagnosing the Hate Speech Against Vladimir Putin on Social Media Twitter. From the previous studies above, it is clear that this study has differences and similarities.

### **C. CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK**

Based on the theoretical framework the researcher established the conceptual framework as a basis for the research problem. Hate speech contain the hatred and attack others by word or language. Hate speech is a form of provoking, incitement or insult made to other people, individuals or group by act of communication or speech, by sharing aspect such as ethnic, skin tone, race, gender, nationality, religion and so on. So, in this research the researcher want to examine the

diagnosing of hate comment on social media Twitter against Vladimir Putin to analyse hate speech act.




Figure 2.1 : Conceptual Framework

## **CHAPTER III**

### **RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

#### **A. Research Design**

This research conducted to diagnosing the hate speech act based on the type of the hate speech and illocutionary act through applying qualitative research method. Based on Corbin and Strauss (2015) a category of research methodology that produce conclusion without relying on qualitative measurement of statistical analysis is called qualitative research. Qualitative research involves non numerical data such as text, video, or audio. based on the research objective, the researcher will analyse the type of netizen comment of Vladimir Putin on social media twitter.

#### **B. Source of the Data**

The data was taken from the comment column of netizen's comment against Vladimir Putin in social media twitter which indicate the hate speech. The source of data taken from February until July 2023.

#### **C. Technique of Data Collection**

To obtain data in this study, the data collecting in this research was through documentation method. The data source are hate speech act against Vladimir Putin on twitter. The data collection carried out with the following steps:

1. The source of this research is taken through twitter. In collecting data, the data found the hate speech act in comment column against Vladimir Putin.
2. after the data was found in comment column in twitter, the data was be documented by screenshot.
3. After that, it was identified the type of hate speech act and the illocutionary act
4. The final step it was analysed and classified the type of hate speech act and illocutionary act against Vladimir Putin on twitter.

#### **D. Technique of the Data Analysis**

Data analysis in this study was done by using a content analysis (John W. Creswell, 2007) The following technique was used to analyse the research data, the researcher used the following technique:

1. The researcher found the hate speech and illocutionary act in comment column against Vladimir Putin on twitter.
2. The researcher put a marked on the hate speech and illocutionary act against Vladimir Putin on social media Twitter from February until July 2023.
3. The researcher analysed and classified the data into each type of hate speech (provoking, inciting, spread hoaxes unpleasant action, insulting, defamation and denigration) and illocutionary act (Assertive, directive, commissive, expressive and declarative)
4. The researcher presented the result in the form of table.



## **CHAPTER IV**

### **RESEARCH FINDING AND DISCUSSION**

#### **A. RESEACH FINDING**

This research was interested to analyse the netizen's comment against Vladimir Putin in social media twitter that was collecting by taking a note, there are 58 comment against Vladimir Putin which taken to analyse the hate speech act and the illocutionary act . The data analysed by classifying into 7 types of hate speech act, there are provoking, inciting, spread hoaxes unpleasant action, insulting, defamation and denigration and 5 types of illocutionary act, there are Assertive, directive, commissive, expressive and declarative.

#### **B. DISCUSSION**

Base on the analysis that has been done, the researcher found the illocutionary act from the hate speech in the comment column against Vladimir Putin in social media twitter. The data of illocutionary act from the hate speech that have been analysed are 58 hate comment against Vladimir putin in social media twitter. The illocutionary act from hate speech contained Assertive, directive, expressive, declarative, and commissive. the type of hate speech from the hate comment contained provoking, inciting, spread hoaxes unpleasant action, insulting, defamation and denigration.

##### **1. Type of Hate Speech**

###### **a. Provoking**

Provoking is a type of hate speech that use to make someone angry, it can be defined as an expression of incitement to discrimination violence and hostility. provoking is an act done to arouse by inciting, anger, irritation, provoking and making the person who was incite have negative though and emotion. Based on this data of the study, there are 4 provoking of hate speech found in this study.

Data (01) ‘ ‘ *He doesn’t care. He will kill them* ’’.



Picture 4.1 the screenshot of netizen’s reply on twitter

Data (01) shows the intended against Vladimir Putin, the sentence referred to the types of hate speech of provoking. it was because in the sentences the words ‘ ‘he will kill them’ ’ is a kind of provoking act that referred to Vladimir Putin and provoke the readers that he will kill people because he is careless.

Data (02) ‘ ‘ *Putin loves children especially stealing other people’s children* ’’.



Picture 4.2 the screenshot of netizen’s reply on twitter

Data (02) shows the types of hate speech of provoking because the word ‘ ‘stealing’ ’ act like he will steal people and in the first sentences the word Putin

love children make people assume that he like children and steal other people's children. this comment provoked people that because he love children but he will stealing them in negative meaning.

Data (03) “ *Say no to putin! He is criminal, Russia is ...putin is a war criminal*”.



Picture 4.3 the screenshot of netizen's reply on twitter

Data (03) shows the intended against Vladimir Putin, the sentence referred to the types of hate speech act of provoking because the netizen provoke the others to avoid Putin by saying 'say no to Putin'.

Data (04) “ *Oh shit! putin is on twitter that's more preferable that out state propaganda in entertainment wise, I suppose* ”.



Picture 4.4 the screenshot of netizen's reply on twitter

Data (04) shows the type of hate speech of provoking because in this comment the word 'propaganda' make people have a negative thought and people assume that because of Vladimir Putin is on twitter so that's why there will be a propaganda in entertainment wise.

From data (01) until data (04) have been analyse and found the word that intended to provoke netizens against Vladimir Putin. the word *he will kill them*, *stealing*, *say no to putin*, and *propaganda* were referred to the type of hate speech act of provoking.

### **b. inciting**

Inciting is a type of hate speech that has the intention of encouraging, persuading, rising up or inflaming the spirit of someone to do something. Based on the data of this study, there are 7 inciting of hate speech found in this study.


Data (05) ‘ ‘ *Its time for Rusia to close the door on Putin* ‘ ‘.



Picture 4.5 the screenshot of netizen's reply on twitter

Data (05) shows the type of hate speech of inciting. the comment was intended against Vladimir Putin. The comment referred to the inciting because the word ‘ ‘close the doors’ ’ has intention of persuading people who read the comment that Russia have to close the door to Putin or close all access to Putin.


Data (06) ‘ ‘ *Instability is the enemy of every nazi regime. Vladimir you are done. We saw a issy Putin. Ukraine will teach you manners qua liberation of Ukraine*’ ’.



Picture 4. 6 the screenshot of netizen's reply on twitter

Data (06) shows the type of hate speech of inciting. It was because the netizen stated the interlocutor as a loose person, and take it easy to him. It was to revolt against Vladimir Putin. The comment attack the interlocutor to make individuals believe it. The word 'you are done' is a kind of inciting.


Data (07) 'Have you kick the bucket yet?'



Picture 4. 7 the screenshot of netizen's reply on twitter

Data (07) shows the type of hate speech of inciting. The utterance of inciting is convey about the inflaming of the spirit of someone that in this case is Vladimir Putin. The netizen stated the interlocutor by using slang of dead to expressed his idea. This comment the interlocutor's heart to be irritate by saying like that. The word 'kick the bucket' is a kind of inciting act.

Data (08) 'Nobody cares Vlad'.



Picture 4. 8 the screenshot of netizen's reply on twitter

Data (08) shows the type of hate speech of inciting. The netizen stated the interlocutor by said nobody care and that encourage people to stand up for avoid him. the word '' nobody care'' is a kind of inciting act.

Data (09) ' ' *Fuck putin* ' '



Picture 4.9 the screenshot of netizen's reply on twitter

Data (09) shows the type of hate speech of inciting. It was because the netizen used rude or harsh word and persuading people to have same though. The comment was intended to attack Putin. the word ''fuck'' is a kind of inciting act.

Data (10) ' ' *I think you're confused, maybe all strong leaders admire strong charismatic leaders but you are sadly mistaken* ' '.



Picture 4.10 the screenshot of netizen reply on twitter

Data (10) shows the type of hate speech of inciting. It was because the sentence persuade people to tough that Vladimir Putin was a mistaken and this comment inflaming and give an impact for interlocutor. the word “ mistaken” is a kind of inciting act.

Data (11) “ *What is this bull shit?*”



Picture 4.11 the screenshot of netizen’s reply on twitter

Data (11) shows the type of hate speech of inciting. the netizen stated the interlocutor as a liar by saying what is this bullshit or what lie that the interlocutor had done. This comment inviting someone to believe but not force. The word “ “bullshit” is a kind of inciting act.

there were seven (7) comments that intended to the type of hate speech of inciting. Data (05) until data (11) shows several word that found in inciting such

as, *close the door, you are done, kick the bucket, nobody care, fuck, mistaken, and bullshit*. The words used to encouraging, persuading, and inflaming the spirit of the interlocutor.

### c. Spread hoax

Spread hoaxes or hoax is the expressions of hatred by spreading the news that is actually not true (lying) or known as hoax news aims that order people trust the people can no longer choose whether the news is true or not. Based on the data of this study, there are 4 spread hoax of hate speech in this study.

Data (12) ‘‘ *Putin war on for nazi America rife with Nazis paperclip Nazis heisenberg von braun mknultra Nazis cal Berkeley graduate Nazis*’’.



Picture 4. 12 the screenshot of netizen's comment on twitter

Data (12) referred to the type of hate speech in spread hoax to spread. The utterance of spread hoax is convey about fake or hoax that state the interlocutor war on nazi America. Putin war but not on nazi America. This statement is not true. the word ‘‘war’’ is a kind of spread hoax act.

Data (13) ‘‘ *I'm wondering what putin wains as a genocide, and when he dies what he can take to hell! Stop the war putin!*’’.





Picture 4. 13 the screenshot of netizen's comment on twitter

Data (13) shows the type of hate speech of spread hoax. It was because the netizen stated the interlocutor as a killer or caused of genocide. The netizen state that the interlocutor start the war and force to stop the war and the interlocutor will go to the hell after he die but in fact there was a war but not a genocide. The word ‘genocide’ is a kind of spread hoax.

Data (14) ‘*Putin the pathetic*’.



Picture 4. 14 the screenshot of netizen's reply on twitter

Data (14) shows the type of hate speech of spread hoax the utterance referred to spread hoax because it was untrue by saying pathetic, this comment aims to order people to trust whether this comment is not true. the word ‘pathetic’ is a kind of spread hoax act.

Data (15) ‘*Putin go back to fighting naizs. Communists use satanic... you are conflicted*’.



Picture 4.15 the screenshot of netizen's reply on social media twitter


Data (15) shows the type of hate speech of spread hoax. The utterance was intended against Vladimir Putin it referred to spread hoax because the netizen state the interlocutor as a communist and use satanic and the interlocutor was very conflicted. the utterance is used in order to persue the people to trust this utterance. The words'' communists use satanic'' is a kind of spread hoax act.

Data (12) until data (15) shows the type of hate speech of spread hoax. It was intended to state to spread untrue or lying news to attack the interlocutor. The utterance of spread hoax found several word that used such as, *war, genocide, pathetic, and communist use satanic.*

#### **d. Unpleasant action**

Unpleasant action is one of the type of hate speech that a speech that contain threats, coercion, violence or oath. Based on the data of this research there are 3 unpleasant action of hate speech.

Data (16) '' *These coward have killed 475 children over 1500 kids... kids! This isn't counting civilian adults that are innocent. Aee all Russians cowards or is it just the boys at the top''.*



Picture 4.16 the screenshot of netizen's reply on twitter

Data (16) shows the type of hate speech of unpleasant action. It was intended against the interlocutor. This comment included the violence by netizen the amount of the children who had been killed 475 children over 1500 kids. The sentences show the violence that done by the interlocutor. the word "killed" is a kind of unpleasant action act.

Data (17) " *How many extra bodies of young children . are there hidden in under and around in kremlin* " .



Picture 4.17 the screenshot of netizen's reply on twitter

Data (17) shows the type of hate speech of unpleasant action. it was intended to the interlocutor because in this comment interferes the comfort of someone by saying extra bodies of young children. The netizen state the interlocutor hid the children. the word " hidden" is a kind of unpleasant action.

Data (18) " *He runs the largest pleado ring on planet, how many children has he taken, putin is a child sex monster* " .



Picture 4.18 the screenshot of netizen's reply on twitter

Data (18) shows unpleasant action of the type of hate speech. the utterance referred unpleasant action because contain the violence to attack the interlocutor. The netizen state the interlocutor as a sex monster and runs the largest pleado ring on planet. the word “sex monter” is a kind of unpleasant action.

Data (16) until data (18) shows unpleasant action of the type of hate speech. the utterance word of unpleasant action such as, *killed, hidden, and sex monster. the words are contain coercion or violence.*

#### e. Insulting

Insulting is attacking the honour and prestige of a person. Insult was defined as an action if an insult is directed at a person or group of people based on religion, race, ethnicity, religion, gender, disability, sexual orientation. Based on the data of this study there are eight (8) insulting of hate speech.

Data (19) “*Brother there must be peace and unity*”.



Picture 4. 19 the screenshot of netizen's reply on twitter

Data (19) shows the type of hate speech of insulting. it was intended against Vladimir Putin referred to insulting in hate speech, this comment attack the interlocutor by carried out the invective to bring down the soul. The word “peace” is a kind of insulting act.

Data (20) ‘ ‘ *Have sympathy elsewhere of your scrotum! We are wankers! Fear us ! maybe one day we take bakhmut!* ‘ ‘.



Picture 4. 20 the screenshot of netizen’s reply on twitter

Data (20) shows the insulting of the type of hate speech. the utterance of insulting state the interlocutor did the wankers, because this comment contain harsh word attacking someone sexual orientation. the word “ wankers” is a kind of insulting act.

Data (21) ‘ ‘ *The two bigger losers on the planet earth* ‘ ‘ .



Picture 4. 21 the screenshot of netizen’s reply on twitter

Data (21) shows the insulting of type of hate speech. the utterance of insulting because this comment attacking someone mental by saying the interlocutor as a looser and contain harsh word. the words ‘looser’ is a kind of insulting act.

Data (22) “ *Mr. president I am a cristian in US. I want to warn you Obama/Biden will 1<sup>st</sup> strike your soldier. They actually want hot war. I think the only way they can win is nukes. They want to destroy the people here. We will not be slave. Please consider this.* ” .



Picture 4.22 the screenshot of netizen’s reply on twitter

Data (22) shows the insulting of type of hate speech. it referred to insulting in hate speech because this comment attacking the honour of a person by saying “ I want to warn you ” it was an impaired the interlocutor’s reputation and honour. the word “ warn ” is a kind of insulting act.

Data 23 “ *Lmao, go cry smh* ”.



Picture 4. 23 the screenshot of netizen’s reply on twitter

Data (23) intended against Vladimir Putin referred to insulting in hate speech because in this comment carried or attack the dignity regarding honour or a good name of Putin. the word ‘‘ go cry’’ is a kind of insulting act.

Data (24) ‘‘ *Wanted! For murder of innocent Ukrainian people!*’’.



Picture 4. 24 the screenshot of netizen reply on twitter.

Data (24) shows the type of hate speech of insulting. The utterance of insulting state the interlocutor as a innocent for Ukraine people, because this comment said for murder that attack Putin honour and prestige as a person. The word ‘‘ murder’’ is a kind of insulting.

Data (25) ‘‘ *He doesn't give 2 sh!ts!! putin is cowardly war criminal!*’’.



Picture 4. 25 the screenshot of netizen's reply on twitter

Data (25) shows the insulting of the type of hate speech. the utterance of insulting referred to attack the interlocutor as a war criminal, and contain the

harsh word in word . the word“” shifts” and “war criminal” are a kind of insulting act.



Picture 4.26 the screenshot of netizen’s reply on twitter

Data (26) shows the type of hate speech of insulting. It referred to insulting because the netizen stated the word tiny man to attack interlocutor appearance and honour. The word “ tiny man” is a kind of insulting act.

Data (19) until (26) shows insulting of type of hate speech, the utterance of insulting in this study directed the interlocutor based on the religion, sexual orientation, disability and personal honour. There word of insulting act were, *peace, wankers, losers, warn, cry, murder, war criminal, and tiny man which referred to insulting act.*

#### f. Defamation

Defamation is the act of defaming someone’s prestige or honour by stating something in spoken or written. Based on the data of this research there is 1 defamation of hate speech.





Picture 4. 1.27 the screenshot of netizen reply on twitter

Data (27) shows the utterance of defamation in type of hate speech. It because the netizen' defaming the interlocutor prestige in written. this comment defamed the interlocutor by attacking face and look. the word ' weird'' is a kind of defamation act.

In type of hate speech, the researcher found a comment that referred to defamation, Data (27) was an intended of defamation. the word weird is a kind of defamation. it attacking interlocutor prestige or honour.

#### **g. Denigration**

Denigration is a word, behavior, writing, or performance that is prohibited because it can trigger acts of violence and prejudice either on the part of the perpetrator of the statement or the victim of the act. Based on the data of this study there are 2 data of denigration in hate speech.



*Should I pray for president Putin's recovery? If I do will recover.'.*


Picture 4.28 the screenshot of netizen's reply on twitter

Data (28) shows the utterance of denigration of type of hate. It referred to denigration in hate speech, because in this comment contain the statement of victim by saying 'should I pray for president putin's recovery?' the netizen's comment made a different between Ukrainian children and interlocutor. The word ''recovery'' is a kind of denigration act.

Data (29) '' You know to do Vladimir Putin. You tried to be like your hero Adolph Hitler and now you should end it like him'' .

Picture 4. 29 the screenshot of netizen's reply on twitter

Data (29) shows the utterance of denigration of type of hate speech. It referred to denigration in hate speech. The netizen trigger the interlocutor by saying that he should end like Hitler. the word ‘end’ is a kind of denigration.



Data (28) and (29) were state as a denigration. the words recovery and end found from the netizen's reply on twitter against the interlocutors. The words found to trigger the interlocutors about the violence and prejudice with the statement from the netizen.

## 2. Type of Illocutionary Act

### a. Assertive

From the data analysed there are 11 assertive from the hate speech. Assertive is a speech act involving the speaker on the truth of the expressed proposition. For example, states, notices, prides, complains, demands, and reports. Based on the data of the study, there are 12 assertive of illocutionary act from the hate speech against Vladimir Putin in social media twitter.

Data (30) *“Its time for Rusia to close the door on Putin”* .



Picture 4.30 the screenshot of netizen's reply on twitter

Data (30) shows the assertive of illocutionary act. the utterance of assertive is convey to bragging the opinion to provoke netizens that Russia have to close the door or cut off all the way for Putin and this comment trigger to Vladimir Putin. the word ‘close the doors’ is a kind of assertive act.

Data (31) ‘*Putin war on for nazi America rife with Nazis paperclip Nazis heisenerg von braun mknultra Nazis cal Berkeley graduate Nazi.*



Picture 4.31 the screenshot of netizen’s reply on twitter

Data (31) shows the assertive of illocutionary act, the utterance referred to the Assertive because it was contained some reporting that the interlocutor had a war and relation with nazi America and it is conveyed that Vladimir Putin cooperation with America. the word ‘*war*’ is a kind of assertive.

Data (32) ‘*I’m wondering what putin wains as a genocide, and when he dies what he can take to hell! Stop the war putin!*’.



Picture 4. 32 the screenshot of netizen’s reply on twitter

Data (32) shows the utterance of assertive, it referred to the type of illocutionary act. The comment convey the opinion from the netizen that state about the interlocutor wins a genocide, and said he will go the hell so the war will be stop. the word “wins as a genocide” is a kind of assertive.

Data (33) “ *Instability is the enemy of every nazi regime. Vladimir you are done. We saw a issy Putin. Ukraine will teach you manners qua liberation of Ukraine*”.



Picture 4. 33 the screenshot of netizen’s reply on twitter

Data (33) shows the utterance of assertive, it referred to the type of illocutionary act. This comment convey about an opinion of the ability contex. The netizen state a particular idea, by attached the ability of interlocutor in a war. The netizen stated about manners of interlocutor, and said that he was done. The word “you are done” is a kind of assertive.

Data (34) “ *These coward have killed 475 children over 1500 kids... kids! This isn’t counting civilian adults that are innocent. Aee all Russians cowards or is it just the boys at the top*”.



Picture 4. 34 the screenshot of netizen's reply on twitter

Data (34) shows the type of illocutionary act of assertive. The utterance of Assertive is convey about a state of informing against interlocutor. This comment convey about the civilian that killed by the interlocutor, it's show the disappointed from the comment that expressed by reporting something that shown in sentences "have killed 475 children and over 1500 kids". This comment can bragging people to feel the disappointed by the writer for Vladimir Putin. the word "killed" is a kind of assertive.

Data (35) " *Just curious.. what happened to your face between 2003 and 2023? So weird. Not younger, certainly not better looking. Very very odd*".



Picture 4. 35 the screenshot of netizen's reply on twitter

Data (35) shows the intended against Vladimir Putin, the sentences referred to the type of illocutionary act Assertive. The netizen stated his opinion by saying the interlocutor have no different face in 20 years by the word "very very odd"

this sentences also give the means in the sentences not better better in Vladimir Putin face. The word” very odd” is a kind of assertive .

Data (36) ‘ ‘ *He doesn’t care. He will kill them* ’’.



Picture 4. 36 the screenshot of netizen’s reply on twitter

Data (36) shows the intended against Vladimir Putin, the sentences referred to the type of illocutionary act Assertive. the sentences ‘ ‘ he doesn’t care, he will kill them’’, the word he referred to the interlocutor. the netizen stated the interlocutor as a careless people, a person who like to kill people and wasn’t care by other.

Data (37) ‘ ‘ *Putin loves children especially stealing other people’s children* ’’.




Picture 4. 37 the screenshot of netizen’s reply on twitter

Data (37) shows the intended against Vladimir Putin. The sentences referred to the type of illocutionary act Assertive, the word” steal” expressing that the interlocutor take the other people’s children or kidnap the children.

Data (38) ‘ ‘ *Say no to putin! He is criminal, Russia is ...putin is a war criminal* ’’.





Picture 4. 38 the screenshot of netizen's reply on twitter

Data (38) shows the utterance of the type of illocutionary act in assertive, the netizen's state the opinion by leave the comment in comment column to attack the interlocutor. Netizen provoked that interlocutor was a criminal so people need to avoid him, in his comment he say no to Putin. the word "he is a war criminal" is a kind of assertive


Data (39) '*Brother there must be peace and unity*'.



Picture 4. 39 the screenshot of netizen's comment on twitter

Data (39) shows the Assertive of the type of illocutionary act. The utterance of assertive stated to express the suggestion from the netizen to the interlocutor to being peace and unity to end the war. The word peace and unity is a kind of assertive.

Data (40) '*Have sympathy elsewhere of your scrotum! We are wankers! Fear us ! maybe one day we take bakhmut!*'.



Picture 4. 40 the screenshot of netizen's reply on twitter

Data (40) shows the type of illocutionary act Assertive. the word ‘‘ fear us’’ express that always fear another by his power and the writer also write that the interlocutor looking for the sympathy elsewhere with the rude word and leave the opinion in the end.

Data (41) ‘‘ *The two bigger losers on the planet earth*’’ .



Picture 4. 41 the screenshot of netizen's reply on twitter

Data (41) shows the Assertive of the type of illocutionary act. The word ‘‘ loser’’ referred to Assertive. the netizen convey the opinion in the comment. It was because the interlocutor was called as the biggest loser on the planet earth. It has meaning that the interlocutor weak, no power, lose and fail.


Data (30) until data (41) convey about the type of illocutionary act of assertive. The utterance of assertive found from the comment such as , *close the doors, war, wins as a genocide, you are done, killed, verry odd, he doesn't care,*

*he will kill them, he is a war criminal, fear us, and losers.* the words used to express or stated the opinion, complains and report.

### **b. Directive**

Directive is a speech act intended by the speaker to make the hearer does something. For example, ordering, begging, requesting, suggesting, and advising. Therefore, the propositional content of the directive speech act is a future act of the hearer. Based on this, the researcher found 6 of directive of illocutionary act from the hate speech.


Data (42) ‘‘ *Have you kick the bucket yet?* ’’.



Picture 4. 42 the screenshot of netizen’s reply on twitter

Data (42) shows to the type of illocutionary act in directive. the utterance convey about ordering. He netizen wanted the interlocutor to dick his own cemetery. The comment declare the disappointed to the interlocutor and advice something by showing the comment. The sentences’’ have you kick the bucket yet ‘’ is kind of directive.

Data (43) ‘‘ *Nobody cares Vlad* ’’.



Picture 4. 43 the screenshot of netizen's reply on twitter

Data (43) shows the utterance of directive of the type of illocutionary act. The sentences has a meaning that there no one care to what the president Vladimir Putin has done. The word "nobody care" is a kind of directive.

Data (44) " *Mr. president I am a cristian in US. I want to warn you Obama/Biden will 1<sup>st</sup> strike your soldier. They actually want hot war. I think the only way they can win is nukes. They want to destroy the people here. We will not be slave. Please consider this* ".



Picture 4. 44 the screenshot of netizen's reply on twitter

Data (44) shows the directive in the type of illocutionary act. The utterance of directive convey about the suggestion. it was because the sentences express that the interlocutor have to consider with the comment, the netizen stated that the

president have to stop the war. the sentences ‘ ‘ I warn you ‘ ‘ express the pressure for the interlocutor.

Data (45) ‘ ‘ *Due to the atrocities by zelensky torturing Russians and Ukrainian children seen on video posted by Ukrainian soldiers. Should I pray for president Putin's recovery? If I do will recover.* ’’.



Picture 4. 45 the screenshot of netizen's reply on twitter

Data (45) shows the type if illocutionary act directive. the sentences ‘ ‘should I pray for putins's recover? if I do will recover’’ express that in the sentences the netizen state about the war that happened between Russian and Ukrainian. He offer to pray for the interlocutor, but if he do he will recover than because he will be the victim because of the war. The writer also considered about the video that posted by Ukraine soldier about the war but the children seen the video which the video contain of the harmful, and genocide. The word ‘ ‘should I pray for recover? is a kind of directive act.

Data (46) ‘ ‘ *Lmao, go cry smh* ’’.



Picture 4. 46 the screenshot of netizen's reply on twitter

Data (46) shows the directive of illocutionary act. The utterance referred to convey the requested that the interlocutor have to cry and scoffed by express the comment. the word " go cry" is a kind of directive act.

Data (47) " *How many extra bodies of young children . are there hidden in under and around in kremlin*".



Picture 4. 47 the screenshot of netizen's reply on twitter

Data (47) shows the directive of the type of illocutionary act. the utterance of directive convey about begging the interlocutor. the netizen state the interlocutor hide the children during the war and that so rude. the word " under and around" means a lot that he want to stop the murder against the innocent children by the interlocutor.

The directive of the type of illocutionary act found from data (42) until data (47). the utterance of directive is to ordering, begging, requesting, ad advising. in this study, there several word found in directive such as, have you kick the bucked yet?, nobody care, I warn you, should I pray for recovery?, go cry, and under and around.

### c. Expressive

Expressive is a speech act which has the function as a disclosure of psychological attitude of the speaker toward the state implied in the illocution. Based on the data of the study there are 5 expression of illocutionary act based on the hate speech.

Data (48) *“Wanted! For murder of innocent Ukrainian people!”*



Picture 4. 48 the screenshot of netizen's reply on twitter

Data (48) shows the expressive of illocutionary act. the word ‘‘ for murder of innocent people’’ express that interlocutor was a murdered or a killer for the innocent people in Ukraine.

the comment also shown that  
interlocutor give the misery  
for the Ukraine people,  
while the war was  
happened.



Data (49) *‘‘ Putin the pathetic’’*.

Picture 4.

of netizen's

Data (49)

assertive in

the utterance of



49 the screenshot

reply on twitter

shows the

illocutionary act.

directive convey

about the psychological attituded. the word “ pathetic” referred to expressive.

This because the president called pathetic, that has mean saddest, poor, sore, miserable and desolate.

Data (50) ‘ ‘ *Fuck putin* ‘ ‘ .

Picture 4. 50 the screenshot of netizen's reply on twitter

Data (50) shows the expressing of illocutionary act. The sentences “fuck Putin” referred to the expressive. This was because the president Vladimir Putin is called fuck, which fuck was the rude sentences. the netizen expressed his



feeling by said “ Fuck” it was so implied the situation, he express the hate by cursing.

Data (51) “ *He runs the largesr peado ring on planet, how many children has he taken, putin is a child sex monster* ”.

Picture 4. 51

screenshot of  
reply on twitter

Data (51)



the

netizen's

shows to

the type of illocutionary act Expressive. the word “ child sex monster” express that the comment explain Vladimir Putin by the writer. the comment said that many of children has been taken by putin and he said that putin is a child sex monster.

Data (52) “ *I think you're confused, maybe all strong leaders admire strong charismatic*

*but you are mistaken*”.



leaders

sadly

Picture 4. 52 the screenshot of netizen's reply on twitter

Data (52) shows the expressing of illocutionary act, the comment explain that people had confused about Vladimir Putin by saying the interlocutor was not charismatic, the word'' you are sadly mistaken'' state that interlocutor was a mistaken.

the utterance of assertive in illocutionary act in this study was found from data (48) until data (52). the words found such as, for murder of innocent people, pathetic, fuck, child sex monster, and sadly mistaken.

#### **d. Declarative**

There are 2 declarative of illocutionary act from the hate speech against Vladimir Putin. Declarative is a speech that falls into the category of a very specific act of saying such as firing, punishing, and hiring. This speech act is intended to create things such as status. Based on the data of this study there are 2 Declarative of illocutionary act from the hate speech.

Data (53) '' *Oh shit!putin is on twitter that's more preferable that out state propaganda in entertainment wise, I suppose* '' .

Picture 4. 53 the screenshot of netizen's reply on twitter

Data (53) shows the intended against Vladimir Putin. The word 'propaganda' referred to the types illocutionary act declarative. This sentence persuade that because of Putin in twitter that's will be more preferable to propaganda.

<p>Data (54)</p> <p><i>Vladimir Putin.</i></p> <p><i>like your hero</i></p> <p><i>now you should</i></p>		<p><i>'' You know to do</i></p> <p><i>You tried to be</i></p> <p><i>Adolph Hitler and</i></p> <p><i>end it like him''.</i></p>
--	---	--

Picture 4.54 the screenshot of netizen's reply on twitter

<p>Data (54)</p> <p>declarative of</p> <p>illocutionary</p> <p>comment was</p> <p>against</p> <p>putin. the</p>		<p>shows the</p> <p>type of</p> <p>act. The</p> <p>intended</p> <p>Vladimir</p> <p>comment</p>
---	--	--

referred to the type of illocutionary declarative by said that Vladimir have ended like Adolph hitler. this comment shown that Vladimir Putin same as Adolph Hitler in the rude, or desition and he have to end like him.

The declarative of illocutionary act was found from data (53) until (54). the utterance of the data found the words propaganda and ended like Adolph Hitler to state the declaration of illocutionary act.

#### e. Commissive

Commissive is an act of utterance the speaker can understand or understand the deed done and expresses a willingness to do this in life. These speech acts state what the speaker means. There are 5 commissive in Illocutionary act in hate speech.

Data (55) “ *Putin go back to fighting naizs. Communists use satanic... you are conflicted.* ”.

Picture 4.  
screenshot of



55 the  
netizen's

reply on twitter

Data (55) shows the sentences referred to commissive in illocutionary act. The word “conflicted” show to commanded Vladimir Putin, that bragging other

to think that Vladimir Putin very complicated, communist and satanic it was telling something to state what the writer mean about Vladimir Putin.

Data (56) ‘ ‘ *What is this bull shit?* ’ ’ .



Picture 4. 56 the screenshot of netizen's reply on twitter

Data (56) shows the intended against Vladimir Putin. The sentences referred to commissive was impolite and commanded someone. The sentences has a meaning that the president did something lie and untrue.

Data (57) ‘ ‘ *No tweets in a year what's the matter tiny man? You better be sleeping with one eye open.* ’ ’ .



Picture 4.57 the screenshot of netizen's reply on twitter

Data (57) shows the utterance of commissive on illocutionary. It was because the word tiny persuade that Vladimir Putin is a Small, weak. And the sentences you better sleeping with one eyes open is telling that Vladimir Putin has to supervise everything even when he is sleeping. the comment above is such as a provoking comment.

Data (58) ‘ *He doesn’t give 2 sh!ts!! putin is cowardly war criminal!* ‘.



Picture 4.58 the screenshot of netizen’s reply on twitter

Data (58) shows the utterance of commissive in illocutionary act. it was because the words ‘’ shits’’ and ‘’cowardly war criminal ‘’, the sentences referred to commissive because the words is swear against Vladimir and persuade others by said that Putin is a war criminal.

The commissive in illocutionary act against Vladimir Putin in this study found from data (55) until data (58). The utterance of commissive word was found such as, conflicted, bullshit, tiny man, shits and cowardly war criminal.

## **CHAPTER V**

### **CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION**

The researcher develops conclusion and suggestion after analysed the data. the conclusion is formed based on the research question whereas advice is offered to the next researchers who have same field with this study. the following portion is suggestion in which in this area the researcher make some advice for the researcher, students, and readers.

#### **A. Conclusion**

Based on the result of research the researcher has conducted some conclusion that can be seen based on the hate speech from the comment in comment column against Vladimir Putin in social media twitter. After analysing the data, the researcher conclude that the finding showed that there were 58 of speech act.

From the 58 data the researcher can concluded as the type of hate speech, which consist of 4 provoking, from data (01) until data (04) have been analyse and found the word that intended to provoke netizens against Vladimir Putin. the word he will kill them, stealing, war criminal, and propaganda were referred to the type of hate speech act of provoking.

Inciting of hate speech in this study found 7 data, from data (05) until data (11) shows the words that found in inciting such as, close the door, you are done, kick the bucket, nobody care, fuck, mistaken, and bullshit. there were 4 spread hoax of the type of hate speech from data (12) until data (15) shows the type of hate speech

of spread hoax. the utterance of spread hoax was found the words that used such as, war, genocide, pathetic, and communist use satanic.

Unpleasant action of the type of hate speech was found 3 of data. the utterance of unpleasant action was found from data (16) until data (18), the words of unpleasant action such as , killed, hidden, and sex monster. the words are contain coercion or violence. It found 8 insulting of the type of hate speech, from data (19) until (26) shows insulting of type of hate speech, There were peace, wankers, losers, warn, cry, murder, war criminal, and tiny man which referred to insulting act.

The utterance of defamation of type of hate speech speech found in data (27) the defamation act was found with the word “weird”. there were 2 denigration of type of hate speech, the utterance of denigration found in data (28) and (29) the words recovery and end found from the netizen’s reply on twitter against the interlocutors.

The type of illocutionary act was found which consist of 12 assertive, the assertive utterance found from data (30) until data (41). the utterance of assertive found from the comment such as , close the doors, war, wins as a genocide, you are done, killed, verry odd, he doesn’t care, he will kill them, he is a war criminal, fear us, and losers.

Directive of illocutionary act was found in this study, there 5 of directive in illocutionary act from data (42) until data (47), the words found in directive such as, have you kick the bucked yet?, nobody care, I warn you, should I pray for recovery? , go cry, and under and around.



the utterance of expressive of illocutionary act found from data (48) until data (52). the words found such as, for murder of innocent people, pathetic, fuck, child sex monster, and sadly mistaken, the declarative of illocutionary act was found from data (53) until (54). the utterance of the data found the words propaganda and ended like Adolph Hitler to state the declaration of illocutionary act.

the utterance of commissive of illocutionary act found 4 data. the data (55) until data (58) shows the commissive act, the words was found such as, conflicted, bullshit, tiny man, shits and cowardly war criminal.

### **B. Suggestion**

regarding to the conclusion of Diagnosing hate speech act against Vladimir Putin on social media twitter, the following are written as the suggestion which useful for :

1. this research can be a reference for students of English department to learn more about the type of hate speech and illocutionary act.
2. otherwise who wanted to make as reference for the further research to analysed the types of hate speech and illocutionary act. this research could help the further writer to know and understand types of hate speech ad illocutionary act.
3. the researcher hopes the result f the research can help teacher get the source of scientific research in this area.

4. the researcher hopes this research can help other researcher or readers text mentors or references to do the same research but with different point of view.

## REFERENCES




- Altikriti, S. F. (2011). Speech Act Analysis to Short Stories. *Journal of Language Teaching and Research*, 2(6). <https://doi.org/10.4304/jltr.2.6.1374-1384>
- Astuti, F. (n.d.). *The Hate Speech Behavior of Teenagers on Social Media Instagram*.
- Brison, S. J. (1998). The autonomy defense of free speech. *Ethics*, 108(2), 312–339. <https://doi.org/10.1086/233807>
- Budiasih, L. T., Andayani, :, & Rohmadi, M. (2016). Illocution on Speech Acts of Foreign Students in Indonesian Learning. *Journal of Linguistics and Education*, 6(2), 41–48. <https://doi.org/10.14710/parole.v6vi2i.15714>
- Ilyas, S., & Khushi, Q. (2012). *Facebook Status Updates: A Speech Act Analysis*. [www.savap.org.pk](http://www.savap.org.pk)
- Indah, D., \*1, P., & Subyantoro, D. (2020). *Jurnal Sastra Indonesia*. <http://journal.unnes.ac.id/sju/index.php/jsi>
- John W. Creswell. (2007). *Second edition Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design Choosing Among five Approaches* (Shaw Cuevas Lisa, Greene Karen, Santoyo Denise, & Robinson Jamie, Eds.; 2nd ed.). Sage Publisher, Inc.
- Journal, C., & kajian Bahasa dan Budaya, J. (2020). Speech Acts Analysis and its Application Within The Interlocutor'Communication. In *Journal* (Vol. 67, Issue 1). <https://journal.uinmataram.ac.id/index.php/cordova/index>
- Nasution, M. M., Izar, J., & Afifah, I. H. (n.d.). <https://ejournal.unida-aceh.ac.id/index.php/jetli> an Analysis Of Hate Speech Against K-Pop Idols and Their Fans on Instagram and Twitter From The Perspective Of Pragmatics I\*. <https://ejournal.unida-aceh.ac.id/index.php/jetli>

- Oktaviani, A. D., Surya, O., & Alam, N. (2022). Illocutionary Speech Acts and Types of Hate Speech in Comments on @Indraakenz's Twitter Account. *International Journal of Science and Applied Science: Conference Series P-ISSN*, 6(1), 2549–4635. <https://doi.org/10.20961/ijscs.v6i1.69943>
- Perwira, A., & Dwitama, J. (2021). Deteksi Ujaran Kebencian Pada Twitter Bahasa Indonesia Menggunakan Machine Learning: Reviu Literatur. In *Jurnal SNATi* (Vol. 1). <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/>
- Rosyidi, A. Z., Mahyuni, M., & Muhaimi, M. (2019a). Illocutionary Speech Acts Use by Jokowi in First Indonesia Presidential Election Debate 2019. *International Journal of Multicultural and Multireligious Understanding*, 6(2), 735. <https://doi.org/10.18415/ijmmu.v6i2.760>
- Rosyidi, A. Z., Mahyuni, M., & Muhaimi, M. (2019b). Illocutionary Speech Acts Use by Jokowi in First Indonesia Presidential Election Debate 2019. *International Journal of Multicultural and Multireligious Understanding*, 6(2), 735. <https://doi.org/10.18415/ijmmu.v6i2.760>
- Siegel, A. A. (n.d.). *Online Hate Speech*.
- Subyantoro, S., & Apriyanto, S. (2020). Impoliteness in Indonesian Language Hate Speech on Social Media Contained in the Instagram Account. *Journal of Advances in Linguistics*, 11, 36–46. <https://doi.org/10.24297/jal.v11i.8655>
- Ubaidillah, & Wijana D.P. (2021). A Directive Speech Act of Hate Speech on Indonesian Social Media. *Lingua*, 16.

## APPENDIX




### Appendix 1

#### Types of hate speech




No	Hate speech	Type of hate speech
1	<p>He doesn't care. He will kill them</p> 	Provoking
2	<p>Putin loves children especially stealing other people's children</p> 	Provoking
3	<p>Say no to putin! He is criminal, Russia is ...putin is a war criminal</p> 	Provoking

4	<p>Oh shit!putin is on twitter that's more preferable that out state propaganda in entertainment wise, I supp ose</p> 	Provoking
5	<p>Its time for Rusia to close the door on Putin</p> 	Inciting
6	<p>Instability is the enemy of every nazi regime. Vladimir you are done. We saw a issy Putin. Ukraine will teach you manners qua liberation of Ukraine</p> 	Inciting
7	<p>Have you kick the bucket yet?</p>	Inciting




8	Nobody cares Vlad		Inciting
9	Fuck putin		Inciting
10	I think you're confused, maybe all strong leaders admire strong charismatic leaders but you are sadly mistaken		Inciting





		
11	<p>What is this bull shit?</p> 	Inciting
12	<p>Putin war on for nazi America rife with Nazis paperclip Nazis heisenberg von braun mknultra Nazis cal Berkeley graduate Nazis</p> 	Spread hoax
13	<p>I'm wondering what putin wains as a genocide, and when he dies what he can take to hell! Stop the war putin!</p>	Spread hoax






		
14	<p>Putin the pathetic</p> 	Spread hoax
15	<p>Putin go back to fighting naizs.</p> <p>Communists use satanic... you are conflicted</p> 	Spread hoax
16	<p>These coward have killed 475 children over 1500 kids... kids! This isn't counting civilian adults that are innocent. Aee all Russians cowards or is it just the boys at the top</p>	Unpleasant action

17	<p>How many extra bodies of young children . are there hidden in under and around in kremlin</p>	Unpleasant action
18	<p>He runs the largest peado ring on planet, how many children has he taken, putin is a child sex monster</p>	Unpleasant action
19	<p>Brother there must be peace and unity</p>	Insulting

20	<p>Have sympathy elsewhere of your scrotum! We are wankers! Fear us ! maybe one day we take bakhmut!</p> 	Insulting
21	<p>The two bigger losers on the planet earth</p> 	Insulting
22	<p>Mr. president I am a cristian in US. I want to warn you Obama/Biden will 1<sup>st</sup> strike your soldier. They actually want hot war. I think the only way they can win is nukes. They want to destroy the people here. We will not be slave. Please consider this.</p> 	Insulting
23	<p>Lmao, go cry smh</p>	Insulting

		
24	<p>Wanted! For murder of innocent Ukrainian people!</p> 	Insulting
25	<p>He doesn't give 2 sh!ts!! putin is cowardly war criminal!</p> 	Insulting
26	<p>No tweets in a year what's the matter tiny man?</p> <p>You better be sleeping with one eye open</p> 	insulting



27	<p>Just curious.. what happened to your face between 2002 and 2023? So weird. Not younger, certainly not better looking. Very very odd</p> 	Defamation
28	<p>Due to the atrocities by zelensky torturing Russians and Ukrainian children seen on video posted by Ukrainian soldiers. Should I pray for president Putin's recovery? If I do will recover.</p> 	Denigration



29	<p>You know to do Vladimir Putin. You tried to be like your hero Adolph Hitler and now you should end it like him</p>  <p>2 Retweets 91 Likes 1 Bookmark</p>	Denigration
----	--	-------------

## Appendix 2




### Type of Illocutionary act

No	Hate Speech	Illocutionary act
1	<p>Its time for Rusia to close the door on Putin</p>  <p>4:56 AM - May 27, 2023 - 3,422 Views</p>	Assertive
2	<p>Putin war on for nazi America rife with Nazis paperclip Nazis heisenerg von braun mknultra Nazis</p>	Assertive




	<p>cal Berkeley graduate Nazis.</p>	
		
3	<p>I'm wondering what putin wains as a genocide, and when he dies what he can take to hell! Stop the war putin!</p>	Assertive
		




4	<p>Instability is the enemy of every nazi regine. Vladimir you are done. We saw a issy Putin. Ukraine will teach you manners qua liberation of Ukraine</p> 	Assertive
5	 <p>These coward have killed 475 children over 1500 kids... kids! This isn't counting civilian adults that are innocent. Aee all Russians cowards or is it just the boys at the top</p>	Assertive





6	<p>Just curious.. what happened to your face between 2002 and 2023? So weird. Not younger, certainly not better looking. Very very odd</p> 	Assertive
7	<p>He doesn't care. He will kill them</p> 	Assertive
8	<p>Putin loves children especially stealing other people's children</p> 	Assertive
9	<p>Say no to putin! He is criminal, Russia is ...putin is a war criminal</p>	Assertive







		
14	<p>Nobody cares Vlad</p> 	Directive
15	<p>Mr. president I am a cristian in US. I want to warn you</p> <p>Obama/Biden will 1<sup>st</sup> strike your soldier. They actually want hot war. I think the only way they can win is nukes. They want to destroy the people here. We will</p>  <p>not be slave. Please consider this</p>	Directive

16	<p>Due to the atrocities by zelensky torturing Russians and Ukrainian children seen on video posted by Ukrainian soldiers. Should I pray for president Putin's</p>  <p>recovery? If I do will recover.</p>	Directive
17	<p>Lmao, go cry smh</p> 	Directive
18	<p>How many extra bodies of young children . are there</p>  <p>hidden in under and around in kremlin</p>	Directive
19	<p>Wanted! For murder of innocent Ukrainian people!</p>	Expressive

		
20	<p>Putin the pathetic</p> 	Expressive
21	<p>Fuck putin</p> 	Expressive
22	<p>He runs the largest peado ring on planet, how many</p> 	Expressive

	children has he taken, putin is a child sex monster	
23	<p>I think you're confused, maybe all strong leaders admire strong charismatic leaders but you are sadly mistaken</p> 	Expressive
24	<p>Oh shit!putin is on twitter that's more preferable that out state propaganda in entertainment wise, I suppose</p> 	Declarative

25	<p>You know to do Vladimir Putin. You tried to be like your hero Adolph Hitler and now you should end it</p>  <p>like him</p>	Declarative
26	<p>Putin go back to fighting nazis.</p> <p>Communists use satanic... you are conflicted.</p> 	Commissive
27	<p>What is this bull shit?</p> 	Commissive

28	<p>No tweets in a year what's the matter tiny man? You</p>  <p>better be sleeping with one eye open</p>	Commissive
29	<p>He doesn't give 2 shi!ts!! putin is cowardly war criminal!</p> 	Commissive





MAJELIS PENDIDIKAN TINGGI  
UNIVERSITAS MUHAMMADIYAH SUMATERA UTARA  
FAKULTAS KEGURUAN DAN ILMU PENDIDIKAN  
Jl. Kapten Mukhtar Basri No.3 Telp.(061)6619056 Medan 20238  
Website : <http://www.fkip.umsu.ac.id> E-mail: [fkip@umsu.ac.id](mailto:fkip@umsu.ac.id)



Yth : Bapak/Ibu Ketua & Sekretaris  
Program Studi Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris  
FKIP UMSU

Form : K-1

Perihal : PERMOHONAN PERSETUJUAN JUDUL SKRIPSI

Dengan hormat, yang bertanda tangan di bawah ini :

Nama : Amanda Fadillah Supiana Putri  
NPM : 1902050049  
Program Studi : Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris  
IPK Kumulatif : 3.68

Persetujuan Ketua/Sek Prodi	Judul yang diajukan	Disyahkan Oleh Dekan Fakultas
	Diagnosing Hate speech act against Vlandimir Putin on Social media Twitter	
	Analysis of student listening skill through note taking strategies at EFL student.	
	Reading skill analysis of pronunciation error at x grade at senior high school.	

Demikianlah permohonan ini saya sampaikan untuk dapat pemeriksaan dan persetujuan serta pengesahan, atas kesediaan Bapak/Ibu saya ucapkan terima kasih.

Medan, 3 Maret 2023

Hormat Perintah,



Amanda Fadillah Supiana Putri

Dibuat Rangkap 3 :

- Untuk Dekan/Fakultas
- Untuk Ketua/Sekretaris Prodi
- Untuk Mahasiswa yang bersangkutan



MAJELIS PENDIDIKAN TINGGI  
UNIVERSITAS MUHAMMADIYAH SUMATERA UTARA  
FAKULTAS KEGURUAN DAN ILMU PENDIDIKAN  
Jl. Kapten Mukhtar Basri No.3 Telp.(061)6619056 Medan 20238  
Website : <http://www.fkip.umsu.ac.id> E-mail: [fkip@umsu.ac.id](mailto:fkip@umsu.ac.id)

Form : K-2

Kepada Yth : Bapak/Ibu Ketua & Sekretaris  
Program Studi Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris  
FKIP UMSU

Assalamu'alaikum Wr. Wb.

Dengan hormat, yang bertanda tangan di bawah ini :

Nama : Amanda Fadillah Supiana Putri  
NPM : 1902050049  
Program Studi : Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris

Mengajukan permohonan persetujuan proyek proposal/risalah/makalah/skripsi sebagai tercantum di bawah ini dengan judul sebagai berikut :

Diagnosing Hate speech act against Vlandimir Putin on Social media Twitter

Sekaligus saya mengusulkan/menunjuk Bapak/Ibu:

Dosen Pembimbing : Fatimah Sari Siregar S.Pd.,M.Hum

Sebagai Dosen Pembimbing Proposal/Risalah/Makalah/Skripsi saya.

Demikianlah permohonan ini saya sampaikan untuk dapat pengurusan selanjutnya. Akhirnya atas perhatian dan kesediaan Bapak/Ibu saya ucapkan terima kasih.

Medan, 3 Maret 2023  
Hormat Pemohon,

Amanda Fadillah Supiana Putri

Dibuat Rangkap 3 :

- Untuk Dekan/Fakultas
- Untuk Ketua/Sekretaris Prodi
- Untuk Mahasiswa yang bersangkutan

**FAKULTAS KEGURUAN DAN ILMU PENDIDIKAN  
UNIVERSITAS MUHAMMADIYAH SUMATERA UTARA**  
Jln. Mukthar Basri BA No. 3 Telp. 6622400 Medan 20217 Form : K3

Nomor : 1335/IL3/UMSU-02/F/2023  
Lamp : ---  
Hal : Pengesahan Proyek Proposal  
Dan Dosen Pembimbing

Bismillahirrahmanirrahim  
Assalamu'alaikum Wr. Wb

Dekan Fakultas Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan Universitas Muhammadiyah Sumatera Utara menetapkan Perpanjangan proposal/risalah/makalah/skripsi dan dosen pembimbing bagi mahasiswa yang tersebut di bawah ini :

Nama : Amanda Fadillah Supiana Putri  
N P M : 1902050049  
Program Studi : Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris  
Judul Penelitian : Diagnosing Hate Speech Act Against Vladimir Putin on Social Media Twitter

Pembimbing : Fatimah Sari Siregar, S.Pd., M.Pd.

Dengan demikian mahasiswa tersebut di atas diizinkan menulis proposal/risalah/makalah/skripsi dengan ketentuan sebagai berikut :

1. Penulis berpedoman kepada ketentuan yang telah ditetapkan oleh Dekan
2. Proyek proposal/risalah/makalah/skripsi dinyatakan **BATAL** apabila tidak selesai pada waktu yang telah ditentukan.
3. Masa kadaluwarsa tanggal : 15 Maret 2024

Medan 27 Sya'ban 1444 H  
15 Maret 2023 M



**Dra. Hj. Syamsuurnita, MPd.**  
NIDN : 0004066701

Dibuat rangkap 5 (lima) :

1. Fakultas (Dekan)
2. Ketua Program Studi
3. Pembimbing Materi dan Teknis
4. Pembimbing Riset
5. Mahasiswa yang bersangkutan :


**WAJIB MENGIKUTISEMINAR**





MAJELIS PENDIDIKAN TINGGI  
UNIVERSITAS MUHAMMADIYAH SUMATERA UTARA  
FAKULTAS KEGURUAN DAN ILMU PENDIDIKAN  
Jl. Kapten Mukhtar Basri No. 3 Medan 20238 Telp. 061-6622400 Ext. 22, 23, 30  
Website: <http://www.fkip.umsu.ac.id> E-mail: [fkip@umsu.ac.id](mailto:fkip@umsu.ac.id)

## SURAT PERNYATAAN



Saya yang bertandatangan dibawah ini :

Nama Lengkap : Amanda Fadillah Supiana Putri  
N.P.M : 1902050049  
Program Studi : Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris  
Judul Proposal : Diagnosing Hate Speech Act Against Vladimir Putin in Social Media  
Twitter.

Dengan ini saya menyatakan bahwa:

1. Penelitian yang saya lakukan dengan judul di atas belum pernah diteliti di Fakultas Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan Universitas Muhammadiyah Sumatera Utara
2. Penelitian ini akan saya lakukan sendiri tanpa ada bantuan dari pihak manapun dengan kata lain penelitian ini tidak saya tempahkan (dibuat) oleh orang lain dan juga tidak tergolong *Plagiat*.
3. Apabila point 1 dan 2 di atas saya langgar maka saya bersedia untuk dilakukan pembatalan terhadap penelitian tersebut dan saya bersedia mengulang kembali mengajukan judul penelitian yang baru dengan catatan mengulang seminar kembali.

Demikian surat pernyataan ini saya perbuat tanpa ada paksaan dari pihak manapun juga, dan dapat dipergunakan sebagaimana mestinya.

Medan, Februari 2025

Hormat saya

Yang membuat pernyataan,



Amanda Fadillah Supiana Putri

Diketahui oleh Ketua Program Studi  
Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris

Dr. Pirman Ginting, S.Pd., M.Hum.





MAJELIS PENDIDIKAN TINGGI PENELITIAN & PENGEMBANGAN PIMPINAN PUSAT MUHAMMADIYAH  
**UNIVERSITAS MUHAMMADIYAH SUMATERA UTARA**  
**FAKULTAS KEGURUAN DAN ILMU PENDIDIKAN**

UMSU Terakreditasi Unggul Berdasarkan Keputusan Badan Akreditasi Nasional Perguruan Tinggi No. 1913/SK/BAH-PT/Ak.KP/PT/KU/2022  
Pusat Administrasi: Jalan Mukhtar Basri No. 3 Medan 20238 Telp. (061) 6622400 - 66224567 Fax. (061) 6625474 - 6631003  
① <https://fkip.umsu.ac.id> ✉ [fkip@umsu.ac.id](mailto:fkip@umsu.ac.id) 📱 [umsuMEDAN](#) 📧 [umsuMEDAN](#) 📧 [umsuMEDAN](#) 📧 [umsuMEDAN](#)

Nomor : 4022 /II.3/UMSU-02/F/2023  
Lamp : ---

Medan, 28 Jumadil Awal 1445 H  
12 Desember 2023 M

Hal : Izin Riset

Kepada : Yth. Bapak/Ibu Kepala  
Perpustakaan UMSU  
Di  
Tempat.

Bismillahirrahmanirrahim  
Assalamu'alaikum Wr. Wb

Wa ba'du semoga kita semua sehat wal'afiat dalam melaksanakan tugas sehari-hari sehubungan dengan semester akhir bagi mahasiswa wajib melakukan penelitian/riset untuk penulisan Skripsi sebagai salah satu syarat penyelesaian Sarjana Pendidikan, maka kami mohon kepada Bapak/ibu memberikan izin kepada mahasiswa kami dalam melakukan penelitian /riset ditempat Bapak/ibu pimpin. Adapun data mahasiswa tersebut di bawah ini :

Nama : Amanda Fadillah Supiana Putri  
N P M : 1902050049  
Program Studi : Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris  
Judul Penelitian : Diagnosing Hate Speech Act Against Vladimir Putin in Social Media Twitter.

Demikian hal ini kami sampaikan, atas perhatian dan kesediaan serta kerjasama yang baik dari Bapak/ibu kami ucapkan banyak terima kasih, Akhirnya selamat sejahteralah kita semuanya. Amin.




Dra. H.E. Syamsu Wurnita, M.Pd.  
NIDN : 0004066701

\*Pertinggal





**MAJELIS PENDIDIKAN TINGGI**  
**UNIVERSITAS MUHAMMADIYAH SUMATERA UTARA**  
**FAKULTAS KEGURUAN DAN ILMU PENDIDIKAN**  
Jl. Kapten Mukhtar Basri No. 3 Medan 20238 Telp. 061-6622400 Ext. 22, 23, 30  
Website: <http://www.fkip.umsu.ac.id> E-mail: [fkip@umsu.ac.id](mailto:fkip@umsu.ac.id)



#### LEMBAR PENGESAHAN PROPOSAL


Proposal yang diajukan oleh mahasiswa di bawah ini:

Nama : Amanda Fadillah Supiana Putri  
NPM : 1902050049  
Program Studi : Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris  
Judul Skripsi : Diagnosing Hate Speech Act Against Vladimir Putin in Social Media  
Twitter.

Sudah layak diseminarkan.


Medan, Juli 2023

Disetujui oleh  
Pembimbing

  
Fatimah Sari Siregar, S.Pd., M.Arum



MAJELIS PENDIDIKAN TINGGI  
UNIVERSITAS MUHAMMADIYAH SUMATERA UTARA  
FAKULTAS KEGURUAN DAN ILMU PENDIDIKAN  
Jl. Kapten Mukhtar Basri No. 3 Telp. (061) 6619056 Medan 20238  
Website: <http://www.fkip.umsu.ac.id> E-mail: [fkip@umsu.ac.id](mailto:fkip@umsu.ac.id)



**BERITA ACARA BIMBINGAN PROPOSAL**

Perguruan Tinggi : Universitas Muhammadiyah Sumatera Utara  
Fakultas : Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan  
Jurusan/Prog. Studi : Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris  
Nama : Amanda Fadillah Supiana Putri  
NPM : 1902050049  
Program Studi : Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris  
Judul Skripsi : Diagnosing Hate Speech Act Against Vladimir Putin in Social Media Twitter

Tanggal	Deskripsi Hasil Bimbingan Proposal	Tanda Tangan
30/3.23	Chapter I : Introduction - Background of study - Objectives	2/2 2/2 2/2
12/6.23	Chapter II : Review of Literature - Theoretical Framework	2/2 2/2
5/7.23	Chapter III : Method of Research - Source of Data - Data Analysis - Technique of Collecting data.	2/2 2/2 2/2 2/2
21/7.23	ACC	

Diketahui oleh:  
Ketua Prodi

(Pirman Ginting, S.Pd., M.Hum.)


Medan, Juli 2023

Dosen Pembimbing

(Fatimah Sari Siregar, S.Pd., M.Hum.)



**MAJELIS PENDIDIKAN TINGGI**  
**UNIVERSITAS MUHAMMADIYAH SUMATERA UTARA**  
**FAKULTAS KEGURUAN DAN ILMU PENDIDIKAN**  
Jl. Kapten Mukhtar Basri No. 3 Medan 20238 Telp. 061-6622400 Ext. 22, 23, 30  
Website: <http://www.fkip.umsu.ac.id> E-mail: [fkip@umsu.ac.id](mailto:fkip@umsu.ac.id)



### LEMBAR PENGESAHAN HASIL SEMINAR PROPOSAL

Proposal yang sudah diseminari oleh mahasiswa di bawah ini:

Nama Lengkap : Amanda Fadillah Supiana Putri  
NPM : 1902050049  
Program Studi : Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris  
Judul Skripsi : Diagnosing Hate Speech Act Against Vladimir Putin in Social Media  
Twitter

Pada hari Rabu tanggal 26, bulan Juli tahun 2023 sudah layak menjadi proposal skripsi.

Medan, Juli 2023

Disetujui oleh:

Dosen Pembahas

(Dr. Bambang Panca Syahputra, M.Hum.)

Dosen Pembimbing

(Fatimah Sari Siregar, S.Pd., M.Hum.)


Diketahui oleh  
Ketua Program Studi,

Pirman Ginting, S.Pd., M.Hum.





MAJELIS PENDIDIKAN TINGGI  
UNIVERSITAS MUHAMMADIYAH SUMATERA UTARA  
FAKULTAS KEGURUAN DAN ILMU PENDIDIKAN  
Jl. Kapten Mukhtar Basri No. 3 Telp. (061) 6619056 Medan 20238  
Website: <http://www.fkip.umsu.ac.id> E-mail: [fkip@umsu.ac.id](mailto:fkip@umsu.ac.id)



**BERITA ACARA BIMBINGAN SKRIPSI**

Perguruan Tinggi : Universitas Muhammadiyah Sumatera Utara  
Fakultas : Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan  
Jurusan/Prog. Studi : Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris  
Nama : Amanda Fadillah Supiana Putri  
NPM : 1902050049  
Program Studi : Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris  
Judul Skripsi : Diagnosing Hate Speech Act Against Vladimir Putin in Social Media Twitter

Tanggal	Deskripsi Hasil Bimbingan Skripsi	Tanda Tangan
16/10/23	Chapter 3 : background of study (the phenomena gap)	
18/10/23	Chapter 4 : Review of literature	
7/6/24	Chapter 4 : Method of Research - Source of Data - Technical Analysis of	
10/6/24	Chapter 5 : Finding & Discussion - Analyzing the data	
14/6/24	Chapter 5 : The Conclusion and the implication	
14/6/24	Acc	

Medan, 14 Juni 2024

Diketahui oleh:  
Ketua Prodi

(Pirman Ginting, S.Pd., M.Hum.)


Dosen Pembimbing

(Fatimah Sari Siregar, S.Pd., M.Hum.)



**MAJELIS PENDIDIKAN TINGGI**  
**UNIVERSITAS MUHAMMADIYAH SUMATERA UTARA**  
**FAKULTAS KEGURUAN DAN ILMU PENDIDIKAN**  
Jl. Kapten Mukhtar Basri No. 3 Telp. (061) 6619056 Medan 20238  
Website: <http://www.fkip.umsu.ac.id> E-mail: [fkip@umsu.ac.id](mailto:fkip@umsu.ac.id)

**LEMBAR PENGESAHAN SKRIPSI**



Skripsi ini diajukan oleh mahasiswa di bawah ini:

Nama : Amanda Fadillah Supiana Putri  
NPM : 1902050049  
Program Studi : Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris  
Judul Skripsi : Diagnosing Hate Speech Act Against Vladimir Putin in Social Media  
Twitter

sudah layak disidangkan.

Medan, Juni 2024

Disetujui oleh:


Pembimbing



Fatimah Sari Siregar, S.Pd., M.Hum.

Diketahui oleh:

Dekan



Dra. Hj. Syamsuyunita, M.Pd.

Ketua Program Studi



Pirman Ginting, S.Pd, M.Hum.

## **CURRICULUM VITAE**

### **I. Data Personal**

Name : Amanda Fadillah Supiana Putri  
NPM : 1902050049  
Gender : Female  
Religion :Islam  
Place/ Date of Birth : Tandam Hilir II / 18<sup>th</sup> July 2001  
Address : Jl. Marelan II Psr IV Timur



### **II. Family Name**

Father : Ir. Eko Susilo  
Mother : Suriani  
Sister : Savira Fatwa Al Zannah  
Brother : Veno Fahtan Al Zaedan

### **III. Background of Education**

Elementary School : MIS AL WASHLIYAH (2007-2013)  
Junior High School : SMPN 2 HAMPARAN PERAK (2013-2016)  
Senior High School : SMAS BRIGJEND KATAMSO II (2016-2019)